

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

/X/ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001

OR

/ / TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ____ to ____
Commission file number 1-8993

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

BERMUDA 94-2708455
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

28 GATES STREET, WHITE RIVER JUNCTION, VERMONT 05001-7066
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (802) 295-4500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
----- Common Shares, par value \$1.00 per share	----- New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No
--- ---

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. /X/

The aggregate market value of voting shares (based on the closing price of those shares listed on the New York Stock Exchange and the consideration received for those shares not listed on a national or regional exchange) held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of March 31, 2002, was \$2,861,356,117.

As of March 31, 2002, 8,284,181 common shares, par value of \$1.00 per share ("Common Shares"), were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Notice of 2002 Annual General Meeting of Members and Proxy Statement dated March 22, 2002 (Part III)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

ITEM 1. Business.....	1
a. General.....	1
b. OneBeacon.....	2
c. Folksamerica.....	11
d. Fund American Reinsurance Company Ltd.....	17
e. Investments in Unconsolidated Insurance Affiliates.....	18
f. Former Operations.....	18
g. Regulation.....	19
h. Ratings.....	21
i. Investing Operations.....	21
j. Employees.....	22

ITEM 2. Properties.....	22
ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings.....	22
ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....	22
PART II	
ITEM 5. Market for the Company's Common Equity and Related Shareholder Matters.....	23
ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data.....	24
ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations....	25
Liquidity and Capital Resources.....	35
Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates.....	39
Market Risk.....	40
Forward-Looking Statements.....	42
ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.....	42
ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.....	43
ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.....	43
PART III	
ITEM 10. Directors and Executive Officers.....	43
ITEM 11. Executive Compensation.....	44
ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.....	44
ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.....	44
PART IV	
ITEM 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K.....	44

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd. (the "Company") was originally formed as a Delaware corporation in 1980 and became a Bermuda limited liability company during 1999. In October 1999, the Company completed a corporate reorganization that changed its domicile from Delaware to Bermuda (the "Redomestication"). The Company's principal businesses are conducted through its subsidiaries and affiliates in the business of property and casualty insurance and reinsurance. Within this report, the consolidated organization is referred to as "White Mountains". The Company's headquarters are located at Crawford House, 23 Church Street, Hamilton, Bermuda HM 11, its principal executive office is located at 28 Gates Street, White River Junction, Vermont 05001-7066 and its registered office is located at Clarendon House, 2 Church Street, Hamilton, Bermuda HM DX.

On June 1, 2001, White Mountains acquired OneBeacon Insurance Group LLC (together with its subsidiaries, "OneBeacon") from London-based CGNU plc ("CGNU") for \$2.1 billion, of which \$260.0 million consisted of a convertible note payable (the "Seller Note") with the balance paid in cash (the "Acquisition"). OneBeacon owns several property and casualty insurance and reinsurance companies throughout the United States. These include OneBeacon Insurance Company, National Farmers Union Property and Casualty Company ("NFU"), Houston General Insurance Company ("HG"), Folksamerica Reinsurance Company (together with Folksamerica Holding Company, Inc. and subsidiaries, "Folksamerica") and Peninsula Insurance Company ("PIC"). Folksamerica and PIC are owned by OneBeacon but are run as separate entities, with distinct operations, management and business strategies. Folksamerica and PIC were contributed to OneBeacon immediately subsequent to the Acquisition. The Company's consolidated results include OneBeacon's financial results for the seven month period since the Acquisition.

On November 1, 2001, OneBeacon transferred its regional agency business, agents and operations in 42 states and the District of Columbia to Liberty Mutual Insurance Group ("Liberty Mutual") pursuant to a renewal rights agreement (the "Renewal Rights Agreement"). This transfer amounted to approximately \$1.5 billion in written premiums, or approximately 45% of OneBeacon's total business. Service agreements are in place to ensure a smooth transition. Over the next two years, the underwriting results and cash flows of the renewed policies will be shared between OneBeacon and Liberty Mutual. A reinsurance agreement pro-rates results so that OneBeacon assumes approximately two-thirds and one-third of the underwriting results corresponding to renewals in the first and second years, respectively. OneBeacon is now focused on becoming a profitable independent agency property and casualty insurance company in the Northeast and for select specialty business on a national basis.

WHITE MOUNTAINS' OPERATING PRINCIPLES

Each employee of White Mountains is expected to operate within the spirit of its four operating principles. These are:

UNDERWRITING COMES FIRST. We must respect the fundamentals of insurance. There must be a realistic expectation of profit on all business written, and demonstrated fulfillment of that expectation over time, with focused attention to the loss ratio and to all the professional insurance disciplines of pricing, underwriting, and claims management.

MAINTAIN A DISCIPLINED BALANCE SHEET. Insurance liabilities must always be fully recognized. Loss reserves must be solid before any other aspect of the business can be solid. Pricing, marketing, and underwriting all depend on informed judgement of ultimate loss costs and that can be managed effectively only with a disciplined balance sheet.

INVEST FOR TOTAL RETURN. White Mountains invests for the best growth in after tax value over time whether reported as income or not and without regard to any need to report a smooth earnings stream. In addition to investing our bond portfolios for total after tax return, that will also mean prudent investment in equities consistent with leverage and insurance risk considerations.

THINK LIKE OWNERS. By taking accountability for one's actions and behaving with a sense of urgency, all employees demonstrate their stake in the business by working smarter and understanding that actions influence results. Thinking like an owner embraces all that without losing the touchstone of a capitalist enterprise.

1

ONEBEACON

Headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts, OneBeacon is one of the oldest property and casualty insurers in the United States, tracing its roots to 1831 and the Potomac Fire Insurance Company. OneBeacon's legacy includes being among the first to issue automobile policies, honoring claims arising from the great San Francisco earthquake and the sinking of the Titanic and insuring several U.S. presidents. During 1998, Commercial Union plc and General Accident plc, both UK corporations, were merged to form CGU plc. The U.S. operations of these companies, General Accident Corporation of America ("General Accident") and Commercial Union Corporation ("Commercial Union"), were merged to form CGU Corporation (the "Merger"). White Mountains agreed to purchase CGU Corporation in September of 2000, with the transaction closing on June 1, 2001. The name OneBeacon was introduced at the time of the purchase. OneBeacon is rated as A (Excellent) by A.M. Best.

On June 1 2001, White Mountains significantly strengthened OneBeacon's balance sheet. In connection with the Acquisition, CGU caused OneBeacon to purchase reinsurance contracts with two reinsurance companies rated AAA (Extremely Strong) by Standard & Poor's and A++ (Superior) by A.M. Best: a full risk-transfer cover from National Indemnity Company ("NICO") for up to \$2.5 billion in old asbestos and environmental claims (the "NICO Cover") and an adverse development cover from General Reinsurance Corporation ("GRC") for up to \$400.0 million on losses occurring in years 2000 and prior (the "GRC Cover"). Additionally, White Mountains' purchase accounting adjustments resulted in a strengthening of OneBeacon's acquired balance sheet in the form of adjustments to OneBeacon's insurance and non-insurance liabilities and assets.

Immediately subsequent to the Acquisition, a new management team was appointed and new performance expectations established through ongoing communication of White Mountains' operating principles and long-term incentive compensation based on results. Since the Acquisition, substantial actions have been taken to improve OneBeacon's business, including increased pricing and re-underwriting. Certain unprofitable lines of business, accounts and agents have been eliminated. Management has also been seeking to improve the claim function by increasing staffing levels in many areas and introducing programs and tools geared towards controlling indemnity costs. Credit terms have been changed to accelerate the receipt of cash and collection of old receivables is a high priority. Spending on major systems initiatives has been substantially scaled back to focus on delivering meaningful improvements to existing systems while eliminating the multitude of redundant systems left over from the Merger. Significant efforts are underway to rebuild coherent management information that has been lacking since the Merger.

OneBeacon conducts its primary personal and commercial business through independent agents in four regional operations - Northern New England, Southern New England, Upstate New York and the Metro New York/New Jersey area. Agents add value to their customers through personal attention, coverage expertise and an understanding of local market conditions. The regional operations target personal and commercial customers, focusing on the family account and small to mid-sized businesses. OneBeacon's objective is to underwrite only profitable business without regard to market share, premium volume or growth. In addition to these regional operations, OneBeacon is also committed to nurturing its select specialty businesses that focus on providing custom coverages to certain niche markets, including ocean marine, agricultural insurance ("Agri"), professional liability and tuition reimbursement. Each specialty business has its own operations and appointed agents that target specific customer groups.

PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE OVERVIEW

As a property and casualty insurance company, OneBeacon writes insurance policies in exchange for premiums paid by its customers (the insured). An insurance policy is a contract between OneBeacon and the insured where OneBeacon agrees to pay for losses suffered by the insured that are covered under the contract. Such contracts often are subject to subsequent legal interpretation by courts, legislative action and arbitration. Property insurance covers the financial consequences of accidental losses to the insured's property, such as a house and the personal property in it, or a business' building, inventory and

equipment. Casualty insurance (often referred to as liability insurance) generally covers the financial consequences of a legal liability of an individual or an organization resulting from negligent acts and omissions causing bodily injury and/or property damage to a third party. Claims on property coverage generally are reported and settled in a relatively short period of time, whereas those on casualty coverage can take years, even decades, to settle.

2

OneBeacon provides property and casualty insurance on a wide variety of coverages, including the following:

- Automobile: consists of physical damage and liability coverage. Auto physical damage insurance covers loss or damage to vehicles from collision, vandalism, fire, theft or other causes. Auto liability insurance covers bodily injury of others, damage to their property and costs of legal defense resulting from a collision caused by the insured.
- Commercial property: covers losses to a business' premises, inventory and equipment as a result of weather, fire, theft and other causes.
- Homeowners: covers losses to an insured's home, including its contents, as a result of weather, fire, theft and other causes, and losses resulting from liability for acts of negligence by the insured or the insured's immediate family.
- Inland marine: covers property that may be in transit or held by a bailee at a fixed location, movable goods that are often stored at different locations or property with an unusual antique or collector's value.
- General liability: covers businesses for any liability resulting from bodily injury and property damage arising from its general business operations, accidents on its premises and its products manufactured or sold.
- Umbrella: supplements existing insurance policies by covering losses from a broad range of insurance risks in excess of coverage provided by the primary insurance policy up to a specified limit.
- Workers compensation: covers an employer's liability for injuries, disability or death of employees, without regard to fault, as prescribed by state workers compensation law and other statutes.
- Ocean marine: covers losses to an insured's vessel and/or its cargo as a result of collision, fire, piracy and other perils.

OneBeacon derives substantially all of its revenues from earned premiums, investment income and net gains and losses from sales of investment securities. Earned premiums represent premiums received from insureds, which are recognized as revenue over the period of time during which insurance coverage is provided (i.e., ratably over the life of the policy). A significant period of time normally elapses between the receipt of insurance premiums and the disbursement of insurance claims. During this time, investment income is generated, consisting primarily of interest earned on fixed maturity investments and dividends earned on equity securities. Net realized investment gains and losses result from sales of securities from OneBeacon's investment portfolio.

OneBeacon incurs a significant amount of its total expenses from policyholder losses, which are commonly referred to as "claims". In settling policyholder losses, various loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") are incurred, such as insurance adjusters' fees and litigation expenses. In addition, OneBeacon incurs policy acquisition expenses such as commissions paid to agents and premium taxes, and other expenses related to the underwriting process, including salaries for professional and clerical staff.

Underwriting profit or loss is determined by subtracting losses, loss adjustment expenses, policy acquisition expenses and other underwriting expenses from earned premiums. A key measure of relative underwriting performance is the combined ratio. An insurance company's statutory combined ratio is calculated by adding the ratio of incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses to premiums earned (the "loss ratio") and the ratio of commissions, premium taxes and other underwriting expenses to premiums written (the "expense ratio"). For management purposes, OneBeacon uses a modified statutory combined ratio ("trade ratio") that divides general and administrative expenses by earned premiums rather than written premiums. Management believes the trade ratio to be the best measure of the current profitability of OneBeacon's businesses because it relates the cost of producing the business to premiums written and the cost of operating the business to premiums earned. A trade ratio of 100% or less indicates an underwriting profit, while a ratio greater than 100% indicates an underwriting loss. When considering investment income and investment gains or losses, insurance companies operating at a combined ratio of greater than 100% can be profitable despite incurring an underwriting loss.

LINES OF BUSINESS

OneBeacon writes three core lines of business consisting of personal and commercial lines in the Northeast and certain specialty lines. Premiums from other "non-core" lines, including business assumed from Liberty Mutual in connection with the Renewal Rights Agreement and certain non-core or runoff operations will diminish significantly over the next two years as OneBeacon's obligations under the Renewal Rights Agreement decrease and policies in

3

run-off expire. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2001, OneBeacon's net written premiums and accident year trade ratios (after removing the effects of the NICO Cover, GRC Cover and certain other non-recurring transactions related to the Acquisition) by line of business were as follows:

--- Trade Ratios for Net Written Trade Ratios the Year Ended Premiums for the for the December 31, 2001 Year Ended Year Ended (excluding losses Net Written premiums and trade ratios December 31, December 31, from Sept. 11th by line of business (\$ in millions) 2001 2001 Attacks) - -----																	
											Personal \$						
856.9	25%	110%	110%	Commercial	690.1	20	121%	109%	Specialty	224.4	6	99%	98%	Other non-core lines			
1,695.5	49	125%	125%	-----										Total \$ 3,466.9	100%	119%	114%

OneBeacon's personal lines include auto, homeowners and Custom-Pac products (combination policies offering home and auto coverage with optional umbrella, boatowners and other coverages), which for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001 represented 61%, 16% and 15%, respectively, of personal lines net written premium. OneBeacon's commercial lines include package (combination policies offering property and liability coverage), commercial auto and workers compensation, which for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001 represented 46%, 22% and 20%, respectively, of OneBeacon's commercial lines net written premium. Specialty products principally include ocean marine, Agri, professional liability and tuition reimbursement. Other non-core products include business assumed from Liberty Mutual in connection with the Renewal Rights Agreement (\$124.4 million), business in territories subject to the Renewal Rights Agreement written prior to November 1, 2001 (\$1,230.5 million), premiums generated from NFU (\$171.9 million), national programs and national accounts and certain other insurance products in run-off (\$168.7 million).

NEW YORK AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE PLAN ("NYAIP")

The NYAIP is a residual insurance market that obtains personal automobile insurance for those individuals who cannot otherwise obtain it in the voluntary insurance market. The NYAIP assigns such individuals to insurers to underwrite and service policies based on the proportion of the automobile insurance premiums each company voluntarily wrote in New York two years ago. The NYAIP allows insurers to either provide insurance coverage to these individuals or to transfer their NYAIP obligation to certain other insurance companies approved by the New York State Insurance Department. This latter process is referred to as a Limited Assigned Distribution ("LAD") and the companies that assume this obligation are referred to as "LAD servicing carriers". Companies who transfer their NYAIP business pay a fee to LAD servicing carriers in addition to the policy premium.

Several of OneBeacon's insurance subsidiaries write voluntary automobile insurance in the state of New York. In doing so, they are obligated to accept NYAIP assignments during the next two years for their market share of voluntary premiums written two years ago. In connection with the Acquisition, White Mountains estimated the cost of discharging its obligations associated with NYAIP assignments resulting from voluntary business written by OneBeacon in the two year period prior to the Acquisition and recorded a liability of \$110.0 million. Management will periodically review and adjust this liability in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") as circumstances change within the New York automobile insurance marketplace.

AUTOONE. In the last few years, the number of individuals requiring NYAIP assignments and LAD fees have both increased significantly. In order to mitigate its own exposure to the cost of future NYAIP assignments and to take advantage of rapidly rising LAD servicing fees, in October of 2001 OneBeacon established its wholly-owned subsidiary, General Assurance Company ("GAC"), to act as a LAD servicing carrier. This company, which does business as "AutoOne", has written 17 LAD contracts that are expected to result in approximately \$100 million of assigned written premium and approximately \$118 million of LAD fees in 2002. OneBeacon believes that AutoOne's current business strategy will enable it to capitalize on the significant demands for LAD services resulting from

increased NYAIP assignments and improve the results of OneBeacon's overall New York automobile business by reducing its cost of obtaining LAD services. AutoOne is operated as a separate division of OneBeacon.

LAD servicing contracts between AutoOne and other insurers are for a period of one year. Once an assigned risk policy has been written, AutoOne is obligated to provide insurance for two more years unless the insured departs from the NYAIP, regardless of whether the LAD contract is renewed. This risk can be somewhat mitigated through (i) renewal of the LAD contract in the subsequent year; (ii) through "disengagement" fees due to AutoOne upon non-renewal of the LAD servicing contract; and (iii) through utilization of various credits offered by New York to those insurers who voluntarily provide coverage to individuals in the NYAIP. To the extent that assigned risk rates are increased by New York, the resulting additional premium, along with the LAD fee, provides for a significant profit opportunity.

ONEBEACON PROFESSIONAL PARTNERS

In February 2002, OneBeacon announced that it is entering the directors and officers ("D&O") and professional liability markets under the name OneBeacon Professional Partners. D&O coverage protects directors and officers against personal liability that may arise from omissions or misstatements in the course of running their business. OneBeacon's target for D&O cover will be mid-sized public and private companies outside of the technology sector. Professional liability insurance protects against liability that may result from negligence or misconduct related to business operations. OneBeacon's emphasis will be medical professional liability business for small and mid-size institutions and provider groups that require excess coverage and low limits.

OneBeacon Professional Partners' liability coverages are expected to be issued on a "claims made" basis, which means insurance that covers losses

COMPETITION

Property and casualty insurance is highly competitive and extensively regulated by state insurance departments. It is often difficult for insurance companies to differentiate their products to consumers. The more significant competitive factors for most insurance products offered by OneBeacon are price, product terms and claims service. OneBeacon's underwriting principles and dedication to agency distribution are unlikely to make OneBeacon the "low cost" provider in most markets. OneBeacon believes that most property and casualty insurance customers value the counsel of a professional independent agent, and that its use of independent agents is a competitive advantage over direct-response writers. As a result, OneBeacon has nurtured close relationships with its agents, thereby reinforcing doing business on a personal level. OneBeacon is able to offer its independent agents products with terms desired by the insureds and greater financial strength than many smaller Northeast regional carriers, and with more personalized service than larger national carriers.

6

CLAIMS

Effective claims management is a critical factor in achieving satisfactory underwriting results. Additionally, claims service is the most important product differentiation that OneBeacon brings to its agents and insureds. OneBeacon's near term staffing and systems plans will cause OneBeacon to spend more on administrative claims costs to reduce overall loss costs.

Claims handling is located in various regional and local branch offices under the supervision of the Chief Claims Officer. OneBeacon maintains an experienced staff of appraisers, medical specialists, managers, attorneys and field adjusters strategically located throughout its operating territories. OneBeacon also maintains a special investigative unit designed to detect insurance fraud and abuse, and supports efforts by regulatory bodies and trade associations to curtail the cost of fraud.

Pursuant to the Renewal Rights Agreement, Liberty Mutual assumed control of OneBeacon's claims offices in the regions subject to the Renewal Rights Agreement, and will service claims from OneBeacon policies written prior to November 1, 2001 in those regions. Service agreements were put in place in connection with the Renewal Rights Agreement through which Liberty Mutual has become a third party administrator ("TPA") for those claims. OneBeacon also uses TPA's for certain other claims, especially in the national accounts and national programs now in run-off. Additionally, NICO is handling the claims processing for claims ceded under the NICO Cover under a TPA agreement. OneBeacon's claims staff performs on-site claim audits of its TPA's to ensure the propriety of the controls and processes over claims serviced by the TPA on behalf of OneBeacon.

EMPLOYEES

White Mountains has brought a new management team to OneBeacon to improve operating results in the short term and establish practices for sustaining acceptable underwriting results going forward. To encourage staff to evolve toward a results-oriented culture, all OneBeacon employees were awarded two Common Shares and a new performance-based compensation program was introduced for managers and key employees. Managers now see greater emphasis on incentive compensation with payouts based on corporate and individual goal achievements. OneBeacon supports continuous learning to achieve effectiveness and flexibility and encourages its staff to think like owners and take accountability to effect change. In connection with the Renewal Rights Agreement and other actions, OneBeacon reduced its workforce from approximately 7,300 to 4,200 during the past year.

LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE RESERVES

OneBeacon establishes loss and loss adjustment expense reserves that are estimates of amounts needed to pay claims and related expenses in the future for insured events that have already occurred. Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves have two components: case reserves, which are reserves for claims that have been reported to OneBeacon, and incurred but not reported ("IBNR") reserves for claims that have occurred but not yet reported to OneBeacon. Case reserves are estimated based on the experience and knowledge of claims staff regarding the nature and potential cost of each claim. OneBeacon periodically adjusts case reserves as additional information becomes known or payments are made. OneBeacon determines its IBNR reserves by considering all available information including historical patterns of paid and reported claim experience, industry data, and changes in exposures to claims by line of business, type of insurance, limits of coverage, reinsurance protections and other factors affecting the business.

The process of estimating loss and loss adjustment expense reserves involves a considerable degree of judgement by management. During the claims settlement period, which may extend over a long period of time, additional facts regarding claims and trends become known which may cause OneBeacon to adjust its estimates of the ultimate liability. Also, as noted previously, the Merger combined the claims staff of the two companies. Subsequent to the Merger, it became evident that General Accident had systematically depressed case reserves under the belief that lower case reserves lead to lower settlements. In fact, it resulted in ineffective claims management and higher costs. The more conservative, and management believes, more appropriate, Commercial Union philosophy of establishing case reserves at expected settlement amounts was adopted in 1999. This has led to significant case reserve increases which has made the estimation of IBNR reserves challenging. The challenge is

7

environmental reserves, based on its average loss payments for the last three years, was approximately 7.7 at December 31, 2001. The Company's reserves for asbestos and environmental losses at December 31, 2001 represent management's best estimate of the Company's ultimate liability based on information currently available. However, as case law expands, OneBeacon may be subject to asbestos and environmental losses beyond amounts intended by policy coverage. Loss reserve additions arising from any such future unfavorable case law interpretations cannot be reasonably estimated at the present time.

9

CONSTRUCTION DEFECT AND MOLD CLAIMS

Construction defect claims are claims that arise from coverage provided by general liability insurance. Construction defect claims typically arise from alleged defective work performed by contractors and subcontractors in the construction of apartments, condominiums and large developments of single family dwellings. In addition to damages arising directly from the alleged defective work, construction defect claims often allege that the economic value of the structure has been diminished. Mold claims are claims that arise from general liability insurance and homeowners insurance. The existence of certain airborne mold spores, resulting from moisture trapped in confined areas, has been alleged to cause severe health and environmental hazards. OneBeacon has sought to limit its potential future exposure to construction defect and mold claims by including exclusionary language in its insurance policies or ceasing to write business in jurisdictions where the exposure to such claims is large. As a result, OneBeacon believes that its reserves for such liabilities are adequate. However, as case law expands, OneBeacon may be subject to construction defect and mold loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities beyond those intended by policy coverage. OneBeacon believes that it is unlikely that any such liabilities would have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or its cash flows.

REINSURANCE PROTECTION

In the ordinary course of its business, OneBeacon purchases reinsurance from high quality, highly rated third party reinsurers in order to provide greater diversification of its business and minimize the financial impact of large risks or catastrophic events.

The timing and size of catastrophe losses are unpredictable and the level of losses experienced in any year could potentially be material to OneBeacon's operating results and financial position. Examples of catastrophes include losses caused by earthquakes, wildfires, hurricanes and other types of storms and terrorist acts. The extent of losses caused by catastrophes is both a function of the total amount of insured exposure in an area affected by the event and the severity of the event.

OneBeacon continually assesses and develops strategies to manage its exposure to catastrophe losses through individual risk selection, by limiting its concentration of insurance written in catastrophe-prone areas (such as coastal regions) and through the purchase of catastrophe reinsurance. OneBeacon has entered into a property catastrophe reinsurance program for the 2002 calendar year through a group of reinsurers, with a \$125.0 million retention for losses resulting from any single catastrophe. Property catastrophe losses from a single event in excess of \$125.0 million and up to \$200.0 million are reinsured for 75% of the loss. Property catastrophe losses from a single event in excess of \$200.0 million and up to \$750.0 million are reinsured for 95% of the loss. Although the \$750.0 million reinsurance limit exceeds OneBeacon's expected maximum loss from a one in 250 year Northeast windstorm, which management considers to be the largest single catastrophe risk based on the coverage and geographic location of its insureds, the nature of storm activity and destruction can not be estimated with certainty. OneBeacon's 2002 catastrophe reinsurance program has a reinstatement provision whereby, in the event of one loss, the coverage used can be reinstated for an additional premium. OneBeacon also purchases reinsurance coverage for certain specific risks below \$125.0 million, on either a facultative or treaty basis, where appropriate.

In connection with the Acquisition, OneBeacon obtained the GRC Cover which provides for \$570.0 million of reinsurance protection consisting of \$400.0 million of adverse development coverage on losses occurring in years 2000 and prior, and \$170.0 million on losses incurred as of the date of the Acquisition. The GRC Cover, which was contingent on, and occurred contemporaneously with the Acquisition, qualifies for prospective reinsurance accounting treatment under EITF Topic D-54 which characterizes the protection as an indemnification by the seller for increases in the liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses that existed at the acquisition date. During the fourth quarter of 2001, OneBeacon increased losses and loss adjustment expense reserves for years 1999 and prior in the workers compensation, general liability, commercial multi-peril and personal and commercial automobile lines of business. These actions exhausted the remaining protection under the GRC Cover and resulted in a \$50.0 million pretax charge in the 2001 fourth quarter to loss and loss adjustment expense.

10

At December 31, 2001, OneBeacon had \$106.3 million of reinsurance currently recoverable on paid losses and \$3,609.7 million that will become recoverable if claims are paid in accordance with current loss reserves estimates. Reinsurance recoverables from Berkshire Hathaway, Inc. ("Berkshire") (NICO and GRC's ultimate parent) under the NICO Cover and the GRC Cover together represented 62.0% of White Mountains' total reinsurance recoverables at December 31, 2001. Because reinsurance contracts do not relieve OneBeacon of its primary obligation to its policyholders, the financial position and solvency of OneBeacon's reinsurers is critical to the

collectibility of its reinsurance coverages. OneBeacon is selective with regard to its reinsurers, placing reinsurance with only those reinsurers having strong financial strength ratings. OneBeacon monitors the financial strength of its reinsurers on an ongoing basis. As a result, uncollectible amounts have not historically been significant.

TERRORISM

As a result of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 (the "Attacks"), OneBeacon incurred approximately \$105.0 million of pretax net losses on gross losses of approximately \$248.0 million in the third quarter of 2001. A significant portion of the gross loss resulted from damage to property in the vicinity of the World Trade Center. Our customer with the largest loss value has reopened for business.

The Attacks have had a profound impact on the United States property and casualty insurance marketplace. Prior to the Attacks, most United States insurance companies had not explicitly contemplated the risk of substantive terrorist attacks when underwriting their policies. In light of the Attacks, OneBeacon and other property and casualty insurance companies have sought to mitigate the risk associated with any future terrorist attacks by seeking to exclude coverage for such losses from their policies.

Nearly all states (New York and California are two major exceptions) have approved or conditionally approved a clause in commercial lines policies which excludes coverage for most losses resulting from biological, chemical or nuclear terrorist attacks. This clause also excludes coverage for commercial property losses resulting from all other types of terrorist attacks exceeding \$25 million and excludes coverage for commercial liability losses if the terrorist event involves the death or serious injury of 50 or more people. In 29 states, however, the terrorist exclusion clause does not apply to losses on commercial property arising from fire subsequent to a terrorist attack. Workers compensation insurance and automobile insurance coverages do not currently contain terrorist exclusion clauses.

OneBeacon's 2002 property catastrophe reinsurance program does not cover personal or commercial property losses resulting from nuclear, biological or chemical terrorist attacks and its property catastrophe program only covers 30% of commercial property losses resulting from other types of terrorist attacks from \$125.0 million to \$650.0 million and 95% of such losses from \$650 million to \$750 million. Therefore, OneBeacon is exposed to the extent exclusion clauses for such losses are not obtained in the direct policies it writes.

OneBeacon closely monitors its concentration of risk by geographic area and primarily writes small commercial and personal lines business, which are unlikely to be direct targets of terrorism. As a result, OneBeacon believes its exposure to losses from future terrorist attacks to be limited. Nonetheless, risks insured by OneBeacon remain exposed to future terrorist attacks and the possibility remains that any future terrorist losses could prove to be material to the Company's financial position and cash flows.

In the months following the Attacks, legislative proposals calling for the United States government to assume losses arising from future terrorist attacks over a certain limit have been discussed in Congress but no proposals have been enacted. White Mountains strongly supports the enactment of responsible legislation.

FOLKSAMERICA

Folksamerica, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Folksamerica Reinsurance Company (a New York-domiciled reinsurance company), is a multi-line broker-market reinsurer which provides reinsurance to insurers of property and casualty and accident and health risks in the United States, Canada, Continental Europe (in 2002), Latin America and the Caribbean. Folksamerica Reinsurance Company is rated "A-" (Excellent) by A.M. Best. Folksamerica became a consolidated subsidiary of the Company during 1998. During the 2000 fourth quarter and the 2001 second quarter, certain insurance operating subsidiaries of White Mountains were contributed to Folksamerica. These operations, which are described separately under "Other Insurance and Reinsurance Operations of Folksamerica", are excluded from the following discussion of Folksamerica unless otherwise noted.

11

In December 2001 Folksamerica received a \$400.0 million capital contribution from OneBeacon, in the form of cash, which was provided to increase Folksamerica's capacity to capitalize on improved pricing trends which accelerated after the Attacks. As a result, Folksamerica is now among the largest U.S. domiciled property and casualty reinsurers as measured by statutory surplus. At December 31, 2001, Folksamerica had total assets of \$3.2 billion and shareholder's equity of \$910.5 million.

Folksamerica commenced writing business in 1980 as one of a host of newly formed, foreign-owned reinsurers capitalized with minimal surplus. In 1991, recognizing that surplus size would become an increasingly important business issue, Folksamerica launched an aggressive strategy to increase its resources and capacity through the acquisition of select broker-market reinsurance and property and casualty insurance companies.

Since 1991, Folksamerica has acquired several other reinsurers which has served to raise Folksamerica's surplus and asset base, broaden its skill set and contribute a number of important business relationships.

Folksamerica's acquisition strategy is to seek fundamentally sound companies whose owners are no longer committed to the business. In these cases, the owner's lack of interest in the operations available for sale have had more

to do with difficulties experienced by the owner in its core business than problems with the operations being sold. Folksamerica's more recent acquisitions included USF Re Insurance Co. ("USF Re") in 1999, PCA Property & Casualty Insurance Company ("PCA") in 2000, substantially all the reinsurance operations of Risk Capital Reinsurance Company (the "Risk Capital Operations") in 2000 and C-F Insurance Company ("C-F") in 2001. Folksamerica will continue to seek additional insurance and reinsurance acquisitions in the future.

REINSURANCE OVERVIEW

Reinsurance is an arrangement in which a reinsurance company (the "reinsurer") agrees to indemnify an insurance company (the "ceding company") for all or a portion of the insurance risks underwritten by the ceding company under one or more insurance policies. Reinsurance can benefit a ceding company in a number of ways, including reducing net liability exposure on individual risks, providing catastrophe protections from large or multiple losses, stabilizing financial results and assisting in maintaining acceptable operating leverage ratios. Reinsurance can also provide a ceding company with additional underwriting capacity by permitting it to accept larger risks and underwrite a greater number of risks without a corresponding increase in its capital or surplus. Reinsurers may also purchase reinsurance, known as retrocessional reinsurance, to cover their own risks assumed from primary ceding companies. Reinsurance companies often enter into retrocessional agreements for many of the same reasons that ceding companies enter into reinsurance agreements.

A significant period of time normally elapses between the receipt of reinsurance premiums and the payment of reinsurance claims. The claims process generally begins upon the occurrence of an event causing an insured loss followed by: (1) the reporting of the loss by the insured to the ceding company; (2) the reporting of the loss by the ceding company to the reinsurer; (3) the ceding company's adjustment and payment of the loss; and (4) the payment to the ceding company by the reinsurer. During this time, reinsurance companies generate investment income, consisting primarily of interest earned on fixed maturity investments and dividends earned on equity securities. The period of time between the receipt of premiums and the payment of claims is typically longer for a reinsurer than for a direct insurer.

Folksamerica writes both treaty and facultative reinsurance. Treaty reinsurance is an agreement whereby the reinsurer assumes a specified portion or category of risk under all qualifying policies issued by the ceding company during the term of the agreement, usually one year. In the underwriting of treaty reinsurance, the reinsurer does not evaluate each individual risk and generally accepts the original underwriting decisions made by the ceding insurer. Folksamerica performs a comprehensive review of the underwriting, pricing, claims handling and general business controls of all potential treaty clients prior to quoting on such arrangements. Facultative reinsurance is underwritten on a risk-by-risk basis, which allows the reinsurer to apply its own pricing to an individual exposure. Facultative reinsurance is normally purchased by insurance companies for individual risks not covered under reinsurance treaties or for amounts in excess of limits on risks covered under reinsurance treaties. The majority of Folksamerica's premiums are derived from treaty reinsurance contracts both on an excess of loss and quota share basis, which in 2001 amounted to 37.3% and 55.5% of its total gross earned premiums, respectively.

Folksamerica derives its business from a spectrum of ceding insurers including national, regional, specialty and excess and surplus lines writers. Folksamerica determines which risks it accepts based on the anticipated underwriting results of the transaction, which are evaluated on a variety of factors including the quality of the

reinsured, the attractiveness of the reinsured's insurance rates, policy conditions and the adequacy of the proposed reinsurance terms.

NEW AFFILIATIONS

OLYMPUS REINSURANCE LTD. ("OLYMPUS"). In December 2001 Folksamerica negotiated a quota share retrocessional arrangement with Olympus which is designed to increase Folksamerica's capacity to capitalize on the enhanced reinsurance fundamentals during 2002 and beyond. Folksamerica anticipates writing additional property excess of loss business in the future as a result of increasing prices and improving conditions. Under the quota share treaty with Olympus, effective January 1, 2002, Folksamerica will cede 75% of its short-tailed excess of loss business, mainly property and marine, to Olympus. Folksamerica receives an override commission on premiums ceded to Olympus and expects such fees to be significant. Olympus is a Bermuda domiciled insurance and reinsurance company that was recently formed with an initial capitalization of more than \$500.0 million to respond to the current favorable underwriting and pricing environment in the reinsurance industry. White Mountains does not have an ownership stake in Olympus.

WHITE MOUNTAINS UNDERWRITING LIMITED ("WMU"). In December 2001, the Company formed WMU, an underwriting management company domiciled in Ireland. WMU, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is expected to expand Folksamerica's access to international property excess of loss reinsurance business and will provide professional insurance services to both Folksamerica and Olympus. WMU receives management fees and a profit commission on business placed with Folksamerica and Olympus; such fees are expected to be significant.

CLASSES OF BUSINESS

BUSINESS CLASSES. Folksamerica writes three main classes of reinsurance: liability reinsurance, property reinsurance and accident and health reinsurance, which for the year ended December 31, 2001 represented 68%, 20% and 6% of its

net written premiums, respectively.

Folksamerica's net written premiums by line of business for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 were as follows:

Year Ended December 31,	Business class (\$ in millions)		
2001	2000	1999	
Liability	\$ 310.6	\$ 208.4	\$ 122.6
Property	93.5	68.9	68.9
Accident and Health	25.1	26.4	25.1
Other	29.7	6.2	10.2
Total	\$ 458.9	\$ 332.6	\$ 201.7

GEOGRAPHIC CONCENTRATION

Folksamerica's net written premiums by geographic region for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 were as follows:

Year Ended December 31,	Geographic region (\$ in millions)		
2001	2000	1999	
United States	\$ 408.3	\$ 296.7	\$ 172.2
Canada	21.6	21.0	21.0
Latin America and the Caribbean	24.0	14.3	8.5
Total	\$ 458.9	\$ 332.6	\$ 201.7

MARKETING

Folksamerica obtains most of its reinsurance business through brokers and reinsurance intermediaries that represent the ceding company. Folksamerica considers both the intermediary and the ceding company as its clients in any placement. Much of Folksamerica's business is conducted with ceding companies and their management, with whom Folksamerica has developed strong business relationships over a long period of time. The process of placing a brokered reinsurance program typically begins when a ceding company enlists the aid of a reinsurance broker in structuring a reinsurance program. Often the ceding company and the broker will consult with one or more lead reinsurers as to the pricing and contract terms for the reinsurance protection being sought. Once the ceding company has approved the terms quoted by the lead reinsurer, the broker will offer participation to qualified reinsurers until the program is fully subscribed by reinsurers at terms agreed to by all parties.

Folksamerica generally pays ceding companies a ceding commission under quota share reinsurance treaties. The ceding commission is generally based on the ceding company's cost of acquiring the business being reinsured (commissions, premium taxes and certain miscellaneous expenses). During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, Folksamerica received no more than 10% of its gross reinsurance premiums from any individual ceding company. Additionally, Folksamerica pays reinsurance brokers' commissions based on negotiated percentages of the premium it writes. These commissions, which average approximately 5% of premium, constitute a significant portion of Folksamerica's total acquisition costs and are included in its underwriting expenses. During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, Folksamerica received approximately 54.4% and 56.4%, respectively, of its gross reinsurance premiums written from three major reinsurance brokers as follows: (1) AON Re, Inc. - 21.3% and 17.2%, respectively; (2) Benfield Blanch - 17.2% and 21.6%, respectively; and (3) Guy Carpenter - 15.9% and 17.6%, respectively.

UNDERWRITING AND PRICING

Folksamerica's underwriters and pricing actuaries evaluate each underwriting submission in order to determine price. Folksamerica prices its products by assessing the desired return on the capital needed to write a given contract and by estimating future loss and loss adjustment expenses and investment income to be earned on net cash flow from the contract. Folksamerica will only accept contracts with a high likelihood of generating acceptable returns on equity. Folksamerica's pricing indications are based on a number of underwriting factors including historical results, analysis of exposure and estimates of future loss costs, a review of other programs displaying similar exposure characteristics, the primary insurer's underwriting and claims experience and the primary insurer's financial condition. Folksamerica's underwriters perform regular underwriting audits to monitor the ceding company's pricing discipline. Such reviews provide important input to support renewal discussions.

Folksamerica and other reinsurance companies have sought to mitigate the risk associated with future terrorist attacks in a similar manner as direct insurers. Since the Attacks, reinsurers have attained significant price increases across all lines of reinsurance in response to greater perceived policy exposures. Regulations regarding permitted policy exclusions applicable to reinsurance contracts are often less stringent than those imposed upon direct insurers. As a result, exclusions are more often dictated by the marketplace than by regulation. Folksamerica's reinsurance contracts on commercial risks written subsequent to the Attacks contain clauses which exclude terrorist exposure. Reinsurance contracts on personal risks written subsequent to the Attacks do not contain such exclusions.

COMPETITION

In general, poor market conditions for primary companies in recent years have caused insurers to reduce their own premium writings or restructure their reinsurance programs, thereby reducing the amount of reinsurance they purchase. As a result of consolidation within the industry, many ceding companies are now

larger and financially stronger, thereby enabling them to retain more risk. In addition, increasingly intense competition in the reinsurance markets, primarily as a result of excess industry capital, has historically driven reinsurance prices on many programs below levels which Folksamerica will accept. The significant insured losses resulting from the Attacks have reduced the capacity of several reinsurers resulting in marked improvements in reinsurance pricing, terms and conditions. However, due to recent capital raising activities by several Bermuda-based and other reinsurers, there is no

assurance that such improved conditions will continue over an extended period. Folksamerica's management believes that the reinsurance industry, including the intermediary market, will continue to undergo further consolidation. Management further believes that size and financial strength will become increasingly important factors in selecting reliable reinsurance partners, particularly in light of the weakening of several reinsurers in the wake of the Attacks.

CLAIMS

Folksamerica maintains a staff of experienced reinsurance claim specialists that work closely with reinsurance intermediaries to obtain specific claims information from its customers. Folksamerica's claims staff also regularly perform on-site claim reviews to assess and improve the reinsured's claim-handling ability and reserving techniques. In addition, Folksamerica's claim specialists review loss information provided by the reinsured for adequacy. The results of Folksamerica's on-site claim reviews are shared with its actuaries and underwriters to ensure that they are making the correct assumptions in pricing its products and that all relevant information is used in establishing loss reserves.

LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE RESERVES

Folksamerica establishes loss and loss adjustment expense reserves that are estimates of future amounts needed to pay claims and related expenses for insured events that have already occurred. Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves have two components: case reserves and IBNR reserves. Reserve estimates reflect the judgement of both the ceding company and Folksamerica, based on the experience and knowledge of their respective claims personnel, regarding the nature and value of the claim. The ceding company may periodically adjust the amount of the case reserves as additional information becomes known or partial payments are made. Upon notification of a loss from a ceding company, Folksamerica establishes case reserves, including loss adjustment expense reserves, based upon Folksamerica's share of the amount of reserves established by the ceding company and Folksamerica's independent evaluation of the loss. In cases where available information indicates that reserves established by the ceding company are inadequate, Folksamerica establishes case reserves in excess of its share of the reserves established by the ceding company.

Folksamerica uses a combination of actuarial methods to determine its IBNR reserves. These methods fall into two general categories: (1) methods by which ultimate claims are estimated based upon historical patterns of paid and reported claim development experienced by Folksamerica, as supplemented by reported industry patterns, and (2) methods in which the level of Folksamerica's IBNR claim reserves are established based upon the application of expected loss ratios relative to earned premium by accident year, line of business and type of reinsurance written by Folksamerica.

The process of estimating loss and loss adjustment expense reserves involves a considerable degree of judgement by management. During the claims settlement period, which may extend over a long period of time, additional facts regarding claims and trends may become evident which may cause Folksamerica to adjust its estimates of the ultimate liability. As a result, actual loss and loss adjustment expenses may deviate, perhaps substantially, from estimates reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Changes to prior year reserves are booked in the current accounting period.

The following table presents the subsequent development of the year-end loss reserves of Folksamerica and its subsidiaries for the ten-year period from 1991 to 2001. Section I of the table shows the gross and net (of reinsurance) estimated liabilities that were recorded at the end of each of the indicated years for all current and prior year unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses. Section II shows the re-estimate of the net liabilities made in each succeeding year. Section III shows the cumulative net (deficiency)/redundancy representing the aggregate change in the liability from the original balance sheet dates. Section IV shows the cumulative net liabilities paid of such previously recorded liabilities.

----- -- Folksamerica's Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses (1), (2), (3) Years Ended December 31, ----- ----- Dollars in Millions 1991(4) 1992(4) 1993(4) 1994(4) 1995(4)											
1996(4)	1997(4)	1998	1999	2000	2001						
----- I. Liability for unpaid losses and LAE Gross balance \$ - \$ 758.3 \$ 798.4 \$ 856.2 \$ 981.5 \$											
1,578.7	\$ 1,461.3	\$ 1,437.6	\$ 1,273.1	\$ 1,556.3	\$ 1,644.5	Less: reins. recoverables on unpaid losses and	(172.0)	(154.4)	(182.4)		
	(201.0)	(390.2)	(352.0)	(398.0)	(351.1)	(724.2)	(896.6)	LAE			
===== Net balance \$ 506.3 \$											
	586.3	\$ 644.0	\$ 673.8	\$ 780.5	\$ 1,188.5	\$ 1,109.3	\$ 1,039.6	\$ 922.0	\$ 832.1	\$ 747.9	
----- II. Net liability re-estimated as of: 1 year later 543.4 601.5 672.5 701.8 834.1 1,222.6 1,125.5 1,036.0 950.8 846.4 - 2 years											
	later 542.9	626.0	706.0	748.6	855.4	1,224.6	1,108.5	1,047.8	962.5	3 years later 567.6	653.2
	1,032.3	4 years later 589.2	680.7	761.3	767.0	874.9	1,214.2	1,088.7	5 years later 610.2	694.8	764.1
		623.0	699.6	772.8	779.2	844.9	7 years later 630.6	706.5	774.2	755.9	8 years later 637.1
											9 years later 635.7
											6 years later 635.7
											696.8
											10 years later 626.3

III. Cumulative net (deficiency)/redundancy	\$(120.0)	\$(110.5)	\$(112.3)	\$(82.1)	\$(64.4)	\$(0.4)	\$20.6	\$7.3	\$(40.5)	\$(14.3)	\$-
Percent (deficient)/ redundant	(23.7)%	(18.8)%	(17.4)%	(12.2)%	(8.3)%	-1.9%	.7%	(4.4)%	(1.7)%	-	-

----- IV. Cumulative net amount of liability paid through:

	1 year later	136.7	165.1	219.8	201.9	225.5	322.6	277.5	291.4	111.1	380.9	2 years later	202.6	289.8	337.3
	323.4	363.6	506.7	472.0	390.6	364.0	3 years later	290.9	366.2	418.2	412.8	457.0	656.6	582.4	552.9
	474.3	542.8	774.0	680.9	5 years later	382.1	467.9	521.4	530.8	608.2	843.1	6 years later	415.8	495.2	565.8
	439.1	530.6	596.3	598.3	8 years later	468.7	554.6	618.2	9 years later	488.4	572.2	10 years later	503.9		

- (1) The table includes the complete loss development history for all periods presented for all companies acquired by Folksamerica through an instrument of Transfer and Assumption approved by the appropriate insurance regulators. Under the instrument, insurance regulators require that Folksamerica report reserve development on loss and loss adjustment expense reserve liabilities as if the companies had been combined from their inception.
- (2) The table excludes Fund American Reinsurance Company Ltd. whose liability for unpaid losses and LAE totalled \$25.8 million as of December 31, 2001.
- (3) For 1991 liabilities are shown net of reinsurance recoverables on paid and unpaid losses and LAE, as was the accounting practice prior to the implementation of SFAS 113.
- (4) Folksamerica became a consolidated subsidiary of the Company during 1998. Reserve development for the years ended 1991 through 1997 reflects development on reserves established before the Company consolidated Folksamerica's results.

REINSURANCE PROTECTION

Folksamerica has exposure to losses caused by hurricanes, earthquakes, winter storms, windstorms, terrorist acts and other catastrophic events. In the normal course of business, Folksamerica seeks to reduce the risk of loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance and reinsurance enterprises and by closely monitoring aggregate property exposures and related probable maximum losses ("PML", which is a model based estimate of the maximum dollar amount that can be lost if a catastrophe occurs). To manage and analyze aggregate exposures and PML, Folksamerica utilizes a variety of tools and analyses, including catastrophe modeling software packages. Folksamerica's catastrophe management strategy is to limit its PML to less than 10% of surplus for a 1 in 250 year event. Folksamerica continually assesses its concentration of underwriting exposures in catastrophe prone areas and develops strategies to manage this exposure, primarily through limiting accumulation of exposure to acceptable levels and the purchase of catastrophe reinsurance. Folksamerica's current catastrophe protection program includes 85% of \$35.0 million of protection in excess of a \$25.0 million retention for the first loss and additional coverage for a second loss. The current program also includes coverage of \$10.0 million in excess of a \$5.0 million retention for Folksamerica's proportional property portfolio. Both of the above contracts are 100% placed with a single, top quality reinsurer, and have reinstatement provisions whereby,

in the event of one loss, the coverage is reinstated for additional premium.

Folksamerica recorded gross and net of reinsurance losses from the Attacks of approximately \$104.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively, during 2001. Folksamerica has evaluated each of its significant reinsurers and believes its provision for uncollectible reinsurance, with respect to reinsurance relating to the Attacks and otherwise, to be adequate.

OTHER INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE OPERATIONS OF FOLKSAMERICA

In October 1999 White Mountains completed its acquisition of International American Group ("IAG"), a collection of insurance companies, for \$86.7 million in cash. White Mountains acquired Peninsula Insurance Company ("PIC"), American Centennial Insurance Company ("ACIC") and British Insurance Company of Cayman ("BICC") through its acquisition of IAG, all of which were owned by Folksamerica at December 31, 2001.

PIC. PIC, which was established in 1960, is a Maryland-domiciled property and casualty insurer which writes both personal and commercial lines, primarily private passenger auto, homeowners, commercial auto and commercial multi-peril. Most of PIC's insurance products are sold in Maryland, Delaware and Virginia. PIC is rated "A" (Excellent) by A.M. Best. PIC markets insurance products principally through independent agents. PIC's primary business focus is to establish strong long-term relationships with its agents and insured customers by focusing on providing quality insurance products to families and small private businesses. PIC pays their independent agents commissions representing negotiated percentages of the premium they write. These commissions, which currently range from 5.0% to 20.0% of premium, depending on the line of business, constitute a significant portion of total acquisition costs and are included in underwriting expenses. At December 31, 2001 and 2000 and for the years then ended, PIC had \$55.9 million and \$56.3 million of total assets, \$28.3 million and \$22.7 million in net written premiums and \$22.5 million and \$23.7 million of shareholder's equity, respectively.

ACIC AND BICC. ACIC and BICC are Delaware-domiciled and Cayman Island-domiciled, respectively, property and casualty insurance companies in run-off. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, ACIC had \$66.2 million and \$66.0 million of total assets and \$37.0 million and \$43.9 million of shareholder's equity, respectively. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, BICC had \$22.4 million and \$22.1 million of total assets and \$4.4 million and \$4.5 million of shareholder's equity, respectively.

ESURANCE, INC. ("ESURANCE"). Esurance, which was established in 1998, is a personal lines property and casualty insurance provider that currently sells personal auto insurance in 27 states. Esurance leverages technology to remove excess costs from the marketing, sales and servicing of personal lines insurance products. Esurance focuses on the Internet as its main sales and service channel, while maintaining its customer service center on a 24/7 basis. In October 2000, Folksamerica purchased an 80% majority interest in Esurance for \$9.0 million. During the fourth quarter of 2001, Folksamerica purchased the remaining 20% minority interest in Esurance for \$1.5 million, thereby making Esurance a wholly owned subsidiary as of December 31, 2001. At and for the year ended December 31, 2001, Esurance had total assets of \$9.6 million, total revenues of \$3.2 million and an accumulated shareholder's deficit of \$16.1 million.

FUND AMERICAN REINSURANCE COMPANY LTD. ("FUND AMERICAN RE")

On December 20, 2001, Fund American Re, a subsidiary of the Company, acquired substantially all of the international reinsurance operations of the Folksam Group ("Folksam") of Stockholm, Sweden. With this acquisition, White Mountains has begun the formation and growth of its internationally-based reinsurance operations. Fund American Re is commercially domiciled in Bermuda but maintains its executive office and an operating branch in Stockholm, Sweden, and operates through an additional branch in Singapore. Gross annual premium volume for the acquired operations averaged \$170.0 million during the preceding three-year period. The \$64.0 million purchase price was paid in a combination of cash, a note and Common Shares. Folksamerica will provide reinsurance support for this international expansion. At December 31, 2001, Fund American Re had \$126.3 million of total assets and \$63.9 million of shareholder's equity. Due to the timing of the formation of Fund American Re and the related Folksam acquisition, Fund American Re's results of operations for 2001 were not material.

17

INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED INSURANCE AFFILIATES

White Mountains' investments in unconsolidated insurance affiliates represent operating investments in other insurers in which White Mountains has a significant voting and economic interest but does not own more than 50.0% of the entity.

MONTPELIER RE HOLDINGS LTD. ("MONTPELIER")

In December 2001 White Mountains, the Benfield Group plc and several other private investors established Montpelier and its wholly owned subsidiary Montpelier Reinsurance Ltd. ("Montpelier Re"). Montpelier Re is a Bermuda-domiciled insurance and reinsurance company which was formed to respond to the current favorable underwriting and pricing environment in the reinsurance industry. Montpelier Re will initially focus on property reinsurance business and had an initial capitalization of more than \$1.0 billion, consisting of \$874.0 million of common equity and \$150.0 million of bank debt. OneBeacon invested \$180.0 million in Montpelier consisting of 1,800,000 common shares valued at \$100 per share and the Company received warrants to acquire an additional 797,088 common shares at \$100 per share over the next ten years for its efforts in forming Montpelier. Three of White Mountains' directors serve on Montpelier's eleven member board of directors, including John J. Byrne, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, who serves as Montpelier's non-executive Chairman. White Mountains owns approximately 21% of the outstanding common shares of Montpelier, or approximately 27% on a fully-converted basis, and accounts for this investment using the equity method.

MAIN STREET AMERICA HOLDINGS, INC. ("MSA")

MSA is a subsidiary of National Grange Mutual Insurance Company ("NGM"), a New Hampshire-domiciled property and casualty insurance company, which insures risks located primarily in New York, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, New Hampshire, Virginia and Florida. NGM's principal lines of business and approximate percentage of total direct written premiums are personal automobile (41%), commercial multi-peril (20%), homeowners (13%) and commercial automobile (15%). MSA, through its subsidiaries, participates in 60% of NGM's property and casualty business through a quota share reinsurance agreement. MSA's net written premiums totalled \$306.8 million, \$265.4 million and \$242.7 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively, and its net income was \$6.8 million, \$3.8 million and \$25.8 million, respectively. MSA's total assets as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 were \$653.8 million and \$608.7 million, respectively, and its shareholders' equity was \$262.3 million and \$253.8 million, respectively. White Mountains owns 50% of the outstanding common stock of MSA. White Mountains' investment in MSA was \$133.7 million and \$130.6 million at December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000, respectively.

FORMER OPERATIONS

FINANCIAL SECURITY ASSURANCE HOLDINGS LTD. ("FSA")

FSA guarantees scheduled payments of principal and interest on municipal bonds and asset-backed securities, including residential mortgage-backed securities.

On July 5, 2000 White Mountains concluded the sale of its indirect, wholly owned subsidiary, White Mountains Holdings, Inc. (which controlled a substantial amount of its holdings of FSA) and all its other holdings of the common stock of FSA ("FSA Common Stock") to Dexia S.A. for proceeds of \$620.4 million (the "Dexia Sale") which resulted in a pretax gain of \$391.2 million.

From 1994 to 1999 White Mountains purchased 4,382,709 shares of FSA Common Stock in a series of public and private transactions. During 1999, White

Mountains exercised various fixed price options ("FSA Options") which provided White Mountains with 2,560,607 additional shares of FSA Common Stock. White Mountains also held shares of convertible preferred stock ("FSA Preferred Stock") which gave White Mountains the right to acquire up to 2,000,000 additional shares of FSA Common Stock.

Prior to the Dexia Sale, White Mountains' accounted for its investment in FSA Common Stock using the equity method. White Mountains' accounted for its investment in FSA Preferred Stock and FSA Options under the provisions of SFAS No. 115 whereby the investment was reported at fair value as of the balance sheet date, with related unrealized investment gains and losses, after tax, reported as a net amount in a separate component of common shareholders' equity and reported on the income statement as a component of other comprehensive net income.

18

WATERFORD INSURANCE COMPANY ("WATERFORD")

Waterford is a small Kansas-domiciled property and casualty insurance company that was purchased by White Mountains in 1996. Waterford was sold to a third party on January 5, 2001 for cash proceeds of \$23.6 million (net of transaction related expenses), which resulted in a pretax gain of \$12.4 million.

VALLEY GROUP, INC. ("VGI")

VGI is a collection of property and casualty insurance companies acquired by White Mountains in 1995 which included Valley Insurance Companies ("Valley") of Albany, Oregon and Charter Group, Inc. ("CGI") of Richardson, Texas. White Mountains subsequently formed White Mountains Insurance Company ("WMIC"), a small property and casualty company. Valley, CGI and WMIC are collectively referred to herein as "VGI".

In June 1999 White Mountains completed the sale of VGI to Unitrin, Inc. (the "VGI Sale") and received net proceeds of \$139.0 million in cash after receiving a special dividend prior to the closing of \$76.6 million (net of related tax liabilities) consisting of cash, investment securities and the common stock of Waterford. The VGI Sale resulted in a pretax gain of \$88.1 million. As part of the VGI Sale, White Mountains has provided Unitrin, Inc. with certain adverse loss development protections that will be settled as of December 31, 2002. During 2001 and 2000 White Mountains provided \$5.9 million and \$5.4 million in reserves for such adverse loss development protections, respectively.

OTHER OPERATIONS

In 1991 White Mountains sold Fireman's Fund Insurance Company ("Fireman's Fund"), a large property and casualty insurance company, to Allianz of America, Inc. Since 1991 the Company carried a reserve related to various outstanding tax issues involving the sale. In 2000, the Company was informed that the Internal Revenue Service agreed with its position taken in its 1991 tax return and, accordingly, released a \$95.0 million reserve during 2000 to income which is presented as a gain from discontinued operations.

In 1999 White Mountains concluded the sale of substantially all the mortgage banking assets (the "Mortgage Banking Sale") of White Mountains Services Corporation (formerly Source One Mortgage Services Corporation) and received net proceeds totaling \$180.6 million. White Mountains recorded a \$19.4 million pretax (\$12.6 million after tax) gain on the sale of its mortgage banking net assets that is shown as discontinued operations herein.

REGULATION

White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance operations are subject to regulation and supervision in each of the jurisdictions where they are domiciled and licensed to conduct business. Generally, regulatory authorities have broad supervisory and administrative powers over such matters as licenses, standards of solvency, premium rates, policy forms, investments, security deposits, methods of accounting, form and content of financial statements, reserves for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses, reinsurance, minimum capital and surplus requirements, dividends and other distributions to shareholders, periodic examinations and annual and other report filings. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than shareholders. White Mountains believes that it is in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations pertaining to its business that would have a material effect on its financial position in the event of non-compliance.

Over the last several years most states have implemented laws that establish standards for current, as well as continued, state accreditation. In addition, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") has adopted risk-based capital ("RBC") standards for property and casualty companies as a means of monitoring certain aspects affecting the overall financial condition of insurance companies. The current RBC ratios of White Mountains' active insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are satisfactory and such ratios are not expected to result in any adverse regulatory action. White Mountains is not aware of any current recommendations by regulatory authorities that would be expected to have a material effect on its results of operations or liquidity.

As a condition of its license to do business in certain states, White Mountains' insurance operations are required to participate in mandatory shared market mechanisms. Each state dictates the types of insurance and the level of coverage that must be provided. The most common type of shared market mechanism in which White Mountains is required to participate is an assigned risk plan. Many states operate assigned risk plans. The NYAIP and New Jersey automobile insurance plans are two such shared market mechanisms in which OneBeacon is

19

compelled to participate. These plans require insurers licensed within the applicable state to accept the applications for insurance policies of individuals who are unable to obtain insurance in the voluntary market. The total number of such policies an insurer is required to accept is based on its market share of voluntary business in the state. Underwriting results related to assigned risk plans are typically adverse. Accordingly, OneBeacon may be required to underwrite policies with a higher risk of loss than it would otherwise accept.

Reinsurance facilities are another type of shared market mechanism. Reinsurance facilities require an insurance company to accept all applications submitted by certain state designated agents. The reinsurance facility then allows the insurer to cede some of its business to the reinsurance facility so that the facility will reimburse the insurer for claims paid on ceded business. Typically, however, reinsurance facilities operate at a deficit, which is funded through assessments against the same insurers. The Massachusetts Commonwealth Automobile Reinsurers ("MassCAR") is one such reinsurance facility in which OneBeacon is compelled to participate. As a result, OneBeacon could be required to underwrite policies with a higher risk of loss than it would otherwise accept.

The insurance laws of many states generally provide that property and casualty insurers doing business in those states belong to a statutory property and casualty guaranty association. The purpose of these guaranty associations is to protect policyholders by requiring that solvent property and casualty insurers pay certain insurance claims of insolvent insurers. These guaranty associations generally pay these claims by assessing solvent insurers proportionately based on the insurer's share of voluntary premiums written in the state. While most guaranty associations provide for recovery of assessments through rate increases, surcharges or premium tax credits, there is no assurance that insurers will ultimately recover these assessments. During 2001, OneBeacon incurred approximately \$30.6 million in charges related to the Reliance Insurance Company insolvency.

Many states have laws and regulations that limit an insurer's ability to exit a market. For example, certain states limit a private passenger auto insurer's ability to cancel and non-renew policies. Furthermore, certain states prohibit an insurer from withdrawing from one or more lines of insurance business in the state, unless the state regulators approve the company's withdrawal plans. State regulators may refuse to approve such plans on the grounds that they could lead to market disruption. Such laws and regulations may restrict White Mountains' ability to exit unprofitable markets.

Nearly all states have insurance laws requiring personal property and casualty insurers to file price schedules, policy or coverage forms, and other information with the state's regulatory authority. In most cases, such price schedules and/or policy forms must be approved prior to use. While pricing laws vary from state to state, their objectives are generally to ensure that prices are adequate, not excessive and not discriminatory. For example Massachusetts, a state where OneBeacon has a sizable presence, sets virtually all aspects of automobile insurance rates, including agent commissions. Such regulations often challenge an insurers ability to adequately price its product, which often leads to unsatisfactory underwriting results.

White Mountains' insurance subsidiaries are subject to state laws and regulations that require investment portfolio diversification and that limit the amount of investment in certain categories. Non-compliance may cause non-conforming investments to be non-admitted in measuring statutory surplus and, in some instances, may require divestiture. White Mountains investment portfolio at December 31, 2001 complied with such laws and regulations in all material respects.

One of the primary sources of cash inflows for the Company and certain of its intermediary holding companies is dividends received from its operating subsidiaries. Under the insurance laws of the states and countries under which White Mountains' insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, an insurer is restricted with respect to the timing or the amount of dividends it may pay without prior approval by regulatory authorities. In a given calendar year, the insurance subsidiaries can generally dividend up to the greater of 10% of their statutory surplus at the beginning of the year or the prior year's statutory net income without prior regulatory approval, subject to the availability of unassigned funds (the statutory accounting equivalent of retained earnings). Larger dividends can be paid only upon regulatory approval. Accordingly, there is no assurance regarding the amount of such dividends that may be paid by such subsidiaries in the future.

White Mountains is subject to regulation under certain state insurance holding company acts. These regulations contain reporting requirements relating to the capital structure, ownership, financial condition and general business operations of White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries. These regulations also contain special reporting and prior approval requirements with respect to certain transactions among affiliates.

While the federal government does not directly regulate the insurance business, federal legislation and administrative policies affect the insurance industry. In addition, legislation has been introduced from time to time in recent years that, if enacted, could result in the federal government assuming a more direct role in the regulation of the insurance industry. A number of enacted and pending legislative measures could lead to increased consolidation and increased competition for business and for capital in the financial services industry. White Mountains cannot predict whether any state or federal measures will be adopted to change the nature or scope of the regulation of the insurance business or what effect such measures may have on its insurance and reinsurance operations.

Environmental cleanup of polluted waste sites is subject to both federal and state regulation. The Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("Superfund") and comparable state statutes ("mini-Superfund") govern the cleanup and restoration of waste sites by Potentially Responsible Parties ("PRPs"). Superfund and the mini-Superfunds establish a mechanism to pay for cleanup of waste sites if PRPs fail to do so, and to assign liability to PRPs. The extent of liability allocated to a PRP is dependent on a variety of factors, including the extent of cleanup necessary and the process of assigning liability. The insurance industry in general is involved in extensive litigation regarding coverage issues arising out of the cleanup of waste sites by insured PRPs and as a result has disputed many such claims. Superfund reform proposals have been introduced in Congress, but none has yet been enacted. At this time, it remains unclear as to whether Superfund reform legislation will be enacted or that any such legislation will provide for a fair, effective and cost-efficient system for settlement of Superfund related claims. The NICO Cover includes coverage for such exposures; however, there can be no assurance that the coverage provided under the NICO Cover will ultimately prove to be adequate.

RATINGS

Insurance and reinsurance companies are evaluated by various rating agencies in order to provide a basis for measuring the financial strength of individual insurance companies. Higher ratings generally indicate financial stability and a stronger ability to pay claims. A.M. Best, a rating agency which specializes in the insurance and reinsurance industry, currently rates OneBeacon's principal operating subsidiaries "A" (Excellent) and Folksamerica's principal reinsurance operating subsidiary "A-" (Excellent). White Mountains believes that strong ratings are important factors in the marketing of insurance products to agents and consumers.

INVESTING OPERATIONS

The investment portfolios of White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance operations consist primarily of fixed maturity investments but also consist, in part, of short term investments, common equity securities and other investments. White Mountains' management believes that modest investments of common equity securities and other investments within its investment portfolio are likely to enhance after tax total returns without significantly increasing the risk profile of the portfolio when considered over long periods of time.

The fixed maturity portfolios of White Mountains are comprised primarily of investment grade corporate debt securities, U.S. government and agency securities and mortgage-backed securities (e.g., greater than 99% of such securities received a rating from the National Association of Insurance Commissioners of 1 or 2). Nearly all the fixed income securities currently held by White Mountains are publicly traded. White Mountains expects to continue to invest primarily in high quality fixed maturity investments.

At December 31, 2001, White Mountains' investment portfolio consisted of \$6,128.3 million (68%) of fixed maturity investments, \$2,545.8 million (28%) of short-term investments and \$331.6 million (4%) of common equity securities and other investments. White Mountains' fixed maturity portfolio at December 31, 2001 consisted principally of corporate debt securities (57%), U.S. government and agency securities (30%), mortgage-backed securities (8%) and preferred equity securities, foreign government obligations and municipal bonds (5%).

White Mountains' investment philosophy is to invest all assets with a view towards maximizing its after-tax total return over extended periods of time. Under this approach, each dollar of after-tax investment income, realized gains and losses and unrealized gains and losses is valued equally. White Mountains' overall fixed maturity investment strategy is to purchase securities that are attractively priced in relation to perceived credit risks. White Mountains generally manages the interest rate risk associated with holding fixed maturity investments by actively monitoring and maintaining the average duration of the portfolio with a view towards achieving an adequate after-tax total return without subjecting the portfolio to an unreasonable level of interest rate risk. At December 31, 2001, the duration of White Mountains' fixed income portfolio was approximately 5.1 years.

21

Management further believes that the investment assets of its insurance and reinsurance operations should be invested in a portfolio consisting of a mixture of fixed income investments, equity securities and other investments (primarily investments in limited partnership interests that invest in common equity securities) in order to maximize returns over extended periods of time. OneBeacon's Investment Committee, comprised of certain officers and key managers of OneBeacon and other investment professionals, oversees White Mountains' investment activities. The Investment Committee regularly monitors the overall investment results of White Mountains, reviews the results of each of White Mountains' various investment managers, reviews compliance with established investment guidelines, approves all purchases and sales of investment securities and ultimately reports the overall investment results to the Company's Board of Directors.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2001, White Mountains employed 6,893 persons (consisting of eight persons at the Company, approximately 60 persons at Fund American Re and approximately 6,825 persons at OneBeacon and its subsidiaries including Folksamerica). Management believes that White Mountains has satisfactory relations with its employees and with its agents. On January 1, 2002, OneBeacon transferred approximately 2,400 of its employees to Liberty

Mutual pursuant to the Renewal Rights Agreement.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company maintains two professional offices in Hamilton, Bermuda which serve as its headquarters and its registered office. Fund American Re maintains a branch office in Stockholm, Sweden. The home offices of OneBeacon and Folksamerica are located in Boston, Massachusetts and New York, New York, respectively, with branch offices in various cities throughout the United States. In addition, the Company maintains a professional office in White River Junction, Vermont which serves, in part, as its principal executive office.

The Company's headquarters, registered office and principal executive offices are leased. Fund American Re's branch office in Sweden is leased. The home offices of OneBeacon and Folksamerica and most of its branch offices are leased with the exception of branch offices located in Florida, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Tennessee and Washington, which are owned by OneBeacon. Additionally, OneBeacon owns office facilities in Illinois, Pennsylvania and Oregon. Certain leased and owned OneBeacon office locations have been leased or subleased to Liberty Mutual in connection with the Renewal Rights Agreement for a period of no more than three years. Management considers its office facilities suitable and adequate for its current level of operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

White Mountains, and the insurance and reinsurance industry in general, are subject to litigation and arbitration in the normal course of its business. As of December 31, 2001, White Mountains was not a party to any material litigation or arbitration other than as routinely encountered in claims activity, none of which is expected by management to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and cash flows.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of the Company's shareholders during the fourth quarter of 2001.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

As of March 22, 2002, there were 497 registered holders of Common Shares of the Company, par value \$1.00 per share.

During 2001 and 2000 the Company declared and paid cash dividends on Common Shares totaling \$1.00 and \$1.20 per Common Share, respectively. During 2000 the Company paid its cash dividends quarterly but in 2001 changed its dividend payment policy to provide for an annual dividend payable in the first quarter of each year, dependent on the Company's financial position and the regularity of its cash flows.

Common Shares (symbol WTM) are listed on the New York Stock Exchange. The quarterly range of the daily closing price for Common Shares during 2001 and 2000 is presented below:

	2001	2000		HIGH	LOW	High	Low
Quarter ended: December 31	\$ 369.99	\$ 330.00	\$ 319.00	\$ 239.00	September 30		
	376.00	315.50	272.88	155.00	June 30	391.00	304.01
			328.50	290.00	135.81	102.00	

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Selected consolidated income statement data and ending balance sheet data for each of the five years ended December 31, 2001, follows:

Year Ended December 31,	Millions, except per share amounts 2001(a)														
2000(b)	1999(c)	1998(d)	1997	INCOME STATEMENT DATA:						BALANCE SHEET DATA:					
				Revenues	\$ 3,234	\$ 848	\$ 579	\$ 390	\$ 293	Expenses	3,656	493	418	311	192
				Pretax earnings (loss)	(422)	355	161	79	101	Income tax (provision)					
				benefit	174	(42)	(53)	(28)	(36)	Accretion and dividends on preferred stock of subsidiaries	(23)				
				Net income (loss)	from continuing operations	\$ (271)	\$ 313	\$ 108	\$ 51	\$ 65	Netincome (loss) from continuing operations per share: Basic \$ (86.52)				
				Diluted	\$ (86.52)	\$ 52.84	\$ 17.66	\$ 7.75	\$ 8.93	BALANCE SHEET DATA: Total assets \$ 16,493 \$ 3,545					
				Short-term debt	358	-	4	52	2	Long-term debt	767	96(e)	203	186	132
				Minority interest-	mandatorily redeemable preferred stock of subsidiaries	170	-	-	-	Common shareholders' equity (i)	1,445	1,046	614	703	659
				Book value per share (j)	\$ 160.36	\$ 177.07	\$ 103.32	\$ 109.68	\$ 100.08	Tangible book value per share (j) (k)	\$ 225.81	\$ 187.65	\$ 120.23	\$ 115.11	\$ 100.08
				Cash dividends paid per Common Share	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.60	\$.80	Ending common and equivalent Common Shares (000's)(l)	10,048	5,961	5,946	6,831	6,983

(a) Includes the acquisition of OneBeacon on June 1, 2001 and its results of operations from that date through December 31, 2001. In connection with the

acquisition of OneBeacon, White Mountains issued \$1,085 million in debt. White Mountains also issued preferred stock of subsidiaries and warrants to acquire Common Shares and convertible preference shares for total proceeds of \$758 million.

- (b) Includes the acquisitions of PCA and the Risk Capital Operations as well as the gain on the Dexia Sale.
- (c) Includes gains resulting from the VGI Sale and the Mortgage Banking Sale.
- (d) Includes the interim period income statement and ending balance sheet of Folksamerica which was initially consolidated by the Company during 1998.
- (e) Reflects a significant repayment of long-term debt by Folksamerica during 2000. See Note 6.
- (f) Deferred credits added during 2001 resulted from the purchase of OneBeacon. See Note 2.
- (g) Deferred credits added during 1999 resulted principally from the purchase of IAG. See Note 2.
- (h) Deferred credits added during 1998 resulted from White Mountains' initial consolidation of Folksamerica by the Company. See Note 2.
- (i) Reflects reductions in common shareholders' equity resulting from significant repurchases of Common Shares from 1996 to 1999 and an increase in common shareholders' equity in 2001 resulting from capital raising activities undertaken in connection with the Acquisition. See Note 2.
- (j) As adjusted for the dilutive effects of outstanding options and warrants to acquire Common Shares.
- (k) Book value per Common Share plus unamortized deferred credits less goodwill per Common Share.
- (l) Includes outstanding options and warrants to acquire Common Shares.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following financial discussion should be read in conjunction with White Mountains' Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto which are contained elsewhere in this report. White Mountains' business has undergone significant changes during 2001, therefore, particular attention should be given to the Company's description of its current business contained in Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following discussion contains forward looking statements. White Mountains intends statements which are not historical in nature to be, and are hereby identified as "forward-looking statements" to be covered by the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This safe harbor requires that White Mountains specify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of White Mountains. White Mountains cannot promise that its expectations in such forward-looking statements will turn out to be correct. White Mountains' actual results could be materially different from and worse than its expectations. Refer to page 42 for specific important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001, 2000 AND 1999

White Mountains' discussion of its results of operations is presented below under the following subheadings: I. Summary of Operations by Segment, II. the OneBeacon Acquisition and Associated Capital Raising Activities and III. Review of Consolidated Results.

A tabular summary of White Mountains' consolidated financial results for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 follows:

Year Ended December 31,	Millions, except per Share amounts 2001		
2000 1999			
	Total revenues	\$ 3,233.6	\$ 848.2
	Total expenses	\$ 3,655.8	\$ 492.8
	Net income (loss)	\$ 417.7	\$ (259.3)
	Diluted net income (loss) per share:	\$ 19.73	\$ (84.75)
	Book Value per Common Share:	\$ 177.07	\$ 160.36
	Fully converted tangible book value per Common Share and Common Share equivalents	\$ 120.23	\$ 225.81

White Mountains' fully converted tangible book value per Common Share and Common Share equivalents grew by more than 20% during 2001, principally as a result of its acquisition of OneBeacon for \$682.0 million less than the value of the net assets acquired. The net loss of \$259.3 million for 2001 resulted from net losses experienced at OneBeacon (\$156.2 million), its reinsurance operations Folksamerica (\$28.1 million) and \$75.0 million in losses from other insurance and holding company operations. OneBeacon and Folksamerica's poor 2001 underwriting results were significantly impacted by \$85.0 million in net after tax losses incurred in connection with the Attacks and, in the case of OneBeacon, by unsatisfactory underwriting results. White Mountains' loss per Common Share of \$84.75 in 2001 resulted from the insurance and reinsurance losses previously mentioned and a \$305.1 million reduction to net income available to common shareholders relating to its Convertible Preference Shares during the brief period they were outstanding during 2001.

The Company has revised its loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$84.75 from \$38.95, which was the amount previously reported in its earnings release filed on Form 8-K (Item 9) on February 28, 2002. This revision did not change the Company's reported book value per share (\$160.36), fully converted tangible book value per share (\$225.81), retained earnings (\$355 million) or common shareholders' equity (\$1,445 million) at December 31, 2001, or its reported net loss (\$259 million) or comprehensive net loss (\$302 million) for the year then ended. This change does not affect the text of the Company's 2001 earnings release as its focus has always been on growth in tangible book value per share and its comprehensive net income or loss, neither of which have been revised.

The revision results from the manner in which the Company's Convertible Preference Shares were considered in arriving at earnings per share during the brief period they were outstanding. The Company, in consultation with its independent accountants, marked its Convertible Preference Shares to market (based on the market value of underlying Common Shares) with the excess of market over the cash proceeds it received being charged directly to retained earnings. In preparing this Annual Report on Form 10-K, it was determined that this net charge should have been included in the Company's determination of earnings or loss per share, and the related determination of net income or loss available to common shareholders, for the interim periods ended June 30, 2001 and September 30, 2001, and certain other pro forma periods. As a result, the Company has restated all applicable interim and pro forma earnings per share and related disclosures in Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained herein. Since the Convertible Preference Shares were converted to Common Share on August 23, 2001, the Company's reported results for the three-months ended December 31, 2001 were not affected.

White Mountains' fully converted tangible book value per Common Share and Common Share equivalents at December 31, 2000 was \$187.65, an increase of \$67.42 from December 31, 1999. The increase resulted primarily from the Dexia Sale and the gain relating to the sale of Fireman's Fund.

White Mountains' net income for 2000 of \$407.9 million resulted principally from the Dexia Sale (\$391.2 million pretax) and a gain related to the taxation of the sale of Fireman's Fund in 1991 (\$95.0 million). White Mountains' net income for 1999 of \$121.0 million resulted principally from the VGI Sale (\$88.1 million pretax) and the Mortgage Banking Sale (\$19.4 million pretax, \$12.6 million after tax).

25

I. SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS BY SEGMENT

As a result of the Acquisition, White Mountains now conducts its operations through three distinct segments consisting of OneBeacon, Folksamerica and its Other Insurance Operations and Holding Company. White Mountains' Other Insurance Operations and Holding Company segment is comprised of the Company, BICC, ACIC, PIC, Esurance, Fund American Re and certain of the Company's intermediate holding companies. White Mountains segment information is presented in Note 13.

ONEBEACON

OneBeacon is currently producing unsatisfactory underwriting results and has done so for several years. White Mountains believes that its purchase of OneBeacon added significant value to its shareholders, provided it can quickly, and significantly, improve this business through successful execution of a series of initiatives commenced in 2001.

White Mountains has already moved quickly to strengthen OneBeacon's balance sheet with a strong view towards capital preservation. This was accomplished in three separate ways. The first was to cause the seller to purchase reinsurance contracts with two highly rated reinsurance companies: a full risk-transfer cover from NICO for up to \$2.5 billion in old asbestos and environmental claims and \$400 million in adverse development coverage on losses occurring in years 2000 and prior from GRC. The second action was to insist that OneBeacon's large portfolio of common stocks (\$1.7 billion) and municipal bonds (\$1.4 billion) be substantially sold-off prior to the Acquisition. Post-acquisition, White Mountains further repositioned OneBeacon's investment portfolio, including a substantial sell-off of its mortgage-backed securities, to achieve a highly liquid, high-quality fixed income portfolio of an intermediate duration. Finally, White Mountains' purchase accounting adjustments resulted in a strengthening of OneBeacon's acquired balance sheet in the form of adjustments to OneBeacon's insurance and non-insurance liabilities and assets. These actions, coupled with more disciplined loss reserving practices post-acquisition, have made OneBeacon's balance sheet considerably more solid than the balance sheet acquired by White Mountains on June 1, 2001.

Immediately after the Acquisition a new management team was appointed and new performance expectations established. Through ongoing communication of White Mountains' operating principles and the introduction of long-term incentive compensation tied closely to results, OneBeacon is building a culture dedicated to making the significant changes necessary to improve OneBeacon's execution, discipline, and focus upon profitability. OneBeacon's new performance-based compensation program is based on its "trade ratio". Aggressive steps have been taken to improve results quickly including the segregation of the book between core and non-core businesses.

CORE OPERATIONS

White Mountains also moved quickly to start fixing OneBeacon's business as its historical underwriting results were highly unsatisfactory. White Mountains identified certain businesses that offer reasonable profit

improvement opportunities. These "core" operations consist of personal lines and commercial lines sold through agents in New England, New York and New Jersey and selected Specialty products. Specialty products consist principally of Agri, IMU (ocean marine) and A.W.G. Dewar (tuition reimbursement) whose distribution is not limited to the Northeast.

COMMERCIAL LINES. In ongoing commercial lines, price increases of 16% were achieved in 2001 with a further 25% targeted for 2002. Many poor performing classes of business, agencies and medium and large accounts have been terminated. Further attention has been directed toward taking appropriate underwriting actions to reduce OneBeacon's risk with respect to emerging exposures such as terrorism, construction defect, mold and coastal exposures.

26

PERSONAL LINES. Due to a late start, personal lines prices were increased only 5% in 2001. Through rate increases and rate equivalent actions such as insurance to value, a 16% increase is being targeted for 2002. Rate equivalent actions on business renewed during the first three months of 2002 have resulted in 13% and 4% average increases across homeowners and automobile business, respectively. In addition, base rate increases have been approved in several states for homeowners and automobile lines. Given OneBeacon's concentration of business in the Northeast, careful management of windstorm exposures is critical. OneBeacon is taking steps to reduce its exposure through decreasing the number of properties it insures in coastal territories and implementing a windstorm deductible program. Also, because OneBeacon writes the majority of its ongoing personal automobile insurance in three very difficult markets, New York, New Jersey and Massachusetts, dedicated business units have been formed to address the unique aspects of each market. For example, AutoOne, OneBeacon's LAD operation was formed to address the particularly difficult assigned risk market in New York.

CLAIMS. Management has been improving the claims function by making expenditures in claims personnel, processes and systems where it is believed that lower overall loss costs will be the result. All field claims professionals have been realigned under a single reporting relationship to the Chief Claims Officer in lieu of a decentralized structure. The quality of staff has been upgraded and staff levels have been increased in certain high impact areas for more effective case management. To better enable claims professionals to determine appropriate indemnity settlement amounts, a state of the art tool has been deployed. The use of appraisals, re-inspections and audits also has increased along with the implementation of quality control and performance metrics across the function. Workers compensation claims handling has been centralized which, along with enhanced early intervention programs, nurse case management and retained health care provider relationships, will help get the injured workers back to work faster. Improved claims reporting procedures have been implemented for automobile claims which, along with more efficient use of vendors on physical damage claims, will help reduce loss cost and improve service to agents and insureds. As a result of these actions, management expects a more professional, better equipped claims organization to emerge.

OTHER INITIATIVES. Credit terms have been changed to accelerate the receipt of cash and collection of old receivables is a high priority. Spending on major systems initiatives has been substantially scaled back to focus on delivering meaningful improvements to existing systems while eliminating the multitude of redundant systems left over from the Merger. Management is working diligently to reconstruct reliable historical data from legacy General Accident systems.

NON-CORE OPERATIONS

The underwriting results of OneBeacon's non-core operations have been particularly unsatisfactory. The national program and national accounts business has been placed into run-off, with OneBeacon's obligation to write new business in most multi-year programs winding down in 2002. The largest element of business included in this sub-segment, however, is agency produced business written in territories outside of New England, New York and New Jersey. OneBeacon commenced numerous actions, along the lines of those described above for the core lines, to improve operating results in the non-core regions. In addition, during 2001 OneBeacon formally withdrew from the personal lines markets in 14 states.

On November 1, 2001, OneBeacon transferred its agency business, agents and operations in 42 non-core states and the District of Columbia to Liberty Mutual. This transfer amounted to approximately \$1.5 billion in written premiums, or approximately 45% of OneBeacon's total business. Over the next two years, the underwriting results and cash flows of the renewed policies will be shared between OneBeacon and Liberty Mutual. A reinsurance agreement prorates results so that OneBeacon assumes approximately two-thirds and one-third of the underwriting results corresponding to renewals in the first and second years, respectively. Liberty Mutual has continued, and in some cases accelerated, the underwriting and pricing actions initiated prior to the transactions. The transaction enables OneBeacon's management to concentrate its efforts on building a profitable book of agency produced personal and commercial lines business in the Northeast and selected specialty lines throughout the country.

27

PERFORMANCE TARGETS

Management's performance goals for 2002 are based upon achieving a trade ratio of 101-103% in the ongoing businesses and an overall OneBeacon trade ratio of 108-110%, which includes the results of business placed in run-off and reinsuring two-thirds of the underwriting results from business transferred to Liberty Mutual.

SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS BY BUSINESS LINE

A summary of OneBeacon's underwriting results for the seven months ended December 31, 2001 follows:

	--- Seven months ended December 31, 2001 Property and Casualty Insurance -----				
	----- Millions Personal Commercial Specialty Non-Core Total - -----				
	----- Net written				
premiums	\$ 513.2	\$ 358.0	\$ 139.8	\$ 867.2	\$ 1,878.2

Earned premiums	521.5	427.5	127.0	1,132.2	2,208.2
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	(445.6)	(449.6)	(79.9)	(1,098.7)	(2,073.8)
Other underwriting expenses	(134.1)	(173.8)	(41.0)	(439.1)	(788.0)

Net underwriting gain (loss)	\$ (58.2)	\$ (195.9)	\$ 6.1	\$ (405.6)	\$ (653.6)

Personal and commercial premiums written declined during the seven month period and further declines are expected to continue as OneBeacon repositions its book of business for improved profitability. Declines in personal and commercial lines written premiums were partially offset by an increase in specialty lines premiums. The disciplined underwriting and pricing historically demonstrated in specialty lines, coupled with favorable market conditions, enabled OneBeacon to capitalize on price increases while continuing to mitigate underwriting risks through policy coverage revisions and agency terminations. During 2001, OneBeacon also established a new business initiative through the New York LAD.

OneBeacon's 2001 trade ratios on all lines of its business, except core specialty, were unacceptable largely as a result of premiums charged proving to be inadequate for risks assumed. The actions previously discussed were implemented to address this deficiency. Loss and loss adjustment expenses for the 2001 period also included \$105.0 million in losses, net of reinsurance, associated with the Attacks. OneBeacon's gross loss associated with the Attacks was \$248.0 million.

During 2001 OneBeacon's losses and loss adjustment expenses relating to prior years developed unfavorably, resulting primarily from an increase in OneBeacon's 1999 and prior loss estimates in its worker's compensation, general liability, commercial multi-peril and commercial automobile lines of business. These actions exhausted the protection under the GRC Cover and resulted in a \$50.0 million charge in the 2001 fourth quarter to loss and loss adjustment expense. Additionally, during 2001 OneBeacon recognized approximately \$14.6 million in prior year losses and loss adjustment expenses which were not covered by either the NICO Cover or the GRC Cover.

As expected, and consistent with OneBeacon's decision to exit its non-strategic operations, the underwriting results in OneBeacon's non-core operations were unacceptable for the seven months ended December 31, 2001. Although expected to improve in the future, OneBeacon's trade ratio associated with this business is expected to continue to be higher than that of its core operations as the national programs and national accounts business, along with the business reinsured from Liberty Mutual, wind down.

Overall, OneBeacon has and will continue to incur significant costs associated with its underwriting, claims and run-off initiatives implemented during 2001. These costs are expected to yield future improvements to OneBeacon's overall loss and loss adjustment expense ratios but, in the short run, will adversely affect its expense ratios. Improving the loss ratio is OneBeacon's number one priority.

FOLKSAMERICA

A summary of Folksamerica's 2001, 2000 and 1999 underwriting results follows:

	--- Year Ended December 31, -----		
	----- Dollars in millions 2001 2000 1999 - -----		
premiums	\$ 458.3	\$ 332.6	\$ 201.7

Earned premiums	421.5	312.5	211.0
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	(385.0)	(270.8)	(182.2)
Underwriting expenses	(155.4)	(120.9)	(81.4)

Net underwriting loss	\$ (118.9)	\$ (79.2)	\$ (52.6)

Statutory combined ratios: Loss and loss adjustment expense	91%	88%	87%
Underwriting expense	34	38	36

Combined	125%	126%	123%

The majority of Folksamerica's premiums written during the past three years ended 2001 cover liability risks (typically 60% to 70% of such premiums), property risks (20% to 30%) and accident and health risks (6% to 8%).

Folksamerica's significant increase in premiums for 2001 versus 2000 is primarily attributable to additional writings across most lines in response to improved terms and conditions in the overall reinsurance market. Folksamerica's significant increase in premiums for 2000 versus 1999 is primarily attributable to its acquisition of the Risk Capital Operations which provided Folksamerica with two new specialty underwriting units (Accident & Health and Marine) and several new treaty clients.

During 2001, Folksamerica recorded gross losses from the Attacks of approximately \$104.0 million, or approximately \$25.0 million net of reinsurance recoverables and reinstatement costs. This loss represented approximately six points of its 2001 combined ratio. Folksamerica also established a reserve of \$5.0 million for Enron-related surety exposures in 2001.

Folksamerica's 2001 incurred losses include \$24.1 million in losses

related to prior accident years representing (i) higher than expected reported losses in Folksamerica's property excess line and (ii) a strengthening of reserves related to losses arising from the portfolios acquired from the USF Re and the Risk Capital Operations. Incurred losses for 2000 and 1999 include \$22.9 million and \$29.3 million, respectively, related principally to prior accident years representing losses arising from the portfolios acquired with USF Re and the Risk Capital Operations.

Folksamerica's 2001 and 2000 statutory combined ratios do not take into account the favorable impact of a retroactive reinsurance cover placed during 2000 which covers certain losses associated with Folksamerica's asbestos and environmental exposures as well as losses associated with USF Re and the Risk Capital Operations. Because this contract was written to cover losses incurred in prior years, the reinsurance benefit recorded in its statutory financial statements is not included in Folksamerica's statutory combined ratio; however, adverse development on reserves covered under this contract is required to be included in its statutory combined ratio. The reinsurance benefits obtained from this contract totalled \$20.2 million and \$20.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Folksamerica has acquired several competitors in recent years. In cases where the insurance or reinsurance reserves of the acquired company are expected to develop adversely, Folksamerica generally obtains certain indemnifications from the seller designed to mitigate the future losses that Folksamerica must recognize. Indemnifications used by Folksamerica in some of its more recent acquisitions consist of bargain purchases (resulting in deferred credits) and the issuance of purchase notes with adverse loss development protection features. These indemnifications provide revenues to Folksamerica to compensate for the expected losses and loss adjustment expenses it may recognize in connection with the acquired operations. These revenues, recorded as amortization of deferred credits and other benefits, are not reflected as a reduction to the loss development incurred by Folksamerica and therefore do not serve to reduce Folksamerica's reported statutory combined ratio. Had the retroactive reinsurance and acquisition benefits described above been permitted to be recognized in Folksamerica's 2001 and 2000 statutory combined ratios, they would have served to decrease such ratios by approximately 12 and 10 points to 113% and 116%, respectively.

29

During 2001, 2000 and 1999, Folksamerica recognized income relating to the amortization of its deferred credits of \$14.1 million, \$12.5 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, resulting from bargain purchases of insurance and reinsurance operations. In addition, during 1999 Folksamerica issued a \$20.8 million note in connection with the acquisition of USF Re which was to be reduced to the extent that future USF Re loss and loss adjustment expenses develop adversely (the "USF Re Seller Note"). In response to adverse development recorded by Folksamerica, the USF Re Seller Note was reduced by \$6.8 million and \$14.0 million, which was recorded in White Mountains' financial statements, during 2000 and 1999, respectively.

The reinsurance market in general has responded to the significant industry losses generated in 2001 with a combination of rate increases, coverage restrictions and higher ceding company retentions. In December 2001 Folksamerica received a \$400.0 million capital contribution from its parent OneBeacon, in the form of cash, which was made to increase Folksamerica's capacity to capitalize on improving pricing trends which accelerated after the Attacks. In addition, the retrocessional agreement that Folksamerica entered into with Olympus late in 2001 provides additional opportunities to leverage Folksamerica's underwriting expertise and infrastructure to underwrite increased lines on world-wide property excess business in a favorable market.

OTHER INSURANCE OPERATIONS AND HOLDING COMPANY

White Mountains' capital management activities are conducted through the Company and its intermediary holding companies. In this regard, the primary operations relating to its holding companies for 2001 consisted of the effects of financing activities and purchase accounting undertaken in connection with the Acquisition, amortization of deferred credits arising from White Mountains' acquisitions of OneBeacon and IAG, the gain recognized from the sale of Waterford and net investment income on its short-term investments. The primary operations of BICC, ACIC, PIC, Esurance and Fund American Re during 2001 contributed revenues of \$33.7 million, expenses of \$59.3 million and a net loss of \$17.0 million. During 2000, the operations of the holding company primarily included the gain recognized from the Dexia Sale, amortization of certain deferred credits, interest expense on long-term debt, the operations of BICC, ACIC and PIC and net investment income on short-term investments. During 1999, the operations of the holding company primarily included the gain recognized from the VGI Sale, interest expense on long-term debt, amortization of certain deferred credits and net investment income on short-term investments and its other insurance operations included the operations of VGI through the date of the VGI Sale. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

II. THE ONEBEACON ACQUISITION AND ASSOCIATED CAPITAL RAISING ACTIVITIES

On June 1, 2001, White Mountains acquired OneBeacon from CGNU for \$2,114.3 million, of which \$260.0 million was paid with the Seller Note and the balance paid in cash. White Mountains undertook a series of related transactions prior to or simultaneously with the Acquisition that had a substantial impact on its consolidated financial statements during 2001. These transactions, coupled with the inclusion of OneBeacon's results for the seven months ended December 31, 2001, served to significantly affect the comparability of the financial statement information presented herein. A summary of White Mountains' transactions relating to its acquisition of OneBeacon follows:

DEBT TENDER AND DEBT ESCROW TRANSACTIONS. In April 2001 the Company

completed a tender offer and consent solicitation for \$96.3 million in outstanding medium-term notes (the "Debt Tender") which facilitated the Acquisition by amending the indenture governing its medium-term notes ("the Notes"). Pursuant to the Debt Tender, the Company repurchased and retired \$90.9 million of its Notes and subsequently prepaid, in the form of a fully-funded irrevocable escrow arrangement (the "Debt Escrow"), the balance of the Notes. The Company recorded a \$4.8 million extraordinary loss on extinguishment of debt in connection with the Debt Tender and the Debt Escrow during the 2001 second quarter.

30

EQUITY FINANCING. On June 1, 2001, a small group of private investors purchased \$437.6 million of a newly- issued class of non-voting convertible preference shares of the Company (the "Convertible Preference Shares"). On August 23, 2001, upon approval by shareholders at the Company's 2001 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "2001 Annual Meeting"), the Convertible Preference Shares were repurchased and cancelled by the Company (the "Conversion") in consideration of 2,184,583 Common Shares. Had shareholder approval not been obtained by March 31, 2003, the holders of Convertible Preference Shares would have had the right to require the Company to repurchase the Convertible Preference Shares on an "as converted" basis at the then-current price of a Common Share. This conversion right, coupled with the fact that the market value of Common Shares immediately prior to shareholder approval (\$340 per Share) exceeded the private investors' cost of the Convertible Preference Shares (approximately \$200 per Share), caused this instrument to have a redemption value in excess of cash received upon issuance. This required the Convertible Preference Shares to be marked-to-market until August 23, 2001 when the Convertible Preference Shares were converted to shareholders' equity, resulting in a \$305.1 million net charge to retained earnings with an offsetting increase to paid-in surplus.

On June 1, 2001, Berkshire purchased from the Company, for \$75.0 million in cash, warrants (the "Warrants") to acquire 1,714,285 Common Shares at an exercise price of \$175.00 per Common Share. Of the total Warrants purchased by Berkshire, Warrants to purchase 1,170,000 Common Shares (the "Series A Warrants") were immediately exercisable and Warrants to purchase 544,285 Common Shares (the "Series B Warrants") became exercisable upon shareholder approval at the 2001 Annual Meeting. Prior to shareholder approval, the Series B Warrants constituted a contingent put liability (similar in nature to a stock appreciation right) which was carried at fair value through a periodic charge or credit to the income statement. The income statement charge recorded by the Company during 2001 associated with the difference between the fair value and allocated cost of the Series B Warrants totalled \$58.8 million. Upon shareholder approval at the 2001 Annual Meeting, the Series B Warrants were converted from a liability to common shareholders' equity. The Warrants have a term of seven years from the date of issuance although the Company has the right to call the Warrants for \$60.0 million in cash commencing on the fourth anniversary of their issuance.

On June 1, 2001, Berkshire also purchased for \$225.0 million, \$300.0 million in face value of cumulative non- voting preferred stock (the "Berkshire Preferred Stock") of a subsidiary of the Company. The Berkshire Preferred Stock is mandatorily redeemable after seven years. The Berkshire Preferred Stock represents subsidiary preferred stock which is considered to be minority interest to the Company.

As previously mentioned, White Mountains received a total of \$300.0 million in cash from Berkshire in full payment for the Warrants and the Berkshire Preferred Stock. The proceeds received were allocated to each instrument based on their relative estimated fair values on June 1, 2001. As a result, \$154.8 million of such proceeds were allocated to the Warrants and recorded to common shareholders' equity and \$145.2 million of such proceeds were allocated to the Berkshire Preferred Stock. White Mountains is accreting the Berkshire Preferred Stock's recorded value to its face value of \$300.0 million using the interest method of amortization over the instrument's seven-year term through an income statement charge. During 2001, White Mountains recorded \$5.1 million of accretion charges on the Berkshire Preferred Stock.

On June 1, 2001, Zenith Insurance Company ("Zenith") purchased \$20.0 million in cumulative non-voting preferred stock (the "Zenith Preferred Stock") of a subsidiary of the Company. The Zenith Preferred Stock is mandatorily redeemable after ten years. The Zenith Preferred Stock represents subsidiary preferred stock which is considered to be minority interest to the Company.

During 2001, White Mountains declared and paid cash dividends on the Berkshire Preferred Stock and the Zenith Preferred Stock totalling \$18.1 million.

BANK FINANCING. On June 1, 2001, a subsidiary of the Company borrowed \$700.0 million in term loans and \$125.0 million in revolving loans (of a \$175.0 million revolving loan facility) from a banking syndicate arranged by Lehman Brothers Inc. (collectively the "Lehman Facility"). The term loans are repayable in quarterly installments with a final maturity on March 31, 2007. The revolving loan facility is available from the closing date until the fifth anniversary of the closing. The loans are variable rate instruments which are currently tied to a rate based on short- term eurodollar rates. White Mountains subsequently entered into various interest rate swap agreements in order to achieve a fixed interest rate on the term loans. During 2001, White Mountains recorded interest expense relating to the Lehman Facility of \$32.4 million, including \$3.9 million of expense recorded under the related interest rate swap agreements.

31

SELLER NOTE. On June 1, 2001, White Mountains issued the Seller Note to CGNU. The Seller Note has an eighteen-month term and bears interest at a rate

=====
=====

White Mountains' net investment income is comprised primarily of interest income associated with the fixed maturity investments of its operating subsidiaries and dividend income from its equity investments. The significant increase in net investment income from 1999 to 2001 is mainly attributable to White Mountains' growing portfolio of fixed maturity investments resulting from the 1999 acquisition of USF Re, the 2000 acquisitions of PCA and the Risk Capital Operations and the 2001 acquisitions of OneBeacon and C-F.

Net realized gains on investments for the year ended December 31, 2001 resulted principally from substantial sales of fixed maturities from OneBeacon and Folksamerica's investment portfolios during the second half of 2001. Net realized investment losses for the year ended December 31, 2000 resulted principally from sales of fixed maturities in preparation for Folksamerica's acquisitions of PCA and the Risk Capital Operations during the first half of 2000. Net realized investment gains of \$69.6 million for 1999 included \$23.9 million of pretax gains from sales of San Juan Basin Royalty Trust units and \$28.0 million of pretax gains from sales of various other common stocks and other investments in Folksamerica's operating portfolio. In addition, \$9.4 million of pretax gains on sales of common stocks and fixed maturity investments were recorded in anticipation of or in connection with the VGI Sale.

White Mountains' net decrease in pretax unrealized investment gains for the year ended December 31, 2001 of \$59.9 million consisted of a net reduction in unrealized gains of \$46.3 million related to the substantial amount of fixed maturities sold during the period and a net reduction of \$13.6 million in unrealized gains, primarily in its holdings of common stocks and other investments. White Mountains' net increase in pretax unrealized investment gains for the year ended December 31, 2000 of \$47.6 million consisted of a net reduction in unrealized gains of \$23.7 million for investment securities sold during the period and a net increase of \$71.3 million in unrealized gains, primarily in its holdings of fixed maturities. White Mountains' net decrease in pretax unrealized investment gains for the year ended December 31, 1999 of \$180.2 million consisted of a net reduction in unrealized gains of \$69.6 million for investment securities sold during the period and a net reduction of \$110.6 million in unrealized gains on securities held during the period, relating primarily to an accounting write-down relating to exercises of FSA Options. During 1999, White Mountains was required to write its investment in the FSA Options exercised to their original cost in order to transition the investment from fair value accounting to equity accounting.

Amortization of deferred credits and other benefits provided \$91.6 million, \$41.4 million and \$25.8 million in revenue during 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The increase in deferred credit amortization from 2000 to 2001 resulted from the Acquisition. The increase in deferred credit amortization from 1999 to 2000 resulted primarily from the acquisition of PCA. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, all unamortized deferred credits at December 31, 2001 will be recognized through the income statement on January 1, 2002 as a change in accounting principle.

Net gains on sales of subsidiaries and other assets provided \$20.2 million, \$386.2 million and \$103.9 million in revenue during 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The net gains recorded during 2001 resulted from a \$12.4 million pretax gain from the sale of Waterford as well as gains on sales of various fixed assets to Liberty Mutual. The net gains recorded during 2000 resulted principally from the Dexia Sale. The net gains recorded during 1999 resulted principally from the VGI Sale.

Other revenues totalled \$8.1 million, \$9.1 million and \$34.8 million during 2001, 2000 and 1999. Other revenue consists primarily of equity in the earnings of White Mountains unconsolidated affiliates (MSA, Montpelier and formerly FSA) and sundry other revenues.

White Mountains' investment in MSA provided \$2.2 million to its revenues during the year ended December 31, 2001 versus \$1.0 million for 2000 and \$11.6 million for 1999. MSA's net income for 2001 resulted from strong underwriting results experienced by NGM during 2001 offset partially by realized losses on its investment portfolio. MSA's net income for 2000 was adversely impacted by significant realized losses on its investment portfolio whereas MSA's net income for 1999 benefitted by significant realized investment gains.

White Mountains' investment in Montpelier provided a loss of \$3.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2001. Montpelier's net loss for 2001 related to the recognition of its startup costs.

During 2000 White Mountains' investment in FSA provided a \$3.6 million loss for the interim period though July 5, 2000 which resulted from realized investment losses in FSA's investment portfolio and increased expenses for employee equity-based compensation programs, which rose significantly after FSA's announcement of its acquisition by Dexia. FSA contributed \$19.5 million to net income during the year ended December 31, 1999.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses totalled \$2,493.9 million for 2001 versus \$287.7 million for 2000 and \$242.3 million for 1999. The large increase in loss and loss adjustment expenses from 2000 to 2001 resulted from the Acquisition. During 2001, White Mountains incurred \$130.0 million in net losses at OneBeacon and Folksamerica resulting from the Attacks. The increase in loss and loss adjustment expenses from 1999 to 2000 is primarily attributable to the acquisitions of PCA and the Risk Capital Operations during 2000.

See "Summary of Operations by Segment" for a further discussion of losses and loss adjustment expenses by White Mountains' segments and lines of business.

Insurance and reinsurance acquisition expenses, which consist primarily of insurance and reinsurance brokerage and commission expenses, totalled \$584.3 million for 2001 versus \$101.1 million for 2000 and \$73.4 million for 1999. The increase in these insurance expenses from 2000 to 2001 is primarily attributable to the Acquisition. The increase in these insurance expenses from 1999 to 2000 is primarily attributable to the acquisitions of PCA and the Risk Capital Operations during 2000.

General and administrative expenses totalled \$417.1 million for 2001 versus \$87.9 million for 2000 and \$87.3 million for 1999. Share-based compensation consisting of performance shares, Restricted Shares and Options constituted \$51.5 million, \$25.8 million and \$6.1 million of such general and administrative expenses during 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The increase in general and administrative expenses, including the increase in share-based compensation, from 2000 to 2001 is primarily attributable to the Acquisition. The increase in White Mountains' share-based compensation for 2000 was higher than that of 1999 as a result of a significant increase in the value of Common Shares during that year and the recording of additional performance share expense in expectation of the 1999 and 2000 performance share awards vesting at an amount greater than target due to the highly favorable results for those award periods.

A share appreciation expense for Series B Warrants of \$58.8 million was recorded during 2001 representing an increase in the value of Common Shares during the period in which the Series B Warrants were not exercisable by Berkshire. Upon shareholder approval at the 2001 Annual Meeting, the Series B Warrants were reclassified to common shareholders' equity.

In connection with purchase accounting for the Acquisition, White Mountains was required to adjust to fair value OneBeacon's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and the related reinsurance recoverables by \$646.9 million and \$346.9 million, respectively, on OneBeacon's acquired balance sheet. This net reduction to loss and loss adjustment expense reserves of \$300.0 million will be accreted through an income statement charge over the period that the claims are expected to be settled. As such, White Mountains recognized \$56.0 million of accretion of fair value adjustment to loss and loss adjustment expense reserves during 2001. White Mountains will accrete the remaining \$244.0 million over the future periods that the claims are settled.

Interest expense totalled \$45.7 million for 2001 versus \$16.1 million for 2000 and \$14.7 million for 1999. The increase in interest expense from 2000 to 2001 resulted from borrowings under the Lehman Facility and the Seller Note which were undertaken on June 1, 2001, offset slightly by reduced interest expense resulting from the Debt Tender and the Debt Escrow transactions. White Mountains has entered into various interest rate swap agreements which were undertaken to achieve a fixed interest rate on a portion of the Lehman Facility. The swap program results in a weighted average fixed rate of 7.1% on \$700.0 million of its total borrowings under the Lehman Facility. Interest expense for 2001 relating to the Lehman Facility totalled \$28.5 million, interest expense relating to the interest rate swap agreements totalled \$3.9 million and interest on the Seller Note totalled \$10.3 million. The increase in interest expense from 1999 to 2000 reflects higher average levels of indebtedness at Folksamerica for the period.

In connection with the Redomestication, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries changed their domicile to either Bermuda or Barbados (the "Bermuda and Barbados Companies") while certain other subsidiaries remained domiciled in the United States (the "US Companies"). As a result, income earned by the Bermuda and Barbados Companies will generally be subject to an effective overall tax rate lower than that imposed by the United States, however, no tax benefits will be attained in the event of net losses incurred by such companies. Prior to the Redomestication, the Company filed a consolidated United States income tax return with its subsidiaries. The US Companies continue to file United States tax returns but may no longer do so on a group-wide consolidated basis. As a result, the aggregate United States Federal income tax liability of the US Companies may be higher than it otherwise would have been if part of a consolidated tax return. These factors may serve to increase or decrease White Mountains' effective tax rate for 1999 and beyond, depending on the events and circumstances occurring during such periods.

The income tax provision related to pretax earnings for 2001, 2000 and 1999 represents an effective tax rate of 41.3%, 12.0% and 32.9%, respectively. White Mountains' effective rate of tax benefit for 2001 (resulting from a net loss reported during the period) was greater than the statutory rate of 35% primarily as a result of the effects of deferred credit amortization. The reduction in the effective rate from 1999 and 2000 resulted from an increase in White Mountains' non-United States net earnings to \$395.9 million in 2000 versus \$9.0 million in 1999.

Net income from discontinued operations of \$95.0 million recorded during 2000 related to a reserve release associated with the sale of Fireman's Fund. Net income from discontinued operations of \$12.6 million recorded during 1999 related to the Mortgage Banking Sale.

Excess of fair value over cost amounts which were recorded as extraordinary gains during 2001 related to the acquisitions of C-F and the Folksam assets, both of which occurred after July 1, 2001. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, White Mountains recognized a \$13.6 million and a \$3.0 million extraordinary gain during 2001 representing the excess of the fair value of C-F's and Folksam's net assets over their cost, respectively.

A loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$4.8 million was recorded during 2001 in connection with the Debt Tender and the Debt Escrow.

Dividends on Convertible Preference Shares of \$.3 million were declared and paid in 2001 during the period in which the Convertible Preference Shares were outstanding.

Upon shareholder approval at the 2001 Annual Meeting, the Convertible Preference Shares were repurchased and cancelled in consideration of 2,184,583 Common Shares. Because the redemption value of the Convertible Preference Shares was in excess of the cash received upon their issuance, the Convertible Preference Shares were required to be marked-to-market until the date they were converted to shareholders' equity, resulting in a \$305.1 million reduction to net income available to common shareholders, with an offsetting increase to paid-in surplus.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The primary sources of cash inflows for the Company and certain of its intermediary holding companies are investment income, sales and maturities of investment securities and dividends received from its operating subsidiaries. Under the insurance laws of the states and countries under which White Mountains' insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, an insurer is restricted with respect to the timing or the amount of dividends it may pay without prior approval by regulatory authorities. Accordingly, there is no assurance regarding the amount of such dividends that may be paid by such subsidiaries in the future.

Detailed information concerning White Mountains' liquidity and capital resource activities during 2001, 2000 and 1999 follows:

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

In January 2001 the Company completed the sale of Waterford to a third party for consideration of \$23.6 million in cash, net of transaction related expenses.

In March 2001 the Company declared and paid an annual dividend of \$5.9 million to its common shareholders.

In April 2001 the Company paid \$100.8 million in cash to complete the Debt Tender and to establish the Debt Escrow. Completion of the Debt Tender permitted the Company to effect an amendment to the indenture governing the Notes which facilitated the Acquisition.

In June 2001 White Mountains acquired OneBeacon for cash and the Seller Note. The total consideration paid for OneBeacon was \$2,114.3 million, including related expenses. Significant assets and liabilities acquired through OneBeacon included \$7,442.6 million of cash and investments, \$2,448.9 million of reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses, \$1,267.3 million of insurance balances receivable, \$6,364.2 million of loss and loss adjustment expenses and \$1,897.7 million of unearned insurance premiums.

In connection with the Acquisition, the Company issued the Convertible Preference Shares for \$437.6 million (which were retired and converted to Common Shares in August 2001) and issued the Warrants for \$75.0 million. The Warrants have a term of seven years from the date of issuance although the Company has the right to call the Warrants for \$60.0 million in cash commencing on the fourth anniversary of their issuance.

35

In connection with the Acquisition, White Mountains issued two separate classes of subsidiary preferred stock. Berkshire purchased for \$225.0 million, \$300.0 million in face value of cumulative non-voting subsidiary preferred stock. The Berkshire Preferred Stock is entitled to a dividend of no less than 2.35% per quarter and is mandatorily redeemable after seven years. Zenith Insurance Company purchased \$20.0 million in cumulative non-voting subsidiary preferred stock. The Zenith Preferred Stock is entitled to a dividend of no less than 2.5% per quarter through June 30, 2007 and a dividend of no less than 3.5% thereafter and is mandatorily redeemable after ten years.

In connection with the Acquisition, White Mountains borrowed \$825.0 million under the Lehman Facility. The Lehman Facility is comprised of two term loan facilities and a revolving credit facility. The term loan facilities are comprised of a \$300.0 million Tranche A Loan with a five-year maturity and a \$400.0 million Tranche B Loan with a six-year maturity. The revolving credit facility provides for revolving credit loans of up to \$175.0 million, including up to \$25.0 million available for the issuance of letters of credit. The revolving credit facility matures on June 1, 2006.

In connection with the Acquisition, White Mountains issued the Seller Note to CGNU. The Seller Note has an eighteen-month term and bears interest at a rate equal to 50 basis points over the rate on White Mountains' revolving loan facility described above. The Seller Note may be settled in cash, or at White Mountains' option, with Common Shares valued at \$245.00 per share.

In June 2001 White Mountains forgave its intercompany note to Folksamerica issued in December 2000 in the amount of \$195.0 million.

In September 2001 OneBeacon repaid all its outstanding long-term debt of \$3.2 million.

In September 2001 Folksamerica acquired C-F, an inactive insurance company in run-off, for total consideration of \$49.2 million plus related expenses. The purchase consideration included the issuance of a \$25.0 million, five-year note by White Mountains which may be reduced by adverse loss

development experienced by C-F post-acquisition.

In October 2001 White Mountains announced that it had signed a letter of intent to purchase Parkway Insurance Co. ("Parkway"), a personal automobile insurer in New Jersey, from Fireman's Fund. White Mountains was unable to come to definitive terms with Fireman's Fund on the purchase of Parkway.

In October 2001 OneBeacon sold 2,025,680 shares of the common stock of United Fire & Casualty Company for \$54.7 million.

In December 2001 White Mountains filed a definitive Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") which will permit the Company or its wholly-owned subsidiary, Fund American Companies, Inc. (formerly TACK Acquisition Corp.), to offer up to \$1.0 billion of debt securities, preference shares or trust preferred securities. White Mountains currently intends to use the proceeds of any issuances of securities for general corporate purposes, including repayment of existing borrowings.

In December 2001 OneBeacon invested \$180.0 million in Montpelier consisting of 1,800,000 common shares of Montpelier valued at \$100 per share and the Company received warrants to acquire an additional 797,088 common shares of Montpelier at \$100 per share over the next ten years.

In December 2001 White Mountains acquired the net assets of Folksam which were valued at approximately \$66.9 million on the date of purchase. The purchase price including related expenses consisted of approximately \$30.9 million in cash, \$3.0 million in a note payable to the seller and 86,385 Common Shares (valued at approximately \$30.0 million).

In December 2001 Folksamerica received a \$400.0 million capital contribution from its parent OneBeacon, in the form of cash, which was undertaken to allow Folksamerica to further capitalize on improved pricing trends emerging after the Attacks.

In December 2001 Folksamerica issued \$7.0 million in short-term debt to a third party.

During 2001 the Company issued a total of 2,390,566 Common Shares which consisted of 2,184,583 Common Shares issued in connection with the Conversion, 86,385 Common Shares issued in connection the purchase of the Folksam net assets, 94,500 Restricted Shares issued to key employees and 25,098 Common Shares issued to employees in connection with various White Mountains employee benefit plans.

During 2001 the Company repurchased and retired 6,000 Common Shares for \$1.9 million in cash.

36

Through December 31, 2001, White Mountains paid a total of \$18.4 million in dividends to holders of the Convertible Preference Shares, the Berkshire Preferred Stock and the Zenith Preferred Stock. Through December 31, 2001, White Mountains paid a total of \$29.2 million in interest under the Lehman Facility including \$2.9 million paid under related interest rate swap agreements.

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

In March 2000 Folksamerica acquired PCA for consideration of \$122.3 million in cash. Significant assets and liabilities acquired through PCA included \$339.8 million of cash and investments, \$160.0 million of reinsurance recoverables and \$405.5 million of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves.

In May 2000 Folksamerica acquired the Risk Capital Operations for consideration of \$20.3 million in cash plus related expenses. Significant assets and liabilities acquired with the Risk Capital Operations included \$249.9 million of cash and investments, \$108.6 million of premiums receivable, \$312.5 million of net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and \$82.0 million of unearned reinsurance premiums. In addition, the Risk Capital Operations provided Folksamerica with two specialty underwriting units (Accident & Health and Marine) and several significant new treaty clients.

In July 2000 White Mountains concluded the sale of its indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary, White Mountains Holdings, Inc. (which controlled a substantial amount of its holdings of FSA) and all its other holdings of FSA Common Stock to Dexia for proceeds of \$620.4 million.

In December 2000 White Mountains provided \$259.6 million of capital to Folksamerica through the contribution of ACIC and BICC and through the issuance of a \$195.0 million intercompany note which was forgiven during 2001. Folksamerica subsequently contributed ACIC and \$80.0 million of such cash to Folksamerica Reinsurance Company in order to provide the statutory capital needed to support its acquisitions of PCA and Risk Capital. The remaining \$115.0 million was used by Folksamerica to repay its outstanding bank indebtedness.

During 2000 the Company repurchased and retired 65,838 Common Shares for \$8.3 million in cash.

During 2000 the Company declared and paid quarterly cash dividends totalling \$7.1 million.

As part of the Folksamerica acquisition in 1998, White Mountains refinanced Folksamerica's existing long-term indebtedness by utilizing a six-year revolving credit agreement whereby Folksamerica could borrow up to \$120.0 million at market interest rates. This facility was repaid and terminated by Folksamerica during 2000 as described above.

\$ (208.3)
 Net cash
 (used for)
 provided
 from
 investing
 activities
 (1,102.6)
 234.4
 354.3 Net
 cash
 provided
 from (used
 for)
 financing
 activities
 1,466.3
 (119.9)
 (164.5) --

 ----- Net
 increase
 (decrease)
 in cash
 during
 year \$
 63.0 \$.5
 \$ (18.5)
 =====
 =====
 =====

Cash used for operations of \$300.7 million during the 2001 period is primarily the result of claims payments and general expenses exceeding premiums collected at OneBeacon. The net cash used for operations during the 2000 period of \$114.0 million is primarily the result of significant acquisitions of run-off insurance portfolios during that period. Run-off transactions involved the assumption of sizable portfolios of invested assets as well as the assumption of insurance and reinsurance liabilities. Run-off liabilities paid are shown as uses of operating cash whereas offsetting sales of the related assets acquired are shown as sources of cash from investing activities. The net cash used for operations during the 1999 period of \$208.3 million is primarily the result of dispositions of certain of White Mountains' operating subsidiaries and the activities of its insurance and reinsurance run-off activities.

Cash used for investing activities of \$1,102.6 million during 2001 resulted primarily from the costs associated with the Acquisition, partially offset by net sales of investment securities in anticipation of the Acquisition. Cash provided from investing activities of \$234.4 million during 2000 resulted primarily from proceeds from the sale of a former subsidiary, partially offset by net purchases of investment securities. Cash provided from investing activities of \$354.3 million during 1999 resulted primarily from net sales of investment securities and proceeds from the sale of two former subsidiaries. In addition, sales of investment securities acquired in connection with White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance run-off activities served to provide net cash from investing activities during each of the periods presented herein.

Cash provided from financing activities of \$1,466.3 million during 2001 resulted primarily from activities undertaken to finance the Acquisition, partially offset by cash used in connection with the Debt Tender and the Debt Escrow. Cash used for financing activities of \$119.9 million during 2000 resulted primarily from the repayment of Folksamerica's outstanding bank indebtedness. Cash used for financing activities of \$164.5 million during 1999 resulted primarily from repurchases of Common Shares.

In connection with the Renewal Rights Agreement, OneBeacon retained all of the existing liabilities, including loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, related to the transferred business. A large portion of the premiums associated with the business transferred to Liberty Mutual on November 1, 2001 were previously received and invested by OneBeacon whereas the related losses and loss adjustment expenses associated with such business have not yet been fully paid. As a result, OneBeacon's future payments of such losses and loss adjustment expenses are expected to result in sizable net uses of operating cash. However, the liquidation of OneBeacon's existing invested assets supporting such reserves is expected to result in sizable cash sources from investing activities.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COVENANTS

Set forth below is a schedule of White Mountains' material contract obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2001:

	Due in	Due in	Due in	Due in	Due in	Due After	One Year	Two	Three	Four	Four	(Millions)	or Less	Years	Years	Years	Years
Total																	
Debt	\$ 358.4	\$ 67.2	\$ 69.6	\$ 77.1	\$ 553.1	\$ 1,125.4											
Operating leases	33.5	28.7	19.9	16.8	43.1	142.0											
Total contractual obligations	\$ 391.9	\$ 95.9	\$ 89.5	\$ 93.9	\$ 916.2	\$ 1,587.4											

The Lehman Facility contains various affirmative, negative and financial covenants which are considered to be customary for such borrowings and include

meeting certain minimum net worth and financial ratio standards. Failure to meet one or more of these covenants could result in an event of default which ultimately could accelerate required principal repayments. At December 31, 2001, White Mountains was in compliance with all of the covenants under the Lehman Facility, and anticipates it will continue to meet the financial covenants under the Lehman Facility for the foreseeable future.

There are no provisions within White Mountains' leasing agreements that would trigger acceleration of future lease payments. (See Notes 6, 10 and 18 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the obligations and commitments listed above).

White Mountains does not finance its operations through the securitization of its trade receivables, through special purpose entities or through synthetic leases. Further, White Mountains has not entered into any arrangement requiring it to guarantee payment of third party debt or to fund losses of an unconsolidated special purpose entity.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The financial statements presented herein include all adjustments considered necessary by management to fairly present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of White Mountains. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

In the current year presentation of financial information, certain amounts in the prior period financial statements have been reclassified to conform with the current presentation. White Mountains has completed numerous significant transactions during the periods presented that have affected the comparability of the financial statement information presented herein.

On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates, including those related to loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, purchase accounting and related deferred credits and goodwill, reinsurance transactions and its pension benefit obligations. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgements about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources.

Management believes that its critical accounting policies affect its more significant estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. The descriptions below are summarized and have been simplified for clarity. A more detailed description of the significant accounting policies used by the Company in preparing its financial statements is included in the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

39

Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

White Mountains must estimate insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred to provide adequate loss reserves for the payment of insurance claims. The process of estimating loss and loss adjustment expenses involves a considerable degree of judgement by management, including issues as to an insured's liabilities, definitions of occurrence, scope of coverage, policy limits and application and interpretation of policy terms and exclusions. As a result, the ultimate amount of expense incurred could be considerably greater than or less than the amounts currently reflected in the financial statements.

Purchase Accounting and Related Deferred Credits and Goodwill

As of December 31, 2001, White Mountains had unamortized deferred credits and goodwill of \$682.5 million and \$22.3 million, respectively. Deferred credits represent the excess of the fair value of the net assets over the purchase price paid. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of companies acquired. These deferred credits and goodwill resulted from White Mountains' pre-July 1, 2001 acquisition activities which were accounted for in accordance with the treatment of a purchase business combination under Accounting Principles Board ("APB") No. 16, "Business Combinations". APB No. 16 calls for the net assets of the operations acquired to be recorded by White Mountains at their fair values on the date of acquisition.

All acquisitions occurring subsequent to July 1, 2001 were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting in accordance with SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations". Under this newly-issued accounting standard, White Mountains recognized a \$16.6 million extraordinary gain during 2001 relating to two recent acquisitions and will fully recognize its existing unamortized deferred credit balance of \$682.5 million on January 1, 2002 as a change in accounting principle.

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", effective January 1, 2002, White Mountains will amortize its existing and prospective goodwill only when the asset acquired is deemed to have been impaired rather than systematically over a perceived period of benefit.

Reinsurance Transactions

White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries enter into reinsurance contracts from time to time to protect their businesses from losses due to poor risk diversification, to manage their operating leverage ratios and to limit ultimate losses arising from catastrophic events. Amounts related to

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

White Mountains relies upon the safe harbor for forward looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This safe harbor requires that White Mountains specify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of White Mountains. Accordingly, forward-looking statements by the Company and its affiliates are qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements.

In its filings with the SEC, reports to shareholders, press releases and other written and oral communications, White Mountains from time to time makes forward-looking statements. All statements regarding its expected financial position and operating results, its business strategy and its financial plans are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, (i) projections of revenues, income (or loss), earnings (or loss) per share, dividends, market share or other financial forecasts, (ii) statements of plans, objectives or goals of White Mountains or its management, including those related to growth in book value and deferred credit per share or return on equity and (iii) expected losses on, and adequacy of loss reserves for, insurance in force. Words such as "believes", "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "may", "will" and "plans" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

White Mountains cautions that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in forward-looking statements made by White Mountains. These factors include, but are not limited to: (i) competitive forces, including the conduct of other property and casualty insurers and reinsurers, (ii) changes in domestic or foreign laws or regulations applicable to White Mountains, its competitors or its clients, (iii) an economic downturn or other economic conditions (such as rising interest rate environment) adversely affecting White Mountains' financial position, (iv) loss reserves and other balance sheet items established by White Mountains subsequently proving to have been inadequate, (v) the failure of pending transactions to be consummated under expected terms or at all and (vi) the amount of time and extent of business interruptions and other losses resulting from the Attacks. White Mountains cautions that the foregoing list of important factors is not exhaustive. In any event, such forward-looking statements made by White Mountains speak only as of the date on which they are made, and White Mountains does not undertake any obligation to update or revise such statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See "Market Risk Disclosures" contained in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data have been filed as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as indicated in the Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules appearing on page 49 of this report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

a. DIRECTORS (AS OF MARCH 22, 2002)

Reported under the caption "Election of the Company's Directors" on pages 3 through 6 of the Company's 2002 Proxy Statement, herein incorporated by reference.

b. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS (AS OF MARCH 22, 2002)

Executive officer Name	Position	Age	since (a)
Raymond Barrette	Chairman, Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer of OneBeacon	51	1997
Dennis P. Beaulieu	Treasurer and Corporate Secretary	54	2001
John J. Byrne	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	69	1985
John P. Cavoeres	Managing Director, President and Chief Operating Officer of OneBeacon	44	2002
K. Thomas Kemp	President	61	1991
John D. Gillespie	Managing Director of OneBeacon	43	2001
J. Brian Palmer	Chief Accounting Officer	29	2001
James J. Ritchie	Managing Director and Chief Financial Officer of OneBeacon	47	2001

(a) All executive officers of the Company and its subsidiaries, are elected by the Board for a term of one-year or until their successors have been elected and have duly qualified.

MR. BARRETTE has served as Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer of OneBeacon since June 2001, serving as Chairman of its Board of Managers since December 2001, and has been a director of the Company since 2000. Mr. Barrette formerly served as President of the Company from 2000 to June 2001 and served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company from 1997 to 2000. He was formerly a consultant with Tillinghast-Towers Perrin from 1994 to 1996 and was with Fireman's Fund Insurance Company from 1973 to 1993. Mr. Barrette is Chairman of Folksamerica and a director of Montpelier.

MR. BEAULIEU has served as Secretary and Treasurer of the Company since June 1, 2001. Mr. Beaulieu previously served as Vice President and Secretary of the Company from January 1995 to May 2001. He also served as secretary for a number of the Company's subsidiary companies from January 1995 to May 2001 and as Chief Financial Officer of White Mountains Insurance Company from March 1995 to June 1999. Prior to joining White Mountains, Mr. Beaulieu was Chief Financial Officer of New Dartmouth Bank from October 1991 to June 1994.

MR. BYRNE was appointed CEO of the Company in February 2002 and has served as Chairman of the Company since 1985. Mr. Byrne formerly served as Chairman of OneBeacon from June 2001 to December 2001, as CEO of the Company from January 2000 to June 2001, as President and CEO of the Company from 1990 to 1997 and as CEO from 1985 to 1990. Mr. Byrne is a member of OneBeacon and also serves as a director of Folksamerica, Overstock.com and as Chairman of Montpelier.

MR. CAVOORES was appointed Managing Director, President and Chief Operating Officer of OneBeacon in December 2001 and formerly served as a Managing Director of Fund American from 2000 to June 2001 and as a Managing Director of OneBeacon from June 2001 to December 2001. Prior to joining White Mountains in 2001, Mr. Cavoores served as Chief Underwriting Officer of worldwide specialty business at Chubb Corporation. Mr. Cavoores was with Chubb since 1981. Mr. Cavoores is a member of OneBeacon.

43

MR. KEMP has served as President of the Company since June 2001 and has been a director since 1994. Mr. Kemp previously served as Deputy Chairman from January 2000 to June 2001 and as the Company's President and CEO from 1997 to 2000 and served as Executive Vice President from 1993 to 1997 and its Vice President, Treasurer and Secretary from 1991 to 1993. Mr. Kemp is also a director of Fund American Re, Folksamerica, MSA and Amlin plc.

MR. GILLESPIE has served as Managing Director of OneBeacon since June 2001 and has been a director of the Company since 1999. He is also the founder and Managing Partner of his own investment firm, Prospector Partners, LLC ("Prospector"), in Hartford, Connecticut. Prior to forming Prospector, Mr. Gillespie was President of the T. Rowe Price Growth Stock Fund and the New Age Media Fund, Inc. Mr. Gillespie is a member of OneBeacon and serves as a director of Folksamerica and Montpelier.

MR. PALMER has served as Chief Accounting Officer since June 2001 and previously served as Controller of a subsidiary of White Mountains from 1999 to 2001. Prior to joining White Mountains in 1999, Mr. Palmer was with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

MR. RITCHIE was appointed Chief Financial Officer of Fund American Companies, Inc. in March 2001 and was named a Managing Director and Chief Financial Officer of OneBeacon in June 2001. Prior to joining White Mountains in 2001, Mr. Ritchie served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of CIGNA Corporation's International Division. Mr. Ritchie was with CIGNA since 1986. Mr. Ritchie is a member of OneBeacon and serves as a director of Folksamerica.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Reported under the captions "Compensation of Executive Officers" on pages 10 through 13, "Reports of the Compensation Committees on Executive Compensation" on pages 13 through 15, "Member Return Graph" on page 17, and "Compensation Plans" on page 12 of the Company's 2002 Proxy Statement, herein incorporated by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Reported under the caption "Voting Securities and Principal Holders Thereof" on pages 7 through 9 of the Company's 2002 Proxy Statement, herein incorporated by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Reported under the captions "Other Compensation Arrangements" on page 12, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" on page 13 and "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in Compensation Decisions" on page 18 of the Company's 2002 Proxy Statement, herein incorporated by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

a. DOCUMENTS FILED AS PART OF THE REPORT

The financial statements and financial statement schedules and reports of independent auditors have been filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as indicated in the Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules appearing on page 49 of this report. A listing of exhibits filed as part of the report appear on pages 46 through 47 of this report.

44

b. REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

On October 31, 2001, the Company filed a Form 8-K (Item 5) which announced that it had executed a definitive agreement with Liberty Mutual to assume new and renewal commercial and personal lines business produced by OneBeacon agents in 42 states and the District of Columbia.

On November 1, 2001, the Company filed a Form 8-K (Item 9) which served to furnish a letter issued by the Registrant's Chairman, dated October 31, 2001, to shareholders concerning OneBeacon and Folksamerica.

On November 2, 2001, the Company filed a Form 8-K (Item 9) which served to furnish information regarding White Mountains' involvement as a founding shareholder in Montpelier.

On November 5, 2001, the Company filed a Form 8-K (Item 2) which provided the definitive Liberty Mutual documents including the Renewal Rights Agreement.

On November 7, 2001, the Company filed a Form 8-K (Item 5) containing Management's Discussion and Analysis to the audited consolidated financial statements of CGU Corporation for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 and the unaudited six month periods ended June 30, 2001 and 2000. This information was filed as a supplement to the financial statement information previously filed as Exhibit 99(w) to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 25, 2001.

On December 18, 2001, the Company filed a Form 8-K (Item 9) which served to furnish information regarding the formation of Montpelier.

45

c. EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT	NUMBER	NAME
2		Plan of Reorganization (incorporated by reference herein to the Company's Registration Statement on S-4 (No. 333-87649) dated September 23, 1999)
3	(a)	Memorandum of Continuance of the Company (incorporated by reference herein to the Company's Registration Statement on S-4 (No. 333-87649) dated September 23, 1999)
3	(b)	Bye-Laws of the Company (incorporated by reference herein to the Company's Registration Statement on S-4 (No. 333-87649) dated September 23, 1999)
4		Indenture dated January 1, 1993, with The First National Bank of Chicago, as trustee, pursuant to the Company's offering of \$150 million of medium-term notes (incorporated by reference herein to the Company's Registration Statement on S-3 (No. 33-54006) dated October 30, 1992)
10	(a)	Stock Purchase Agreement among CGU International Holdings Luxembourg S.A., CGU Holdings LLC, CGNU PLC, the Company, Fund American Enterprises Holdings, Inc. and Fund American Companies, Inc. dated as of September 24, 2000 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 99(a) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated September 24, 2000)
10	(b)	Amendment No. 1 dated October 15, 2000 to the Stock Purchase Agreement among CGU International Holdings Luxembourg S.A., CGU Holdings LLC, CGNU PLC, the Company, Fund American Enterprises Holdings, Inc. and Fund American Companies, Inc. dated as of September 24, 2000 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 99(c) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated October 19, 2000)
10	(c)	Amendment No. 2 dated February 20, 2001 to the Stock Purchase Agreement among CGU International Holdings Luxembourg S.A., CGU Holdings LLC, CGNU PLC, the Company, Fund American Enterprises Holdings, Inc. and Fund American Companies, Inc. dated as of September 24, 2000 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 99(i) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated February 20, 2001)
10	(d)	Convertible Preferred Stock Term Sheet relating to the Company's acquisition of OneBeacon (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 99(e) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated October 19, 2000)
10	(e)	Berkshire Preferred Stock and Warrants Term Sheet relating to the Company's acquisition of OneBeacon (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 99(f) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated October 19, 2000)
10	(f)	\$875 million Credit Agreement among Fund American Enterprises Holdings, Inc., Fund American Companies, Inc. and the Company (as borrowers), Lehman Brothers, Inc. (as arranger) and the several lenders as parties thereto relating to the Company's acquisition of OneBeacon dated March 16, 2001 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10(f) of the Company's Report on Form 10-K dated March 26, 2001)
10	(g)	Adverse Development Agreement of Reinsurance No. 8888 between Potomac Insurance Company and GRC dated April 13, 2001 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 99(m) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated June 1, 2001)
10	(h)	Adverse Development Agreement of Reinsurance between NICO (and certain of its affiliates) and Potomac Insurance Company dated April 13, 2001 and related documents (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibits 99(n), 99(o), 99(p) and 99(q) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated June 1, 2001)
10	(i)	Warrant Agreement among the Registrant and Berkshire dated May 30, 2001 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibits 99(s) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated June 1, 2001)
10	(j)	Subscription Agreement among Berkshire, Fund American Companies, Inc. and the Registrant dated May 30, 2001 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibits 99(t) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated June 1, 2001)
10	(k)	Form of Subordinated Note Due 2002 issued to CGU International Holdings Luxembourg S.A. and CGU Holdings LLC dated June 1, 2001 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibits 99(u))

of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated June 1, 2001)
10 (l) Note Purchase Option Agreement among CGU International Holdings Luxembourg S.A. and CGU Holdings LLC and the Registrant dated June 1, 2001 (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibits 99(v) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated June 1, 2001)

46

EXHIBIT NUMBER	NAME
10 (m)	Master Agreement by and among the Company, OneBeacon and Liberty Mutual including the Renewal Rights Agreement and related documents (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibits 99(d), 99(e), 99(f), 99(g) and 99(h) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated November 1, 2001)
10 (n)	Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of December 4, 2001 between Folksam International Insurance Company, Ltd., Folksam Mutual General Insurance Company and Fund American Reinsurance Company, Ltd. And related documents (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibits 99(a), 99(b), 99(c) and 99(d) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated January 3, 2002)
10 (o)	Stock Purchase and Indemnity Agreement by and among the Company and Dexia S.A. for all of the outstanding capital stock of White Mountains Holdings, Inc. and indirectly for certain of the outstanding capital stock of FSA (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 99.1 of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated March 10, 2000)
10 (p)	Asset Purchase Agreement, as of January 10, 2000, by and between Risk Capital Holdings, Inc., Risk Capital Reinsurance Company, Folksamerica Holding Company, Inc. and Folksamerica Reinsurance Company (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10(a) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated January 10, 2000)
10 (q)	Stock Purchase Agreement as of December 30, 1999, by and among Humana Inc., Physician Corporation of America and Folksamerica Holding Company, Inc. (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10(a) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated December 30, 1999)
10 (r)	Amended and Restated Management Contract by and between PCA and Humana Workers Compensation Services, Inc. (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10(a) of the Company's Report on Form 8-K dated December 30, 1999)
10 (s)	VGI Stock Acquisition Agreement dated February 10, 1999 between Unitrin, Inc. and the Company (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10(n) of the Company's 1998 Annual Report on Form 10-K)
10 (t)	Amendment No. 2 dated October 29, 1999 to the Credit Agreement dated February 24, 1999 among Folksamerica Holding Company, Inc., the Lenders (as named therein) and The First National Bank of Chicago (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10(g) of the Company's 1999 Annual Report on Form 10-K)
10 (u)	White Mountains Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended, (incorporated by reference to Appendix I of the Company's Notice of 2001 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement dated July 5, 2001) (**)
10 (v)	White Mountains Insurance Group Discounted Option Plan (*) (**)
10 (w)	OneBeacon Insurance Discounted Option Plan (*) (**)
10 (x)	OneBeacon Insurance Supplemental Plan (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 4(c) of the Company's Report on Form S-8 dated August 27, 2001) (**)
10 (y)	Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2001 among John D. Gillespie and Fund American Companies, Inc. (formerly TACK Acquisition Corp.) (*) (**)
10 (z)	Revenue Sharing Agreement among John D. Gillespie, Fund American Companies, Inc. (formerly TACK Acquisition Corp.) and Folksamerica Reinsurance Company (*) (**)
11	Statement Re Computation of Per Share Earnings (***)
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant (*)
23	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers dated April 1, 2002 (*)
24	Powers of Attorney (*)

(*) Included herein.

(**) Management contracts or compensation plans/arrangements required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 14(a)3 of Form 10-K.

(***) Not included herein as the information is contained elsewhere within report. See Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

d. FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The financial statement schedules and report of independent auditors have been filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as indicated in the Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules appearing on page 49 of this report.

47

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on

its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.

Date: April 1, 2002

By: /s/ J. BRIAN PALMER

Chief Accounting Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE
TITLE DATE

RAYMOND
BARRETTE*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Raymond
Barrette
/s/ DENNIS
P.

BEAULIEU
Treasurer
and
Corporate
Secretary
April 1,
2002 - ---

Dennis P.
Beaulieu
(Principal
Financial
Officer)
/s/ JOHN
J. BYRNE
Chairman
and Chief
Executive
Officer
April 1,
2002 - ---

John J.
Byrne
(Principal
Executive
Officer)

MARK J.
BYRNE*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Mark J.
Byrne
PATRICK M.
BYRNE*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Patrick M.
Byrne
HOWARD L.
CLARK,
JR.*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Howard L.
Clark, Jr.
ROBERT P.
COCHRAN*

Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Robert P.
Cochran
STEVEN E.
FASS*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Steven E.
Fass
GEORGE J.
GILLESPIE,
III*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

George J.
Gillespie,
III JOHN
D.
GILLESPIE*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

John D.
Gillespie
K. THOMAS
KEMP*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

K. Thomas
Kemp
GORDON S.
MACKLIN*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Gordon S.
Macklin
FRANK A.
OLSON*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Frank A.
Olson /s/
J. BRIAN
PALMER
Chief
Accounting
Officer
April 1,
2002 - ---

J. Brian
Palmer
(Principal
Accounting
Officer)
JOSEPH S.
STEINBERG*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Joseph S.
Steinberg
ARTHUR
ZANKEL*
Director
April 1,
2002 - ---

Arthur
Zankel
*By: /s/
K. THOMAS
KEMP - ---

----- K.
Thomas
Kemp,
Attorney-
in-Fact

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Form 10-K page(s) - -----
----- CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: Consolidated balance sheets as of December
31, 2001 and 2000..... F-1 Consolidated statements of income and
comprehensive income for each of the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and
1999..... F-2 Consolidated statements of common
shareholders' equity for each of the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and
1999..... F-3 Consolidated statements of cash flows
for each of the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and
1999..... F-4 Notes to consolidated financial
statements..... F-5 OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION: Report
on management's responsibilities..... F-42 Report of
independent accountants..... F-43 Selected
quarterly financial data (unaudited)..... F-44 FINANCIAL
STATEMENT SCHEDULES: I. Summary of investments other than investments in related
parties..... FS-1 II. Condensed financial information of the
Registrant..... FS-2 III. Supplementary insurance
information..... FS-4 IV.
Reinsurance..... FS-5 V.
Valuation and qualifying accounts..... FS-6 VI.
Supplemental information concerning property and casualty insurance underwriters FS-7
=====

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, ----- Dollars in millions, except share amounts 2001 2000 - -----
----- ASSETS
Fixed maturity investments, at fair value (cost \$6,156.5 and \$1,063.0) \$ 6,128.3 \$ 1,078.6 Short-term
investments, at amortized cost (which approximated fair value) 2,545.8 735.9 Common equity securities, at fair
value (cost \$155.1 and \$127.5) 173.6 144.8 Other investments (cost \$150.0 and \$117.3) 158.0 142.9 -----
----- Total investments 9,005.7 2,102.2 Cash 67.4 4.4 Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid
losses 4,342.0 777.2 Insurance and reinsurance balances receivable 1,062.0 105.7 Deferred tax asset 696.4 105.1
Deferred acquisition costs 313.3 27.2 Investments in unconsolidated insurance affiliates 311.1 130.6 Investment
income accrued 99.9 20.1 Goodwill 22.3 25.4 Other assets 572.7 247.3 ----- Total assets
\$ 16,492.8 \$ 3,545.2

LIABILITIES Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves \$ 9,527.6 \$ 1,556.3 Unearned insurance and reinsurance
premiums 1,814.5 182.0 Debt 1,125.4 96.0 Deferred credits 682.5 92.2 Funds held under reinsurance treaties
361.7 420.0 Other liabilities 1,366.2 152.2 ----- Total liabilities 14,877.9 2,498.7 - -

MINORITY INTEREST - MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK OF SUBSIDIARIES 170.3 - - -----
----- COMMON SHAREHOLDERS'
EQUITY Common Shares at \$1 par per share- authorized 50,000,000 Common Shares, issued and outstanding 8,264,681
and 5,880,115 Common Shares 8.3 5.9 Paid-in surplus 1,098.3 66.2 Retained earnings 355.1 927.5 Accumulated
other comprehensive income, after tax 4.4 46.9 Unearned compensation - Restricted Share awards (21.5) - -----
----- Total common shareholders' equity 1,444.6 1,046.5 - -----
----- Total liabilities, minority
interest and common shareholders' equity \$ 16,492.8 \$ 3,545.2
=====

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements including Note 18 for Commitments
and Contingencies.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Year Ended December 31,	2001	2000	1999
Millions, except per share amounts			
REVENUES: Earned insurance and reinsurance premiums	\$2,656.1	\$ 334.4	\$ 283.2
Net investment income	284.5	85.9	61.9
Net gains (losses) on investments	173.1 (8.8)	69.6	Amortization of deferred credits and other benefits 91.6 41.4 25.8
Net gains on sales of subsidiaries and other assets	20.2	386.2	103.9
Other revenue	8.1	9.1	34.8
Total revenues	3,233.6	848.2	579.2
EXPENSES: Losses and loss adjustment expenses	2,493.9	287.7	242.3
Insurance and reinsurance acquisition expenses	584.3	101.1	73.4
General and administrative expenses	417.1	87.9	87.3
Share appreciation expense for Series B Warrants	58.8	-	-
Accretion of fair value adjustment to loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	56.0	-	-
Interest expense	45.7	16.1	14.7
Total expenses	3,655.8	492.8	417.7
PRETAX EARNINGS (LOSS)	(422.2)	355.4	161.5
Tax benefit (provision)	174.3	(42.5)	(53.1)
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE MINORITY INTEREST AND EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS	(247.9)	312.9	108.4
Accretion of preferred stock of subsidiaries to face value	(5.1)	-	-
Dividends on preferred stock of subsidiaries	(18.1)	-	-
NET INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(271.1)	312.9	108.4
Net income from discontinued operations	(95.0)	12.6	-
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS	(271.1)	407.9	121.0
Excess of fair value of acquired net assets over cost	16.6	-	-
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(4.8)	-	-
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(259.3)	407.9	121.0
Net change in unrealized gains for investments held	(4.5)	56.3	(73.7)
Net change in foreign currency translation	(2.0)	(.7)	.9
Recognition of net unrealized gains for investments sold	(36.0)	(15.9)	(45.2)
COMPREHENSIVE NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(301.8)	\$ 447.6	\$ 3.0
COMPUTATION OF NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS: Net income (loss)	\$(259.3)	\$ 407.9	\$ 121.0
Redemption value adjustment - Convertible Preference Shares	(305.1)	-	-
Dividends on Convertible Preference Shares	(.3)	-	-
NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$(564.7)	\$ 407.9	\$ 121.0
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE: Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(86.52)	\$ 53.08	\$ 19.25
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE: Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$(86.52)	\$ 52.84	\$ 17.66
Net income (loss)	(84.75)	69.19	21.50
Net income (loss)	(84.75)	68.89	19.73

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-2

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Year Ended December 31,	2001	2000	1999
Millions			
Common Accumulated Shares and Common other paid-in Retained Shares in comprehensive Unearned surplus earnings treasury income compensation			
Balances at January 1, 1999	\$ 702.5	\$ 385.1	\$1,063.2
Net income	121.0	121.0	-
Net unrealized investment losses	(118.9)	-	(118.9)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	.9	.9	-
Dividends declared to common shareholders	(8.8)	(8.8)	-
Issuances of Common Shares	57.1	(58.8)	115.9
Repurchases and retirements of Common Shares	(139.5)	(312.2)	(582.4)
Balances at December 31, 1999	614.3	72.9	534.2
Net income	407.9	407.9	-
Net unrealized investment gains	40.4	40.4	-
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(.7)	(.7)	-
Dividends declared to common shareholders	(7.1)	(7.1)	-
Repurchases and retirements of Common Shares	(8.3)	(.8)	(7.5)
Balances at December 31, 2000	1,046.5	72.1	927.5
Net loss	(259.3)	(259.3)	-
Net unrealized investment losses	(40.5)	(40.5)	-
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(2.0)	(2.0)	-
Dividends declared to common shareholders	(5.9)	(5.9)	-
Issuances of Common Shares	779.6	779.6	-
Redemption value adjustment-- Convertible Preference Shares	(305.1)	(305.1)	-
Dividends on Convertible Preference Shares	(.3)	(.3)	-
Repurchases and retirements of Common Shares	(1.9)	(.1)	(1.8)
Issuance of Warrants	213.6	213.6	-
Issuance of unearned Restricted Shares	31.9	31.9	-
Amortization of earned Restricted Shares	10.4	10.4	-
Accrued Option expense	9.5	9.5	-
BALANCES AT DECEMBER 31, 2001	\$1,444.6	\$ 1,106.6	\$ 355.1
			\$ - 4.4 \$ (21.5)

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-3

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ended December 31,	2001	2000	1999
Millions			
Net (loss) income	\$(259.3)	\$ 407.9	\$ 121.0
Reconciliation of net income to cash flows from operating activities: Dividends on preferred stock of subsidiaries	18.1	-	-
Excess of fair value of acquired net assets over cost	(16.6)	-	-
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	4.8	-	-
Share appreciation expense for Series B Warrants	58.8	-	-
Accretion of fair value adjustment to loss and loss adjustment expenses reserves	56.0	-	-
Share appreciation expense for Options and Restricted Shares	20.0	-	-
Net income from discontinued operations	(95.0)	(12.6)	-
Net gains on sales of subsidiaries and other assets	(20.2)	(386.2)	(103.9)
Net (gains) losses on investments	(173.1)	8.8	(69.6)
Amortization of deferred credits and other benefits	(91.6)	(41.4)	(25.8)
Deferred income tax (benefit) expense	(186.0)	(18.1)	38.7
Net change in other operating items: Net change in reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses	(1,410.1)	(367.2)	5.7
Net change in insurance loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	1,500.4	(72.4)	(69.2)
Net change in funds held and insurance and reinsurance premiums receivable	331.8	432.8	28.9
Net change in unearned insurance and reinsurance premiums	(247.0)	(19.9)	(7.8)
Net change in other assets and liabilities, net	113.3	36.7	(113.7)
Net cash used for operating activities	(300.7)	(114.0)	(208.3)
Cash flows from investing activities: Net (increase) decrease in short-term investments	(979.2)	(614.6)	75.5
Sales of common equity securities and other investments	246.9	204.0	256.4
Sales of fixed maturity investments	7,603.6	315.1	237.7
Maturities of fixed maturity investments	1,121.1	63.0	36.0
Purchases of common equity securities and other investments	(233.8)	(205.6)	(71.1)
Purchases of fixed maturity investments	(6,897.3)	(159.0)	(89.4)
Purchases of consolidated and unconsolidated affiliates	(1,979.8)	60.1	(234.3)
Proceeds from sales of consolidated and unconsolidated affiliates	23.6	570.4	144.5
Net (purchases) sales of fixed assets	(7.7)	1.0	(1.0)

----- Net cash (used for) provided from investing activities (1,102.6) 234.4 354.3 -----		----- Cash flows from financing	
activities: Issuances of debt 832.0 15.0 100.0	Repayments of debt (103.9) (119.0) (138.0)	Proceeds from issuances of	Common Shares and Convertible Preference Shares 444.4 - -
Proceeds from issuances and exercises of warrants to acquire Common Shares 75.0	- 21.7	Common Shares repurchased and	retired (1.9) (8.8) (139.4)
Cash dividends to preferred shareholders (18.4)	- -	Cash dividends paid to holders of Common	Shares (5.9) (7.1) (8.8)
1,466.3 (119.9) (164.5)	-	Net cash provided from (used for) financing activities	
-----	-----	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash during year 63.0 .5 (18.5)	Cash balance at beginning of year	4.4 3.9 22.4	Cash balance at end of year \$ 67.4 \$ 4.4 \$ 3.9
=====			

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-4

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with GAAP in the United States. Previously defined terms used within these financial statements have the same meaning as they appear elsewhere within this report. The Company is a Bermuda limited liability company with its headquarters located at Crawford House, 23 Church Street, Hamilton, Bermuda HM 11. The Company's principal executive office is located at 28 Gates Street, White River Junction, Vermont, 05001- 7066 and its registered office is located at Clarendon House, 2 Church Street, Hamilton, Bermuda HM DX.

The Company's consolidated property and casualty insurance operations are conducted primarily through OneBeacon, which was acquired by White Mountains on June 1, 2001. Therefore, the Company's 2001 consolidated financial results include OneBeacon's results only for the seven months ended December 31, 2001. White Mountains' consolidated property and casualty reinsurance operations are conducted through Folksamerica. Folksamerica, which is owned by OneBeacon, also owns PIC, ACIC and BICC. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The financial statements include all adjustments considered necessary by management to fairly present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of White Mountains.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain amounts in the prior period financial statements have been reclassified to conform with the current presentation. White Mountains has completed numerous significant transactions during the periods presented that have affected the comparability of the financial statement information presented herein.

INVESTMENT SECURITIES

White Mountains' portfolio of fixed maturity investments, common equity securities and other investments are classified as available for sale and are reported at fair value as of the balance sheet date as determined by quoted market values. Net unrealized investment gains and losses, after tax, associated with such investments are reported as a net amount as a separate component of shareholders' equity. Changes in net unrealized investment gains and losses, after tax, are reported as a component of other comprehensive income. Investment securities are regularly reviewed for impairment based on criteria that include the extent to which cost exceeds market value, the duration of the market decline, and the financial health of and specific prospects for the issuer. Investment losses that are other than temporary are recognized in earnings. Realized gains and losses resulting from sales of investment securities are accounted for using the specific identification method.

Premiums and discounts on fixed maturity investments are accreted to income over the anticipated life of the investment.

Other investments principally include investments in limited partnership interests which are recorded using the equity method of accounting.

Short-term investments consist of money market funds, certificates of deposit and other securities which mature or become available for use within one year. Short-term investments are carried at amortized cost, which approximated fair value as of December 31, 2001 and 2000.

CASH

Cash includes amounts on hand and demand deposits with banks and other financial institutions. Amounts presented in the statement of cash flows are shown net of balances acquired and sold in the purchase or sale of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries.

F-5

INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE OPERATIONS

Premiums written are recognized as revenues and are earned ratably over the terms of the related policies or reinsurance treaties. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written that are applicable to future insurance or reinsurance coverage provided by policies or treaties in force.

Deferred acquisition costs represent commissions, premium taxes, brokerage expenses and other costs which are directly attributable to and vary with the production of new business. These costs are deferred and amortized over the applicable premium recognition period as insurance and reinsurance acquisition expenses. Deferred acquisition costs are limited to the amount expected to be recovered from future earned premiums and anticipated investment income.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses are charged against income as incurred. Unpaid insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses are based on estimates (generally determined by claims adjusters, legal counsel and actuarial staff) of the ultimate costs of settling claims, including the effects of inflation and other societal and economic factors. Unpaid reinsurance losses and loss adjustment expenses are based primarily on reports received from ceding companies and actuarial projections. Unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves represent management's best estimate of ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses, net of estimated salvage and subrogation recoveries, if applicable. Such estimates are regularly reviewed and updated and any adjustments resulting therefrom are reflected in current operations. The process of estimating loss and loss adjustment expenses involves a considerable degree of judgement by management and the ultimate amount of expense to be incurred could be considerably greater than or less than the amounts currently reflected in the financial statements.

In connection with purchase accounting for the Acquisition, White Mountains was required to adjust to fair value OneBeacon's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and the related reinsurance recoverables by \$646.9 million and \$346.9 million, respectively, on OneBeacon's acquired balance sheet. This net reduction to loss and loss adjustment expense reserves of \$300.0 million will be accreted through an income statement charge over the period that the claims are expected to be settled. See Note 3.

White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries enter into reinsurance contracts from time to time to protect their businesses from losses due to concentration of risk, to manage their operating leverage ratios and to limit losses arising from catastrophic events. The majority of such reinsurance contracts are executed through excess of loss treaties and catastrophe contracts under which the reinsurer indemnifies for a specified part or all of certain types of losses over stipulated amounts arising from any one occurrence or event. To a lesser extent, White Mountains has entered into quota share treaties with reinsurers under which all risks meeting prescribed criteria are covered on a pro-rata basis. The amount of each risk ceded by White Mountains is subject to maximum limits which vary by line of business and type of coverage. Amounts related to reinsurance contracts are recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 113, "Accounting and Reporting for Reinsurance of Short-Duration and Long-Duration Contracts" and EITF Topic D-54, as applicable.

Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the claim liability associated with the reinsured policies. The collectibility of reinsurance recoverables is subject to the solvency of the reinsurers. White Mountains is selective in regard to its reinsurers, placing reinsurance with only those reinsurers with a strong financial condition, industry ratings and underwriting ability. Management monitors the financial condition and ratings of its reinsurers on an ongoing basis.

Reinsurance premiums, commissions, expense reimbursements and reserves related to reinsured business are accounted for on a basis consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts. Premiums ceded to other companies are reported as a reduction of premiums written. Amounts applicable to reinsurance ceded for unearned premium reserves (i.e., prepaid reinsurance premiums) have been included as a component of other assets. Expense allowances received in connection with reinsurance ceded have been accounted for as a reduction of the related policy acquisition costs and are deferred and amortized accordingly. Funds held under reinsurance treaties represent contractual payments due to the reinsurer that White Mountains has retained to secure obligations of the reinsurer. Such amounts are recorded as liabilities in the consolidated financial statements.

F-6

FEDERAL AND FOREIGN INCOME AND WITHHOLDING TAXES

As a result of the Redomestication, income earned by the Bermuda and Barbados Companies will generally be subject to an effective overall tax rate lower than that imposed by the United States, however, no tax benefits will be obtained in the event of net losses incurred by such companies. The U.S. Companies are subject to United States income taxes. Prior to the Redomestication, the Company filed a consolidated United States Federal income tax return with its subsidiaries. The U.S. Companies continue to file United States tax returns but may no longer do so on a group-wide consolidated basis. As a result, the aggregate United States income tax liability of the U.S. Companies may be higher than it otherwise would have been if part of a consolidated tax return.

The Company is no longer subject to United States income taxes on its direct earnings. The Company's Barbados subsidiaries are generally subject to a 5% United States withholding tax on dividends received from its subsidiaries as well as a 1% Barbados income tax on taxable earnings (which include dividends received from its subsidiaries). These taxes are recorded in addition to United States income taxes accrued by its U.S. Companies.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded when a difference between an asset or liability's financial statement value and its tax reporting value exists, and for other temporary differences as defined by SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes". The deferred tax asset or liability is recorded based on tax rates expected to be in effect when the difference reverses.

FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

Net after tax unrealized losses from foreign currency fluctuations associated with Fund American Re's operations, Folksamerica's Canadian operations and certain of BICG's loss reserves totalled \$2.7 million and \$.7 million at December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000, respectively. These net after tax losses are recorded in shareholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and changes in these values are reported on the income and comprehensive income statement as a component of other comprehensive income.

ACCOUNTING STANDARDS RECENTLY ADOPTED AND ISSUED

During 2001, White Mountains adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", which requires companies to record all derivatives on the balance sheet as either assets or liabilities and measure those instruments at fair value. The manner in which companies are to record gains and losses resulting from changes in the values of those derivatives depends on the use of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting. Upon its adoption on January 1, 2001, SFAS No. 133 had no impact on White Mountains' financial condition. During 2001, White Mountains entered into various interest rate swap agreements which were undertaken to achieve a fixed interest rate on the Lehman Facility. Pursuant to SFAS No. 133, these contracts are carried at fair value on the balance sheet which constituted an obligation by White Mountains of \$4.9 million at December 31, 2001. Changes in the fair value of these financial instruments are reported directly through the income statement as they do not qualify for hedge accounting since their duration is dissimilar to that of the Lehman Facility. White Mountains is not currently invested in any other traditional or embedded derivative financial instruments for hedging or for any other purpose.

In June 2001 the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 entitled "Business Combinations". SFAS No. 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 to be accounted for using the purchase method. With respect to deferred credits (i.e., negative goodwill), SFAS No. 141 calls for the recognition of all existing deferred credits arising from business combinations prior to July 1, 2001 through the income statement as a change in accounting principle on the first day of the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2001, and requires deferred credits arising from business combinations for which the acquisition date was after June 30, 2001 to be immediately recognized through the income statement as an extraordinary gain. As of December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000, White Mountains had deferred credits of \$682.5 million and \$92.2 million, respectively. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, White Mountains recognized extraordinary gains of \$16.6 million during 2001 in connection with business combinations that it initiated after July 1, 2001 and it will recognize its entire December 31, 2001 unamortized deferred credit balance on January 1, 2002 as the effect of a change in accounting principle. For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, White Mountains recognized revenue of \$91.6 million, \$34.6 million and \$11.8 million, respectively from the amortization of its deferred credits.

F-7

In June 2001 the FASB issued SFAS No. 142 entitled "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". SFAS No. 142 sets forth new standards concerning accounting for deferred credits, goodwill and other intangible assets arising from business combinations. With respect to goodwill, SFAS No. 142 calls for the amortization of existing and prospective goodwill only when the asset acquired is deemed to have been impaired rather than systematically over a perceived period of benefit. SFAS No. 142 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2001. As of December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000, unamortized goodwill amounted to \$22.3 million and \$25.4 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, White Mountains recognized goodwill amortization of \$3.1 million, \$3.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Pursuant to the requirements of SFAS No. 142, upon implementation on January 1, 2002, White Mountains will cease amortization of the unamortized goodwill balance. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of the impairment testing requirements of SFAS No. 142, however, the impact is not anticipated to be material to its results of operations or financial position.

In August 2001 the FASB issued SFAS No. 144 entitled "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". This statement supercedes FASB Statement No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of", and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions". This statement requires that one accounting model be used for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale, whether previously held and used or newly acquired, and it broadens the presentation of discontinued operations to include more disposal transactions. The provisions of this statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of SFAS No. 144.

Effective January 1, 2001, insurance companies domiciled in the United States were required to adopt new regulations implementing a codification of statutory accounting principles for insurers ("Codification"). The purpose of Codification was to enhance the consistency of the accounting treatment of assets, liabilities, reserves, income and expenses of insurers, by setting forth the accounting practices and procedures to be followed in completing annual and quarterly financial statements required by state law. Codification served to reduce Folksamerica's statutory surplus by \$.5 million at January 1, 2001.

EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per share amounts are based on the weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share amounts are based on the weighted average number of Common Shares and the net effect of potentially dilutive Common Shares outstanding. In 1999 net income is reduced by an amount deemed to be reflective of the dilution to FSA's reported net income caused by its investment in FSA Preferred Stock. The following table outlines the Company's computation of earnings (loss) per share for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

F-8

Year Ended December 31,	2001	2000	1999
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE			
NUMERATORS (IN MILLIONS): Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (271.1)	\$ 312.9	\$ 108.4
Redemption value adjustment - Convertible Preference Shares (305.1) - - Dividends on Convertible Preference Shares (.3) - - Dilution to earnings resulting from FSA Preferred Stock - - (.6)			
Diluted income (loss) from continuing operations available to common shareholders	\$ (576.5)	\$ 312.9	\$ 107.8
Net income from discontinued operations - 95.0 12.6 Extraordinary income items 11.8			
Diluted net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (564.7)	\$ 407.9	\$ 120.4
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE DENOMINATORS (IN THOUSANDS):			
Basic earnings (loss) per share denominator (average Common Shares outstanding)	6,663	5,895	5,630
Average outstanding dilutive options and warrants to acquire Common Shares(a), (b) - 26 472			
Diluted earnings (loss) per share denominator	6,663	5,921	6,102
BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE (IN DOLLARS):			
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (86.52)	\$ 53.08	\$ 19.25
Net income from discontinued operations - 16.11 2.25 Extraordinary income items 1.77			
Net income (loss)	\$ (84.75)	\$ 69.19	\$ 21.50
DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE (IN DOLLARS):			
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (86.52)	\$ 52.84	\$ 17.66
Net income from discontinued operations - 16.05 2.07 Extraordinary income items 1.77			
Net income (loss)	\$ (84.75)	\$ 68.89	\$ 19.73

- (a) See Note 9 for detailed information concerning outstanding dilutive options and warrants to acquire Common Shares
(b) During the 2001 period, options and warrants to acquire Common Shares are not included in the dilutive share calculation as the impact of their inclusion would serve to be antidilutive to the calculation of net loss per share.

F-9

NOTE 2. SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS

ONEBEACON

On June 1, 2001, White Mountains acquired OneBeacon from CGNU for \$2,114.3 million, of which \$260.0 million consisted of the Seller Note with the balance paid in cash. White Mountains and OneBeacon undertook a series of related pre-closing transactions prior to the Acquisition as follows:

WHITE MOUNTAINS PRE-CLOSING TRANSACTIONS

DEBT TENDER AND DEBT ESCROW TRANSACTIONS. Prior to the Acquisition, the Company completed the Debt Tender and repurchased and retired \$90.9 million of Notes and subsequently prepaid, through the Debt Escrow, the balance of the Notes. The Company recorded a \$4.8 million extraordinary loss on extinguishment of debt in connection with the Debt Tender and the Debt Escrow during 2001.

EQUITY FINANCING. On June 1, 2001, a small group of private investors purchased 2,184,583 Convertible Preference Shares, a newly-issued class of non-voting convertible preference shares of the Company that contained a mandatory redemption feature. Upon approval by shareholders at the 2001 Annual Meeting, the Convertible Preference Shares were converted into 2,184,583 Common Shares pursuant to the terms of the Convertible Preference Share agreement. Had shareholder approval not been obtained by March 31, 2003, the holders of Convertible Preference Shares would not have been entitled to conversion, but would have had the right to require the Company to redeem for cash the Convertible Preference Shares on an "as converted" basis with the redemption price equal to the then-current price of a Common Share. This conversion right caused the Convertible Preference Shares to have a redemption value in excess of cash received upon issuance, which resulted in a net charge to retained earnings of \$305.1 million for the brief period from issuance until conversion, with an offsetting increase to paid-in surplus. While outstanding, the Company declared and paid dividends on Convertible Preference Shares of \$.3 million.

On June 1, 2001, Berkshire purchased the Warrants from the Company for \$75.0 million in cash. The Warrants entitle Berkshire to acquire 1,714,285 Common Shares at an exercise price of \$175.00 per Common Share. Of the total Warrants purchased by Berkshire, Series A Warrants to purchase 1,170,000 Common Shares were immediately exercisable and Series B Warrants to purchase 544,285 Common Shares became exercisable upon approval by shareholders at the 2001 Annual Meeting. From the period June 1, 2001 through the date of the 2001 Annual Meeting, the Series B Warrants constituted a contingent put liability (similar in nature to a stock appreciation right) which was carried at fair value through a periodic charge or credit to the income statement. The income statement charge recorded by the Company during 2001 associated with Series B Warrants totalled \$58.8 million. Upon shareholder approval, the Series B Warrants were converted to common shareholders' equity. The Warrants have a term of seven years from the date of issuance although the Company has the right to call the Warrants for \$60.0 million in cash commencing on the fourth anniversary of their issuance.

On June 1, 2001, Berkshire purchased the Berkshire Preferred Stock for \$225.0 million. The Berkshire Preferred Stock was issued by a subsidiary of the Company and is a cumulative non-voting instrument with a face value of \$300.0 million. The Berkshire Preferred Stock is entitled to a dividend of no less than 2.35% per quarter and is mandatorily redeemable after seven years.

As previously mentioned, White Mountains received a total of \$300.0 million in cash from Berkshire in full payment for the Warrants and the Berkshire Preferred Stock. The proceeds received were allocated to each instrument based on their relative estimated fair values on June 1, 2001. As a result, \$154.8 million of such proceeds were allocated to the Warrants (and therefore recorded to shareholders' equity) and \$145.2 million of such proceeds were allocated to the Berkshire Preferred Stock. White Mountains is accreting the Berkshire Preferred Stock's recorded value to its face value of \$300.0 million using the interest method of amortization over the instrument's seven-year term through an income statement charge. During 2001, White Mountains recorded \$5.1 million of accretion charges on the Berkshire Preferred Stock.

F-10

On June 1, 2001, Zenith purchased the Zenith Preferred Stock for \$20.0 million. The Zenith Preferred Stock was issued by a subsidiary of the Company and is a cumulative non-voting instrument with a face value of \$20.0 million. The Zenith Preferred Stock is entitled to a dividend of no less than 2.5% per quarter through June 30, 2007 and a dividend of no less than 3.5% per quarter thereafter and is mandatorily redeemable after ten years.

BANK FINANCING. On June 1, 2001, a subsidiary of the Company borrowed \$825.0 million under the Lehman Facility consisting of \$700.0 million in term loans and \$125.0 million in revolving loans (of a \$175.0 million revolving loan facility). The term loans are repayable in quarterly installments with a final maturity on March 31, 2007. The revolving loan facility is available from the closing date until the fifth anniversary of the closing. The loans are variable rate instruments which are currently tied to a rate based on short-term eurodollar rates. White Mountains subsequently entered into various interest rate swap agreements which were undertaken to achieve a fixed interest rate on the term loans. See Note 6.

SELLER NOTE. On June 1, 2001, White Mountains issued the Seller Note of \$260.0 million to CGNU. The Seller Note has an eighteen-month term and bears interest at a rate equal to 50 basis points over the rate on White Mountains' revolving loan facility described above. The Seller Note may be settled in cash, or at White Mountains' option, with Common Shares valued at \$245.00 per Share. White Mountains has classified this obligation as debt since management believes it has the ability to settle this obligation in a form other than pursuant to the Note Purchase Option Agreement that governs the Seller Note.

ONEBEACON PRE-CLOSING TRANSACTIONS

NICO COVER. Immediately prior to the Acquisition, CGNU caused OneBeacon to purchase a reinsurance contract for a premium of \$1,322.3 million under which OneBeacon is entitled to recover up to \$2.5 billion in ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred related to asbestos claims arising from business written by OneBeacon prior to 1992, environmental claims arising from business written by OneBeacon prior to 1987 and certain other exposures.

Under the terms of the NICO Cover, in addition to the reinsurance premium, NICO received the benefit of reinsurance recoverables from certain of OneBeacon's third party reinsurers in existence at the time the NICO Cover was executed. Third party reinsurance collected on the claims covered by this agreement serve to protect the \$2.5 billion limit of NICO coverage for the benefit of OneBeacon.

GRC COVER. Immediately prior to the Acquisition, CGNU caused OneBeacon to obtain \$570.0 million of reinsurance protection through the GRC Cover consisting of \$400.0 million of adverse development coverage on losses related to its years 2000 and prior, in addition to \$170.0 million of loss reserves ceded to GRC.

The NICO Cover and the GRC Cover, which were contingent on, and occurred contemporaneously with the Acquisition, qualify for prospective reinsurance accounting treatment under EITF Topic D-54 which characterizes the protections as an indemnification by the seller for increases in the liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses that existed at the acquisition date. See Notes 3 and 4.

PURCHASE ACCOUNTING ASSOCIATED WITH THE ACQUISITION

The Acquisition was accounted for by the purchase method of accounting in accordance with the treatment of a purchase business combination under the APB No. 16, "Business Combinations" and, therefore, the assets and liabilities of OneBeacon were recorded by White Mountains at their fair values on June 1, 2001. The process of determining the fair value of such assets and liabilities acquired, as required under purchase accounting, was undertaken as follows: (i) the purchase price of OneBeacon was preliminarily allocated to the acquired assets and liabilities, based on their respective estimated fair values at June 1, 2001; (ii) the excess of the fair value of acquired net assets over the purchase price was used to reduce the estimated fair values of all non-current, non-financial assets acquired to zero; and (iii) the remaining \$682.0 million excess of the estimated fair value of net assets over the purchase price was recorded as a deferred credit.

F-11

In accordance with the purchase method of accounting, on June 1, 2001,

White Mountains decreased the net assets of OneBeacon by \$26.9 million (\$17.4 million after taxes) representing adjustments to reflect the estimated fair value of OneBeacon's assets and liabilities assumed. This decrease was primarily comprised of pretax adjustments of (i) \$185.3 million to record the fair value of certain liabilities at the time of the Acquisition, mostly assigned risk exposures in New York, (ii) \$42.0 million in allowance for doubtful accounts on insurance balances receivable and (iii) \$85.9 million to recognize the fair value of employee benefit obligations, offset by (iv) a net asset increase of \$300.0 million resulting from fair value adjustments made to OneBeacon's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and related reinsurance recoverables. White Mountains also decreased the net assets of OneBeacon by an additional \$246.5 million (\$175.9 million after tax) representing an allocation of the excess of acquired net assets over the purchase price to OneBeacon's non-current, non-financial assets existing at the time of the Acquisition, primarily its property, plant and equipment.

The fair value of assets and liabilities acquired on June 1, 2001 were as follows (\$ in millions):

Fair value of assets acquired	\$ 11,895.1
Fair value of liabilities acquired	9,098.8

Fair value of net assets acquired	2,796.3
Total purchase price, including expenses	(2,114.3)

Resulting deferred credit	\$ 682.0
	=====

Significant assets and liabilities acquired through OneBeacon included \$34.0 million of cash, \$7,408.6 million of investments, \$2,448.9 million of reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses, \$1,267.3 million of insurance balances receivable, \$7,011.1 million of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and \$1,897.7 million of unearned insurance premiums.

In conjunction with its adoption of SFAS No. 141, White Mountains will recognize its entire unamortized deferred credit balance on January 1, 2002, including its unamortized deferred credit balance relating to OneBeacon of \$625.1 million at December 31, 2001, as a change in accounting principle. Had the Acquisition occurred on or after July 1, 2001, White Mountains would have immediately recognized this deferred credit on its income statement as an extraordinary gain as was the case with its acquisitions of C-F and the Folksam net assets.

POST-ACQUISITION TRANSACTION - RENEWAL RIGHTS AGREEMENT

On November 1, 2001, OneBeacon transferred its regional agency business, agents and operations in 42 states and the District of Columbia to Liberty Mutual. Service agreements have been put in place to ensure a smooth transition. The underwriting results and cash flows of the renewed policies will be shared between OneBeacon and Liberty Mutual over a two year period through a reinsurance agreement whereby OneBeacon will assume two-thirds and one-third of the business renewed in the first and second years, respectively. OneBeacon retained substantially all of the existing assets and liabilities related to the transferred business including all loss and loss adjustment expenses and unearned premium reserves.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION FOR THE ACQUISITION AND THE RENEWAL RIGHTS AGREEMENT - YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND 2000

Supplemental unaudited pro forma condensed combined income statement information for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, which assumes that the Acquisition and the Renewal Rights Agreement had occurred as of January 1, 2001 and 2000, respectively, follows:

F-12

-----	Pro
-----	Forma Year Ended (Unaudited)
-----	December 31, -----
-----	----- Millions,
-----	except per Share amounts 2001
-----	2000 - -----
-----	-----
-----	-----
-----	Total revenues as reported \$
-----	3,233.6 \$ 848.2 Pro forma effect
-----	of the Acquisition 1,759.4
-----	4,798.3 Pro forma effect of the
-----	Renewal Rights Agreement
-----	(1,162.4) (1,449.6) -----
-----	----- Total pro
-----	forma revenues \$ 3,830.6 \$
-----	4,196.9 Net loss from continuing
-----	operations \$ (466.9) \$ (308.2)
-----	=====
-----	-----
-----	Loss per share numerator: Net
-----	loss for continuing operations
-----	available to common shareholders

(744.1) (617.0) Net loss
available to common shareholders
(780.6) (588.7) LOSS PER SHARE:
Net loss from continuing
operations \$ (116.18) \$ (104.79)
Net loss (117.15) (99.87) - ----

The unaudited pro forma information presented above for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 has been supplied for comparative purposes only and does not purport to reflect the actual results that would have been reported had the Acquisition and the Renewal Rights Agreement been consummated at January 1, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Additionally, such pro forma financial information is not expected to be reflective of results that may occur in the future, particularly in light of significant non-recurring transactions such as the NICO Cover and the GRC Cover which are included therein. These transactions served to reduce revenues during the 2001 and 2000 pro forma periods presented by approximately \$1.6 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively, and served to reduce net loss by approximately \$345.2 million and \$296.6 million, respectively.

OTHER ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

In December 2001 Fund American Re acquired substantially all of the international reinsurance operations of Folksam. The \$64.0 million purchase price was paid in a combination of cash, a note and Common Shares. At December 31, 2001, Fund American Re had \$126.3 million of total assets and \$63.9 million of shareholder's equity. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, White Mountains recognized a \$3.0 million extraordinary gain during 2001 representing the excess of the fair value of Folksam's net assets over its cost.

In September 2001 Folksamerica acquired C-F for total consideration of \$49.2 million plus related expenses. The purchase consideration included the issuance of a \$25.0 million, five-year note by Folksamerica which may be reduced by adverse loss development experienced by C-F post-acquisition. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, White Mountains recognized a \$13.6 million extraordinary gain during 2001 representing the excess of the fair value of C-F's net assets over its cost.

In January 2001 the Company sold Waterford to a third party for consideration of \$23.6 million in cash, net of transaction related expenses. White Mountains recognized a \$12.4 million pretax gain on the sale of Waterford in 2001.

In October 2000, Folksamerica purchased an 80% majority interest in Esurance for \$9.0 million. During the fourth quarter of 2001, Folksamerica purchased the remaining 20% minority interest in Esurance for \$1.5 million, thereby making Esurance a wholly owned subsidiary as of December 31, 2001. At and for the year ended December 31, 2001, Esurance had total assets of \$9.6 million, total revenues of \$3.2 million and an accumulated shareholder's deficit of \$16.1 million.

In October 2000 the Company was informed that the Internal Revenue Service agreed with its position taken in its 1991 tax return concerning the sale of Fireman's Fund and, accordingly, released a \$95.0 million reserve during 2000 to income which is presented as a gain from discontinued operations.

In July 2000 White Mountains sold its indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary, White Mountains Holdings, Inc. (which controlled a substantial amount of its holdings of FSA) and all its other holdings of FSA Common Stock to Dexia for proceeds of \$620.4 million. White Mountains recognized a \$391.2 million gain on the Dexia Sale in 2000.

In May 2000 Folksamerica completed its acquisition of the Risk Capital Operations for \$20.3 million in cash plus related expenses. Because the cost of the Risk Capital Operations was more than the fair value of its net identifiable assets at that date, White Mountains recorded \$24.9 million in goodwill at acquisition (\$22.3 million and \$23.3 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively) which was being amortized to income over the estimated period of benefit of ten years.

In March 2000 Folksamerica acquired PCA for \$122.3 million in cash. Because the cost of PCA was less than the fair value of its net identifiable assets acquired at that date, White Mountains recorded a \$37.9 million deferred credit at acquisition (\$26.8 million and \$33.0 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively) which was being amortized to income over the estimated period of benefit of six years.

In October 1999 the Company acquired IAG (which consisted primarily of PIC, ACIC and BICC) for \$86.7 million in cash. Because the cost of acquiring PIC, ACIC and BICC was less than the value of their net identifiable assets, the Company recorded a \$62.0 million deferred credit at acquisition (\$16.3 million and \$37.0 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively) which was being amortized to income over the estimated period of benefit of three years.

In June 1999 Folksamerica acquired USF Re. The purchase consideration included the issuance of a \$20.8 million, five-year note (which was reduced to zero by post-acquisition adverse loss development at USF Re of \$6.8 million and \$14.0 million during 2000 and 1999, respectively).

In June 1999 White Mountains sold VGI and received net proceeds of \$139.0 million in cash after receiving a special dividend prior to the closing of \$76.6 million (net of related tax liabilities) consisting of cash, investment securities and the common stock of Waterford. White Mountains recorded a pretax gain of \$88.1 million in 1999 on the transaction. As part of the VGI Sale, White Mountains has provided Unitrin, Inc. with certain adverse loss development protections that will be settled as of December 31, 2002. During 2001 and 2000 White Mountains provided \$5.9 million and \$5.4 million, respectively, in reserves for such adverse loss development protections.

In May 1999 White Mountains concluded the Mortgage Banking Sale and received net proceeds totalling \$180.6 million. White Mountains recorded a \$19.4 million pretax (\$12.6 million after tax) net income on the sale of its mortgage banking net assets which is presented as net income from discontinued operations.

In August 1998 White Mountains acquired all the outstanding common stock of Folksamerica thereby causing Folksamerica to become a consolidated subsidiary as of that date. Because the cost of White Mountains' investment in Folksamerica was less than the value of Folksamerica's net identifiable assets at that date, White Mountains recorded a \$39.8 million deferred credit (\$14.3 million and \$22.2 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively) which was being amortized to income ratably over the estimated period of benefit of five years.

F-14

NOTE 3. RESERVES FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

ONEBEACON The following table summarizes the loss and loss adjustment expense reserve activities of OneBeacon for the seven months ended December 31, 2001:

```

-----
--- Period Ended December 31, Millions 2001 - -----
----- Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves acquired -
OneBeacon (1) $ 4,394.4 Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred relating to: Current year losses
2,009.2 Prior year losses 64.6 ----- Total incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses
2,073.8 Accretion of fair value adjustment of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves 56.0 Loss and
loss adjustment expenses paid relating to: Current year losses (989.9) Prior year losses (962.8) ----
----- Total loss and loss adjustment expense payments (1,952.7) Net ending balance 4,571.5 Plus
ending reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses 3,285.9 ----- Gross ending balance $ 7,857.4
=====

```

(1) Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses acquired in the Acquisition were \$1,969.8 million.

In connection with purchase accounting for the Acquisition, White Mountains was required to adjust to fair value OneBeacon's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and the related reinsurance recoverables by \$646.9 million and \$346.9 million, respectively, on OneBeacon's acquired balance sheet. This reduction to net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves of \$300.0 million is being accreted through an income statement charge over the period that the claims are expected to be settled. As such, White Mountains recognized \$56.0 million of loss and loss adjustment expenses for the seven months ended December 31, 2001. The fair values of OneBeacon's loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and related reinsurance recoverables acquired on June 1, 2001 were based on the present value of their expected cash flows with consideration for the uncertainty inherent in both the timing of, and the ultimate amount of, future payments for losses and receipts of amounts recoverable from reinsurers. In estimating fair value, management adjusted OneBeacon's nominal loss reserves (net of the effects of reinsurance obtained from the NICO Cover and the GRC Cover) and discounted them to their present value using an applicable risk-free discount rate. The series of future cash flows related to such loss payments and reinsurance recoveries were developed using OneBeacon's historical loss data. The result was subsequently reduced by the "price" for bearing the uncertainty inherent in OneBeacon's net loss reserves. This was assumed to be approximately 11% of the present value of the expected underlying cash flows of the loss reserves and reinsurance recoverables, which is believed to be reflective of the cost OneBeacon would incur if it had attempted to reinsure the full amount of its net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves with a third party reinsurer.

F-15

During the fourth quarter of 2001, OneBeacon increased its estimate of losses and loss adjustment expense reserves for years 1999 and prior in the workers compensation, general liability, commercial multiple peril and commercial automobile lines of business. These actions exhausted the remaining protection under the GRC Cover and resulted in a \$50.0 million charge in the 2001 fourth quarter to loss and loss adjustment expense. Additionally, during 2001 OneBeacon recognized approximately \$14.6 million in losses and loss adjustment expenses related to certain unallocated loss adjustment expenses and pools and associations which were not covered by the NICO Cover or the GRC Cover.

OneBeacon discounts certain loss and loss adjustment expenses relating to long-term workers compensation reserves. These liabilities on an undiscounted net of reinsurance basis were \$662.9 million at December 31, 2001. Discounting these reserves served to reduce net loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities by \$278.1 million at December 31, 2001.

The following table summarizes loss and loss adjustment expense reserve activity relating to White Mountains' reinsurance and other insurance operations for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

Year Ended December 31,	Millions			2001	2000	1999
						Gross beginning balance
\$ 1,556.3	\$ 851.0	\$ 811.7	Less beginning reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses	(726.5)	(169.0)	(137.9)
			Net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves acquired	829.8	682.0	673.8
			Less loss and loss adjustment expense reserves acquired - C-F	(1) 2.3	-	-
			Less loss and loss adjustment expense reserves transferred	(2) (22.2)	(270.6)	-
			Less loss and loss adjustment expense reserves acquired - PCA	(1) - 253.8	-	-
			Less loss and loss adjustment expense reserves acquired - Risk Capital Operations	(1) - 312.6	-	-
			Less loss and loss adjustment expense reserves acquired - USF Re	(1) - 106.5	-	-
			Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves sold - VGI	-	-	(87.8)
			Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred relating to:			
			Current year losses	381.4	264.1	210.4
			Prior year losses	38.7	23.6	31.9
			Total incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses	420.1	287.7	242.3
			Loss and loss adjustment expenses paid relating to:			
			Current year losses	(103.5)	(16.0)	(55.4)
			Prior year losses	(378.3)	(419.7)	(219.9)
			Total loss and loss adjustment expense payments	(481.8)	(435.7)	(275.3)
			Net ending balance	752.6	829.8	682.0
			Plus ending reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses	917.6	726.5	169.0
			Gross ending balance	\$ 1,670.2	\$ 1,556.3	\$ 851.0

- Reinsurance recoverables on unpaid losses acquired in the Fund American Re, Risk Capital Operations, PCA and USF Re acquisitions were \$21.0 million, \$59.1 million, \$153.3 million and \$21.8 million, respectively.
- Represents \$22.2 million and \$270.6 million of loss reserves ceded to Imagine Re during 2001 and 2000, respectively. See Note 4.

Prior accident year losses of \$38.7 million incurred in 2001 consisted primarily of \$22.2 million in reserve strengthening on business ceded under a retroactive reinsurance agreement entered into during the 2000 fourth quarter - See Note 4 for details of this agreement. Because the reinsurance cover was retroactive, the offsetting benefit (reinsurance recoverable) of \$22.2 million has been deferred and is being recognized into underwriting income over the expected settlement period of the underlying claims. The remaining \$16.5 million in prior accident year losses incurred in 2001 were primarily due to higher than expected reported losses in Folksamerica's property excess line.

F-16

Incurred losses for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 related to prior accident years of \$23.6 million and \$31.9 million, respectively, were principally from the portfolios acquired with USF Re and the Risk Capital Operations. In connection with the USF Re acquisition, Folksamerica issued the USF Re Seller Note for \$20.8 million under which Folksamerica was not required to repay the loan should loss and loss adjustment expenses acquired in the acquisition develop adversely. In response to adverse development experienced on reserves acquired in the USF Re acquisition, the USF Re Seller Note was reduced by \$6.8 million and \$14.0 million, which was recorded to "Amortization of deferred credits and other benefits" in the Company's income statement during the periods ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

ASBESTOS AND ENVIRONMENTAL LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE RESERVE ACTIVITY

White Mountains estimates its asbestos and environmental loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities based upon several factors, including facts surrounding reported cases and exposures to claims (such as policy limits and deductibles), current law, past and projected claim activity and past settlement values for similar claims.

During the fourth quarter of 2001, OneBeacon increased its reserves for gross asbestos and environmental reserves so that its reserve levels are more closely aligned with industry-wide survival ratios, substantially all of which was covered under the NICO Cover. As a result, OneBeacon estimates that it has exhausted approximately \$1,771 million of the coverage provided by the NICO Cover after estimating amounts that will be recovered by NICO from other third party reinsurers at December 31, 2001. To the extent that OneBeacon's estimate of ultimate asbestos and environmental losses and NICO's third-party recoverables differs from actual experience, the amount of coverage remaining under the NICO Cover could be higher or lower than \$729 million. The following table summarizes reported asbestos and environmental loss and loss adjustment expense reserve activities (gross and net of reinsurance) for White Mountains' consolidated insurance and reinsurance operations for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Year Ended December 31,	Millions			2001	2000	1999
						Gross Net Gross Net Gross Net
			Asbestos: Beginning balance	\$ 51.0	\$ 37.2	\$ 53.4
			Loss adjustment expense reserves acquired	222.0	6.9	-
			Incurring losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,064.5	12.8	5.5
			Paid losses and loss adjustment expenses	(90.6)	(10.0)	(7.9)
			Ending balance	1,246.9	46.9	51.0
			Environmental: Beginning balance	18.6	16.9	20.1
			Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves acquired	779.7	25.4	-
			Incurring losses and loss adjustment expenses	.4	.1	2.9
			Paid losses and loss adjustment expenses	(0.9)	(2.0)	(0.9)
			Ending balance	766.5	33.5	18.6
			Total asbestos and environmental: Beginning balance	69.6	54.1	73.5
			Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves acquired	1,001.7	32.3	-
			Incurring losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,064.9	12.9	8.4
			Paid losses and loss adjustment expenses	(122.8)	(18.9)	(12.3)
			Ending balance	\$ 2,013.4	\$ 80.4	\$ 69.6
				\$ 54.1	\$ 73.5	\$ 58.1

White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries have estimated environmental and asbestos loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities based upon several factors including facts surrounding reported cases and exposures (such as policy limits and deductibles), current law, past and projected claim activity and past settlement values for similar claims. White Mountains' reserves for environmental and asbestos losses at December 31, 2001 represent management's best estimate of the Company's ultimate liability based on information currently available. However, as case law expands, White Mountains may be subject to environmental and asbestos loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities beyond that intended by policy coverage. Furthermore, in the event that current case law is expanded to include claims not contemplated in the establishment of White Mountains' recorded environmental and asbestos loss and loss adjustment expense reserves, White Mountains believes that it is unlikely that these claims will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or liquidity. Nonetheless, due to the expansion of coverage and liability allowed under case law in the past and the possibilities of similar interpretations in the future, additional increases in environmental and asbestos loss reserves may emerge which would adversely affect the Company's financial position and cash flows. Loss reserve additions arising from such future unfavorable case law interpretations cannot be reasonably estimated at the present time.

NOTE 4. THIRD PARTY REINSURANCE

In the normal course of business, White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries seek to limit losses that may arise from catastrophes or other events by reinsuring with third party reinsurers. White Mountains remains liable for risks reinsured even if the reinsurer is unable to honor its obligations under reinsurance contracts. The effects of reinsurance on White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries' written and earned premiums and on loss and loss adjustment expenses were as follows:

```

-----
--- YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 Other Insurance Millions OneBeacon Folksamerica Operations Total - -----
                                                                                               Gross
written premiums: Direct $ 2,104.6 $ 6.0 $ 32.0 $ 2,142.6 Assumed 174.7 636.4 1.3 812.4 Ceded (401.1) (183.5)
(5.0) (589.6) ----- Net written premiums $ 1,878.2 $ 458.9 $
28.3 $ 2,365.4 - -----
----- Gross earned premiums: Direct $ 2,374.2 $ 6.0 $ 30.7 $ 2,410.9 Assumed 64.2 648.8 - 713.0 Ceded
(230.2) (233.3) (4.3) (467.8) ----- Net earned premiums $
2,208.2 $ 421.5 $ 26.4 $ 2,656.1 - -----
----- Losses and loss adjustment expenses: Direct $ 3,379.5 $ (5.4) $ 21.8 $
3,395.9 Assumed 68.6 661.5 15.5 745.6 Ceded (1,374.3) (271.1) (2.2) (1,647.6) -----
----- Net losses and loss adjustment expenses $ 2,073.8 $ 385.0 $ 35.1 $ 2,493.9
=====

```

F-18

```

-----
--- Year ended December 31, 2000 Other Insurance Millions OneBeacon Folksamerica Operations Total - -----
                                                                                               Gross
written premiums: Direct $ - $ 6.3 $ 25.9 $ 32.2 Assumed - 484.7 - 484.7 Ceded - (158.4) (3.3) (161.7) -----
----- Net written premiums $ - $ 332.6 $ 22.6 $ 355.2 - -----
----- Gross earned
premiums: Direct $ - $ 4.1 $ 28.4 $ 32.5 Assumed - 476.1 .4 476.5 Ceded - (167.7) (6.9) (174.6) -----
----- Net earned premiums $ - $ 312.5 $ 21.9 $ 334.4 - -----
----- Losses and loss
adjustment expenses: Direct $ - $ (.6) $ 20.6 $ 20.0 Assumed - 468.5 .3 468.8 Ceded - (197.1) (4.0) (201.1) -----
----- Net losses and loss adjustment expenses $ - $ 270.8 $ 16.9 $
287.7
=====

```

```

-----
--- Year ended December 31, 1999 Other Insurance Millions OneBeacon Folksamerica Operations Total - -----
                                                                                               Gross
written premiums: Direct $ - $ 2.2 $ 62.6 $ 64.8 Assumed - 236.2 33.9 270.1 Ceded - (36.7) (23.5) (60.2) -----
----- Net written premiums $ - $ 201.7 $ 73.0 $ 274.7 - -----
----- Gross
earned premiums: Direct $ - $ 2.4 $ 61.4 $ 63.8 Assumed - 241.0 39.6 280.6 Ceded - (32.4) (28.8) (61.2) -----
----- Net earned premiums $ - $ 211.0 $ 72.2 $ 283.2 - -----
----- Losses and
loss adjustment expenses: Direct $ - $ 7.8 $ 47.5 $ 55.3 Assumed - 205.4 29.1 234.5 Ceded - (31.0) (16.5) (47.5) -
----- Net losses and loss adjustment expenses $ - $ 182.2 $
60.1 $ 242.3
=====

```

ONEBEACON

In the ordinary course of its business, OneBeacon cedes various risks to high quality, highly rated, third party reinsurers in order to provide greater diversification of risk and minimize its net loss arising from large risks or catastrophic events.

Catastrophe losses are unpredictable and the level of catastrophic losses experienced in any year could potentially be material to OneBeacon's results of operations and financial position. Examples of catastrophes include losses caused by earthquakes, wildfires, hurricanes and other types of storms, tornadoes and terrorist acts. The extent of losses caused by catastrophes is both a function of the total amount of insured exposure in an area affected by the event and the severity of the event.

OneBeacon continually assesses and implements programs to manage its exposure to catastrophe losses through individual risk selection, by limiting its concentration of insurance written in catastrophe-prone areas (such as coastal regions) and through the purchase of catastrophe reinsurance. OneBeacon has entered into a property catastrophe reinsurance program for the 2002 calendar year whereby the first \$125.0 million of losses resulting from any single catastrophe are retained by OneBeacon. Property catastrophe losses from a single event in excess of \$125.0 million, up to \$200.0 million, are reinsured with a syndicate of reinsurers for 75% of the loss. Property catastrophe losses from a single event in excess of \$200.0 million, up to \$750.0 million, are reinsured with a group of reinsurers for 95% of the loss. OneBeacon's 2002 property catastrophe reinsurance program does not cover personal or commercial property losses resulting from nuclear, biological or chemical terrorist attacks and its property catastrophe program only covers 30% of commercial property losses resulting from other types of terrorist attacks from \$125.0 million to \$650.0 million and 95% of such losses from \$650 million to \$750 million. In the event of a 2002 catastrophe, OneBeacon can reinstate property catastrophe coverage for the remainder of 2002 by paying a reinstatement premium which is based on the product of the percentage of coverage reinstated and its original property catastrophe coverage premium of \$34.7 million. OneBeacon also purchases reinsurance coverage for certain specific risks below \$125.0 million where appropriate.

Reinsurance contracts do not relieve OneBeacon of its primary obligation to its policyholders. Therefore, the financial position and solvency of OneBeacon's reinsurers is critical to the collectibility of its reinsurance coverages. OneBeacon is selective with regard to its reinsurers, placing reinsurance with only those reinsurers with strong financial strength ratings. Reinsurance recoverables from Berkshire (NICO and GRC's ultimate parent) under the NICO Cover and the GRC Cover represented 62.0% of White Mountains' total reinsurance recoverables at December 31, 2001. Both NICO and GRC have A++ (Superior) ratings from A.M. Best. The remaining reinsurers generally are rated A (Excellent) or better by A.M. Best. OneBeacon monitors the financial strength of its reinsurers on an ongoing basis. As a result, uncollectible amounts have not historically been significant.

In connection with the Acquisition, OneBeacon obtained the GRC Cover which provided \$570.0 million of reinsurance protection, consisting of \$400.0 million of adverse development coverage on losses occurring in years 2000 and prior, in addition to \$170.0 million of reserves ceded as of the date of the Acquisition. During the fourth quarter of 2001, OneBeacon increased its estimate of gross prior year loss reserves which exhausted the total coverage available under the GRC Cover. The GRC Cover contains a feature whereby OneBeacon may not be entitled to recover losses to the full contract limit should such losses be paid out more quickly than expected. OneBeacon has estimated that approximately \$38.3 million of the total \$570.0 million of available coverage is subject to such limitation at December 31, 2001. Accordingly, OneBeacon has recorded \$531.7 million in recoverables due from GRC at December 31, 2001.

FOLKSAMERICA

Folksamerica has exposure to losses assumed from primary insurers including losses caused by hurricanes, earthquakes, winter storms, windstorms and other catastrophic events. In the normal course of business, Folksamerica seeks to reduce the risk of loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by closely monitoring aggregate exposures and related probable maximum losses (PMLs), and by reinsuring excess risks with other reinsurers. Folksamerica's catastrophe management strategy is to limit its net loss to less than 10% of surplus for a 1 in 250 year event. Folksamerica's current catastrophe protection program includes 85% of \$35 million of protection in excess of a \$25 million retention for the first loss and additional coverage for a second loss. The contract is 100% placed with a top quality reinsurer, and has reinstatement provision whereby, in the event of one loss, the coverage is reinstated for additional premium.

F-20

In the fourth quarter of 2000 Folksamerica purchased reinsurance coverage (the "Imagine Cover") from Imagine Insurance Company, Ltd. of Barbados ("Imagine") which was designed to reduce its statutory operating leverage and to provide adverse development protection of up to \$115.0 million on (i) loss and loss adjustment expense reserves remaining from the Risk Capital Operations; (ii) loss and loss adjustment expense reserves remaining from the USF Re acquisition; (iii) adverse development protection on Folksamerica's remaining environmental and asbestos exposures and (iv) prospective reinsurance coverage for losses in excess of premiums earned on policies which Folksamerica was contractually bound to write as a result of the Risk Capital Operations. In connection with the Imagine Cover, Folksamerica transferred loss and loss adjustment expense reserves of \$250.0 million and unearned premium reserves of \$65.0 million to Imagine for consideration of \$315.0 million. \$212.3 million of the consideration due under the Imagine Cover is included as a liability entitled "Funds held under reinsurance treaties" on White Mountains' December 31, 2001 balance sheet. Folksamerica holds a letter of credit and funds held as collateral for amounts due from Imagine.

In accordance with SFAS No. 113, amounts related to reserves transferred to Imagine for liabilities incurred as a result of past insurable events have been accounted for as retroactive reinsurance. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, Folksamerica's reinsurance recoverables include \$442.3 million and \$321.7 million, respectively, recorded under the Imagine Cover. At December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000, Folksamerica has also recorded \$40.0 million and \$20.6 million in deferred gains, respectively, related to adverse development on loss reserves transferred to Imagine at the inception of the Imagine Cover. Folksamerica is recognizing these deferred gains into income over the expected

----- Lehman Facility: Revolving loan \$
 125.0 \$ - Term loans 608.6 ----- Total 733.6 ----- Other
 Debt: Medium-term notes \$ 5.1 \$ 96.0 C-F seller note 25.0 - Folksam seller note 3.3 - -----
 ----- Total long-term debt \$ 767.0 \$ 96.0
 =====

A schedule of contractual repayments of White Mountains' debt as of
 December 31, 2001 follows:

 --- December 31, Millions 2001 - -----
 ----- Due in one year or less \$ 358.4 Due in 2 to 3 years 136.9 Due in four
 to five years 253.1 Due after five years 377.0 ----- Total \$ 1,125.4
 =====

LEHMAN FACILITY

GENERAL

In connection with the Acquisition, the Lehman Facility was provided to Fund American Companies, Inc. ("Fund American"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, as the borrower. The Lehman Facility is comprised of two term loan facilities and a revolving credit facility. The term loan facilities are comprised of a \$300.0 million Tranche A Loan with a five-year maturity and a \$400.0 million Tranche B Loan with a six-year maturity. The revolving credit facility provides for revolving credit loans of up to \$175.0 million, including up to \$25.0 million available for the issuance of letters of credit. The revolving credit facility expires on June 1, 2006.

INTEREST RATE, FEES

All borrowings under the Lehman Facility bear interest, at Fund American's election, at a rate per annum equal to either: (a) the base rate (generally, the higher of (x) the prime lending rate of the British Banking Association and (y) the Federal funds rate as established by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York plus 0.50%) plus (i) 0.50% to 1.75%, in the case of the revolving credit facility and the Tranche A Loan, and (ii) 1.50% to 2.50%, in the case of the Tranche B Loan, or (b) the eurodollar rate (the rate based on a formula relating to the rate for dollar deposits in the interbank eurodollar market for a given interest period) plus (i) 1.50% to 2.75%, in the case of the revolving credit facility and the Tranche A Loan, and (ii) 2.50% to 3.50%, in the case of the Tranche B Loan.

A commitment fee calculated at a rate of between 0.25% and 0.375% per annum is payable on the average daily unused portion of the revolving credit facility.

F-25

INTEREST RATE SWAPS

Since June 2001, Fund American has entered into a series of interest rate swaps with large financial institutions that were undertaken to achieve a fixed interest rate on the term loans under the Lehman Facility. The interest rate swaps consist of a \$200.0 million notional contract that was executed in June 2001, which is indexed to a 6.050% ten-year rate, a \$200.0 million notional contract that was executed in September 2001, which is indexed to a 3.955% three-year rate, and \$100.0 million and \$200.0 million notional contracts that were executed in October 2001, which are indexed to a 3.825% three-year rate.

Pursuant to SFAS No. 133, the interest rate swaps are carried at fair value on White Mountains' balance sheet with changes in their fair value reported directly through the income statement as the swap investments do not match the duration of the Lehman Facility. As a result, the swaps do not satisfy the criteria for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133. As of December 31, 2001, the aggregate market value of the interest rate swaps was a net liability of \$4.9 million.

As of December 31, 2001, the weighted average interest rate for the \$700.0 million outstanding under the term portion of the Lehman Facility, after giving effect to the interest rate swaps, was fixed at approximately 7.05%. As of December 31, 2001, the interest rate on the \$125.0 million outstanding under the revolving portion of the Lehman Facility was based on the eurodollar rate in effect at that time plus 2.13%, or 4.13%.

REPAYMENTS AND PREPAYMENTS

The Tranche A Loan and the Tranche B Loan are repaid quarterly in amounts equal to a specified percentage rate multiplied by the principal amount borrowed.

The term loans may be prepaid at any time without premium or penalty with the exception that any payments on the Tranche B Loan on or prior to December 1, 2002, shall include a prepayment premium ranging from 0.5% to 1.5%.

The credit facilities are subject to mandatory prepayments with (i) 50% of the net proceeds in excess of \$5.0 million from certain equity issuances and (ii) 100% of the net proceeds in excess of \$10.0 million from certain asset sales.

GUARANTEES

The obligations of Fund American with respect to the Lehman Facility are unconditionally guaranteed by OneBeacon, each of its subsidiaries (other than insurance company subsidiaries, certain foreign subsidiaries, and A.W.G. Dewar) and Fund American Enterprises Holdings, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of White

Mountains.

The obligations of Fund American and each guarantor with respect to the Lehman Facility are secured by a perfected first priority security interest in all their assets including the capital stock of their non-insurance company subsidiaries (other than A.W.G. Dewar) and each of their first-tier insurance company subsidiaries.

CERTAIN COVENANTS

The Lehman Facility contains affirmative covenants which include:

- reporting requirements;
- conduct of business and compliance with laws;
- requirements to maintain properties and insurance; and
- requirements to maintain interest rate protection.

The Lehman Facility also contains negative covenants that restrict the ability to:

- incur indebtedness and issue preferred stock;
- incur liens;
- engage in certain mergers, acquisitions, consolidations and asset sales;
- declare dividends or redeem or repurchase capital stock;
- make certain investments;
- make payments in respect of, or modify the terms of, subordinated indebtedness and other debt instruments;
- transact with affiliates; and
- enter into sale and leaseback transactions.

F-26

In addition, the Lehman Facility requires compliance with various financial covenants, including minimum interest and fixed charge coverage, maximum financial leverage, minimum net worth and statutory surplus and minimum risk-based capital ratios. At December 31, 2001, White Mountains was in compliance with all of the covenants under the Lehman Facility.

EVENTS OF DEFAULT

The Lehman Facility contains customary events of default including payment defaults, breaches of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, cross-default to certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and insolvency events, ERISA violations, material judgements, invalidity of any guarantee or security document and a change of control.

OTHER DEBT

At December 31, 2000 the Company had \$96.0 million in medium-term notes outstanding. Pursuant to the Debt Tender, the Company repurchased and retired \$90.9 million of Notes and subsequently prepaid the \$5.1 million balance of the Notes through the Debt Escrow. The Company recorded a \$4.8 million extraordinary loss on extinguishment of debt in connection with the Debt Tender and the Debt Escrow during 2001.

In September 2001 Folksamerica acquired C-F, an inactive insurance company in run-off, for total consideration of \$49.2 million plus related expenses. The purchase consideration included the issuance of a \$25.0 million, five-year note by Folksamerica which may be reduced by adverse loss development experienced by C-F post-acquisition.

Fund American Re acquired substantially all of the international reinsurance operations of Folksam. The \$64.0 million purchase price was paid in a combination of cash, Common Shares and a \$3.0 million note (denominated in Swedish Kronor) due in 2006.

Total interest expense incurred by White Mountains for its indebtedness was \$45.7 million, \$16.1 million and \$14.7 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Total interest paid by White Mountains for its indebtedness was \$35.0 million, \$16.1 million and \$15.6 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

NOTE 7. INCOME TAXES

In connection with the Redomestication, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries changed their domicile to either Bermuda or Barbados while certain other subsidiaries remained domiciled in the United States. As a result, income earned by the U.S. Companies will generally be subject to an effective overall tax rate lower than that imposed by the United States, however, no tax benefits will be attained in the event of net losses incurred by such companies. These factors may serve to increase or decrease White Mountains' effective tax rate for 1999 and beyond, depending on the events and circumstances occurring during such periods.

In connection with the Redomestication, the Company was treated as if it sold all of its directly owned assets in a fully taxable transaction in which gains, but not losses, were recognized. The Company incurred a tax liability upon the Redomestication of approximately \$13.5 million.

The total income tax provision (benefit) consisted of the following:

Year Ended December 31,	2001	2000	1999	United States
income tax provision (benefit) \$	(175.4)	\$ 38.0	\$ 47.0	State and local income tax provision 2.0 1.7 6.0 United States withholding tax and foreign income tax provision (benefit) (.9) 2.8 .1
----- Total income tax provision (benefit) \$	(174.3)	\$ 42.5	\$ 53.1	
Net income tax payments \$	8.4	\$ 54.5	\$ 14.1	

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries offer various retirement and postretirement benefits to its employees. Under the terms of these plans, White Mountains reserves the right to change, modify or discontinue the plans. Prior to the purchase of OneBeacon, the cost associated with the retirement and postretirement benefits was not material to White Mountains' financial statements.

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries sponsor qualified and non-qualified, non-contributory, defined benefit plans covering substantially all employees. The benefits for the plans are based primarily on years of service and employees' pay near retirement. Participants generally vest after five years of continuous service. White Mountains' funding policy is consistent with the funding requirements of federal laws and regulations.

In addition to the defined benefit plans, certain of the Company's subsidiaries have multiple contributory postretirement benefit plans which provide medical and life insurance benefits to pensioners and survivors. White Mountains' funding policy is to make contributions to the Plan that are necessary to cover its current obligations.

F-29

The following table sets forth (i) the change in the benefit obligation, (ii) the change in the fair value of plan assets, (iii) the resulting funded status reconciled with amounts reported in White Mountains' consolidated financial statements, and (iv) the weighted average assumptions associated with the various pension plan and postretirement benefits as of December 31, 2001:

```

-----
--- Other Pension Postretirement Millions Benefits Benefits - -----
----- Benefit obligation in respect of companies
acquired $ 552.3 $ 137.6 Service cost 12.0 2.1 Interest cost 21.8 5.6 Curtailment (15.1) (15.7) Plan
amendments 15.1 - Actuarial loss 9.0 11.4 Benefits and expenses paid, net of participant contributions
(28.7) (4.4) ----- BENEFIT OBLIGATION AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001 $ 566.4 $ 136.6
===== Fair value of plan assets in respect of companies acquired $ 540.0 $ -
Actual return on plan assets 7.5 - Employer contributions 2.5 4.4 Benefits and expenses paid, net of
participant contributions (28.7) (4.4) ----- FAIR VALUE OF PLAN ASSETS AS OF
DECEMBER 31, 2001 $ 521.3 $ - ===== Funded status as of December 31, 2001 $ (44.9)
$ (136.6) Unrecognized actuarial loss 15.1 11.4 Unrecognized prior service cost 15.1 - -----
----- NET LIABILITY AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001 $ (14.7) $ (125.2) ===== WEIGHTED
AVERAGE ASSUMPTIONS: Effective discount rate 6.50% 6.50% Expected return on plan assets 7.50% - Rate of
compensation increase 4.00% -
=====

```

The components of net pension costs were as follows:

```

-----
--- Other Pension Postretirement Millions Benefits Benefits - -----
----- Service cost $ 12.0 $ 2.1 Interest cost
21.8 5.6 Expected return on plan assets (28.7) - ----- NET PERIODIC PENSION COST $
5.1 $ 7.7
=====

```

For measurement purposes, a 10.5% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2001. The rate was assumed to decrease gradually to 5% over a six year period and remain constant thereafter.

F-30

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A one percent change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

```

-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
-- One
Percent One
Percent
Millions
Increase
Decrease - --
-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
-----
Effect on
total service
and interest
cost
components $

```

1.3 \$ (1.1)
Effect on
postretirement
benefit
obligation
17.0 (15.0) -

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries sponsor various employee savings plans (defined contribution plans) covering the majority of employees. The plans provide for salary reduction contributions by qualifying employees and matching contributions of up to six percent of qualifying employees' salary. Total expense for the plans was \$9.6 million and \$1.5 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively.

OneBeacon has a post-employment benefit liability of \$16.6 million related to its long-term disability plan at December 31, 2001.

NOTE 9. EMPLOYEE SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

WHITE MOUNTAINS' INCENTIVE PLAN

The White Mountains Incentive Plan (the "Incentive Plan") provides for granting to certain officers of the Company (and certain of its subsidiaries) various types of share-based incentive awards including performance shares, Restricted Shares and Options. On August 23, 2001, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment to the Incentive Plan which provided a fresh inventory of 300,000 Common Shares available for grant thereunder over a ten year period. As a result, 300,000 Common Shares were available for grant under the Incentive Plan at December 31, 2001.

Performance shares are conditional grants of a specified maximum number of Common Shares or an equivalent amount of cash. Grants are generally earned, subject to the attainment of pre-specified performance goals, at the end of a three-year period or as otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board. The performance goal for full payment of performance shares issued during 1999 and 2000 is the achievement of a 13% annual after tax return on equity (as specifically defined by the Company's Compensation Committee) as measured over the applicable performance periods. These performance shares can double if after-tax returns on equity significantly exceed 13% over the performance periods. The performance goal for full payment of performance shares issued during 2001 is, in whole or in part, based on the achievement of a 12.1% annual after tax return on equity. The Company's return on equity used to determine awards includes the cost of all projected compensation awards. For certain officers, the performance goal for full payment of performance shares issued during 2001 is, in part, based on the achievement of a 105% core insurance operations trade ratio for the period July 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003 (as specifically defined by the Company's Compensation Committee). These performance shares can double if the trade ratio is 101% or less.

For the three-year performance periods beginning 2001, 2000 and 1999, White Mountains granted a total of 84,600, 34,000 and 31,300 performance shares, respectively, under the Incentive Plan. No performance share awards were paid during 2001 or 2000. During 1999 White Mountains paid a total of 141,650 performance shares to its participants in Common Shares and cash. Performance shares paid during 1999 included 58,100 performance shares relating to the period from 1996 to 1998, 40,300 performance shares paid early relating to the period from 1997 to 1999 and 43,250 performance shares paid early relating to the period from 1998 to 2000.

For 2001 the Compensation Committee made a one time award of Restricted Shares to key officers of the Company. Pursuant to the Incentive Plan, White Mountains issued 94,500 Restricted Shares, of which 21,000 vest in December 2002 and 73,500 vest in June 2003. Vesting of Restricted Share awards is dependent on continuous service by the employee throughout the award period. There are no other restrictions on the Restricted Shares once they have become fully vested.

At December 31, 2001, the Company had outstanding 80,665 Options (15,865 of which were exercisable) issued to certain key employees which vest incrementally over a ten year period. The Options had a weighted average exercise price of \$118.22 per Common Share at December 31, 2001. During 2001, 335 Options were exercised at an exercise price of \$118.15 per Common Share.

ONEBEACON PERFORMANCE PLAN

OneBeacon's Performance Plan (the "Performance Plan") provides for granting of performance shares to certain key employees of OneBeacon. The performance goals for full payment of performance shares issued under the Performance Plan are similar to those of the Incentive Plan.

For the thirty-month performance period from July 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003, OneBeacon granted a total of 148,100 performance shares to its key employees. No performance shares were paid during 2001 under the Performance Plan.

OTHER SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The defined contribution plans of OneBeacon and Folksamerica (the "401(k) Plans") offer its participants the ability to invest their balances in several different investment options, including the Company's Common Shares. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, the 401(k) Plans owned less than 1% of the total Common Shares outstanding. In connection with the Acquisition, eligible OneBeacon employees received a one-time contribution of two Common Shares which resulted in the issuance of 11,980 Common Shares.

The Company's Chairman formerly held warrants to acquire Common Shares entitling him to buy 1,000,000 Common Shares for \$21.66 per Common Share. During 1999 the Chairman exercised the warrants early in exchange for a one-time payment of \$6.0 million. This one-time payment compensated the Chairman for the estimated interest cost of borrowing the strike price and the amounts required to prematurely pay his income taxes. The 1999 exercise of warrants provided the Company with increased tax deductible expenses.

SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE

White Mountains expenses its performance shares awarded under the Incentive Plan and the Performance Plan over the relevant performance period assuming full vesting at the current market value of Common Shares. During 2001, 2000 and 1999, White Mountains recorded compensation charges of \$31.6 million, \$25.8 million, and \$6.1 million, respectively, for outstanding performance shares. White Mountains' performance share expense in 2001 was higher than 2000 as a result of performance shares issued to OneBeacon employees post-acquisition. White Mountains' performance share expense in 2000 was higher than that of 1999 due to a significant increase in the value of Common Shares during that year and the recording of additional performance share expense in expectation of the 1999 and 2000 performance share awards vesting at an amount greater than 100% in light of above target results for those award periods.

The Company applies APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related interpretations in accounting for its Options and Restricted Shares. During 2001 the Company recognized a \$9.5 million compensation charge for its outstanding Options and recognized a \$10.4 million compensation charge for its outstanding Restricted Shares.

White Mountains has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation" with respect to its outstanding Options and Restricted Shares. Had share-based compensation expense been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for these awards using a Black-Scholes option valuation model, consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the compensation charge for the Options and Restricted Shares would have been less than the amount actually recognized by the Company under APB No. 25 for the year ended December 31, 2001. This would have had an anti-dilutive effect on the pro forma results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2001. The pro forma effect of recognizing compensation charge for its vested Options under SFAS No. 123 for the year ended December 31, 2000 would have been \$.3 million, or \$.06 per diluted Common Share. There were no Restricted Shares outstanding during 2000 and there were no Restricted Shares or Options outstanding during 1999.

F-32

NOTE 10. MINORITY INTEREST - MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK OF SUBSIDIARIES AND CONVERTIBLE PREFERENCE SHARES

BERKSHIRE PREFERRED STOCK

On June 1, 2001, Berkshire purchased for \$225.0 million, \$300.0 million in face value of cumulative non-voting preferred stock of a subsidiary of the Company. The Berkshire Preferred Stock is entitled to a dividend of no less than 2.35% per quarter and is mandatorily redeemable after seven years. During 2001, White Mountains declared and paid dividends of \$16.4 million on the Berkshire Preferred Stock and recorded \$5.1 million of related accretion charges (See Note 2).

ZENITH PREFERRED STOCK

On June 1, 2001, Zenith purchased \$20.0 million in cumulative non-voting preferred stock of a subsidiary of the Company. The Zenith Preferred Stock is entitled to a dividend of no less than a 2.5% per quarter through June 30, 2007 and a dividend of no less than 3.5% per quarter thereafter and is mandatorily redeemable after ten years. During 2001, White Mountains declared and paid dividends of \$1.7 million on the Zenith Preferred Stock.

CONVERTIBLE PREFERENCE SHARES

On June 1, 2001, a small group of private investors purchased 2,184,583 Convertible Preference Shares. Upon approval by shareholders at the 2001 Annual Meeting, the Convertible Preference Shares were repurchased and cancelled in consideration of 2,184,583 Common Shares. This required the Convertible Preference Shares to be marked-to-market, (i.e., redemption value) until the date the Convertible Preference Shares were converted to shareholders' equity, which occurred on August 23, 2001. This resulted in a \$305.1 million charge to retained earnings, with an offsetting increase to paid-in surplus. During 2001, White Mountains declared and paid dividends of \$.3 million on the Convertible Preference Shares.

NOTE 11. COMMON SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

COMMON SHARES REPURCHASED AND RETIRED

During 2001, 2000 and 1999 the Company repurchased 6,000 Common Shares for \$1.9 million, 65,838 Common Shares for \$8.3 million and 1,020,150 Common Shares for \$139.5 million, respectively. In conformance with Bermuda law, the Company

retires all Common Shares it repurchases.

COMMON SHARES ISSUED

During 2001 the Company issued a total of 2,390,566 Common Shares which consisted of 2,184,583 Common Shares issued in connection with the conversion of the Convertible Preference Shares, 86,385 Common Shares issued in connection the purchase of the Folksam net assets, 94,500 Restricted Shares issued to key employees and 25,098 Common Shares issued to employees in connection with various White Mountains share-based compensation plans. No Common Shares were issued during 2000. During 1999 the Company issued a total of 1,137,495 Common Shares to its employees and Directors in satisfaction of the Chairman's warrant exercise and various employee benefit plan obligations.

DIVIDENDS ON COMMON SHARES

During 2001, 2000 and 1999 the Company declared and paid cash dividends totalling \$5.9 million (or \$1.00 per Common Share), \$7.1 million (or \$1.20 per Common Share) and \$8.8 million (or \$1.60 per Common Share), respectively.

F-33

WARRANTS TO ACQUIRE COMMON SHARES

On June 1, 2001, Berkshire purchased the Warrants from the Company, for \$75.0 million in cash, entitling it to acquire 1,714,285 Common Shares at an exercise price of \$175.00 per Common Share. The Warrants have a term of seven years from the date of issuance although the Company has the right to call the Warrants for \$60.0 million in cash commencing on the fourth anniversary of their issuance. See Note 2.

NOTE 12. STATUTORY CAPITAL AND SURPLUS

White Mountains' insurance and reinsurance operations are subject to regulation and supervision in each of the jurisdictions where they are domiciled and licensed to conduct business. Generally, regulatory authorities have broad supervisory and administrative powers over such matters as licenses, standards of solvency, premium rates, policy forms, investments, security deposits, methods of accounting, form and content of financial statements, reserves for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses, reinsurance, minimum capital and surplus requirements, dividends and other distributions to shareholders, periodic examinations and annual and other report filings. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than shareholders. Over the last several years most states have implemented laws that establish standards for current, as well as continued, state accreditation. In addition, the NAIC uses RBC standards for property and casualty companies as a means of monitoring certain aspects affecting the overall financial condition of insurance companies. At December 31, 2001, White Mountains' active insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries met their respective RBC requirements.

OneBeacon's consolidated combined policyholders' surplus, as reported to various regulatory authorities as of December 31, 2001, was \$2,406.5 million. OneBeacon's consolidated combined statutory net loss for the year ended December 31, 2001 was \$408.4 million. The principal differences between OneBeacon's combined statutory amounts and the amounts reported in accordance with GAAP include deferred acquisition costs, gains recognized under retroactive reinsurance contracts and market value adjustments for debt securities. OneBeacon's insurance subsidiaries' statutory policyholders' surplus at December 31, 2001 was in excess of the minimum requirements of relevant state insurance regulations.

Under the insurance laws of the states under which OneBeacon's insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, OneBeacon's insurance subsidiaries may pay dividends only from earned surplus as determined on a statutory basis. Generally, the maximum amount of cash dividends that OneBeacon's insurance subsidiaries may pay out of their statutory earned surplus without prior regulatory approval in any twelve month period is the greater of the company's prior year statutory net income or 10% of prior year end statutory surplus. Accordingly, there is no assurance that dividends may be paid by OneBeacon's insurance subsidiaries in the future. At December 31, 2001, OneBeacon's insurance subsidiaries have the ability to pay dividends to its shareholder of \$235.6 million in 2002 without prior approval of regulatory authorities.

Folksamerica's policyholders' surplus, as reported to various regulatory authorities as of December 31, 2001, and 2000, was \$804.8 million and \$443.9 million, respectively. The large increase in surplus from 2000 to 2001 resulted principally from a \$400.0 million capital contribution it received from its parent, OneBeacon, in the form of cash to permit Folksamerica to further capitalize on improved pricing trends emerging after the Attacks. Folksamerica's statutory net income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$(35.3) million, \$(20.0) million and \$48.6 million, respectively. The principal differences between Folksamerica's statutory amounts and the amounts reported in accordance with GAAP include deferred taxes, deferred acquisition costs, gains recognized under retroactive reinsurance contracts and market value adjustments for debt securities. Folksamerica's statutory policyholders' surplus at December 31, 2001 was in excess of the minimum requirements of relevant state insurance regulations.

F-34

Under the insurance laws of the state of New York, Folksamerica may pay dividends only from earned surplus as determined on a statutory basis. Generally, the maximum amount of cash dividends that a New York-domiciled company may pay out of its statutory earned surplus without prior regulatory approval in any twelve month period is the lesser of the company's statutory net

investment income or 10% of statutory surplus. Accordingly, there is no assurance that dividends may be paid by Folksamerica in the future. At December 31, 2001, Folksamerica has the ability to pay a dividend to its shareholder of approximately \$30 million in 2002 without prior approval of regulatory authorities.

The aggregate policyholders' surplus of PIC and ACIC at December 31, 2001 and 2000, as reported to regulatory authorities, was \$54.0 million and \$60.5 million, respectively. Statutory net loss for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 and the period from October 16, 1999 to December 31, 1999 for PIC and ACIC totalled \$6.2 million, \$1.7 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. The principal differences between PIC and ACIC's statutory amounts and the amounts reported in accordance with GAAP include deferred taxes, deferred acquisition costs and market value adjustments for debt securities. PIC and ACIC's statutory policyholders' surplus at December 31, 2001 was in excess of the minimum requirements of relevant state insurance regulations.

Under the insurance laws of the states under which PIC and ACIC are domiciled, PIC and ACIC may pay dividends only from earned surplus as determined on a statutory basis. Generally, the maximum amount of cash dividends that PIC and ACIC may pay out of their statutory earned surplus without prior regulatory approval in any twelve month period is the greater of the company's statutory net income or 10% of statutory surplus. Accordingly, there is no assurance that dividends may be paid by PIC and ACIC in the future. At December 31, 2001, \$1.9 million of PIC's total statutory surplus was available for the payment of dividends in 2002 to its shareholder without prior approval of regulatory authorities. At December 31, 2001, no dividends could be paid from ACIC to its shareholder without prior approval of regulatory authorities.

NOTE 13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

White Mountains has determined that its reportable segments include OneBeacon, Folksamerica and Other Insurance Operations and Holding Company (consisting of ACIC, BICC, PIC, Fund American Re, Esurance and the operations of the Company and certain of its intermediate subsidiary holding companies). OneBeacon's operations further include four distinct underwriting sub-segments consisting of Personal, Commercial, Specialty and Non-core insurance products. The Personal and Commercial sub-segments consist of agency-produced business in New England, New York and New Jersey. Personal products include auto, homeowners and Custom-Pac products (combination policies offering home and auto coverage with optional umbrella, ocean marine and inland marine coverages). Commercial products include package (combination policies offering property and liability coverage), commercial auto and workers' compensation. Specialty products principally include non-crop farm and ranch business, ocean marine and tuition reimbursement. Non-core products include business assumed from Liberty Mutual in connection with the Renewal Rights Agreement, business in territories subject to the Renewal Rights Agreement written prior to November 1, 2001, premiums generated from NFU and national programs and national accounts and certain other insurance products in run-off.

White Mountains has made its segment determination based on consideration of the following criteria: (i) the nature of the business activities of each of the Company's subsidiaries and affiliates; (ii) the manner in which the Company's subsidiaries and affiliates are organized; (iii) the existence of primary managers responsible for specific subsidiaries and affiliates; and (iv) the organization of information provided to the Board. There are no significant intercompany transactions among White Mountains' segments other than occasional intercompany sales and transfers of investment securities (gains and losses resulting from such transfers have been eliminated herein).

F-35

Certain amounts in the prior periods have been reclassified to conform with the current presentation. Selected financial information for White Mountains' segments follows:

----- Other Insurance Operations and Holding Millions OneBeacon Folksamerica Company Total -----											
----- YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 -----											
Earned insurance and reinsurance premiums \$ 2,208.2 \$ 421.5 \$ 26.4 \$ 2,656.1											
Net investment income 228.4 45.6 10.5 284.5											
Net realized gains (losses) on sales of investments 183.1 23.9 (33.9) 173.1											
Gains on sales of subsidiaries and other assets - - 20.2 20.2											
Amortization of deferred credits and other benefits and other revenue (3.4) 17.7 85.4 99.7											
----- Total revenues \$ 2,616.3 \$ 508.7 \$ 108.6 \$ 3,233.6 -----											
Pretax loss \$ (269.2) \$ (42.2) \$ (110.8) \$ (422.2)											
Income tax benefit 113.0 14.1 47.2 174.3											
Accretion and dividends on preferred stock - - (23.2) (23.2) -----											
Net loss from continuing operations \$ (156.2) \$											
----- (28.1) \$ (86.8) \$ (271.1) -----											
=====											
Year ended December 31, 2000											
Earned insurance and reinsurance premiums \$ - \$ 312.5 \$ 21.9 \$ 334.4											
Net investment income - 57.6											
28.3 85.9											
Net realized gains (losses) on sales of investments - (12.3) 3.5 (8.8)											
Gains on sales of subsidiaries and other assets - - 386.2 386.2											
Amortization of deferred credits and other benefits and other revenue - 20.1 30.4 50.5											
----- Total revenues \$ - \$ 377.9 \$ 470.3 \$ 848.2 -----											
Pretax earnings (loss) \$ - \$ (29.5) \$ 384.9 \$ 355.4											
Income tax benefit (provision) - 19.4 (61.9) (42.5)											
----- Net income (loss) from continuing operations \$ - \$ (10.1) \$ 323.0 \$ 312.9 -----											
=====											
----- Year ended December 31, 1999 -----											
Earned insurance and reinsurance premiums \$ - \$ 211.0 \$ 72.2 \$ 283.2											
Net investment income - 49.9 12.0 61.9											
Net realized gains on sales of investments - 28.0 41.6 69.6											
Gains on sales of subsidiaries and insurance assets - 15.8 88.1 103.9											
Amortization of deferred credits and other benefits and other revenue - 20.3 40.3 60.6											
----- Total revenues \$ - 325.0 254.2 579.2 -----											
Pretax earnings \$ - \$ 54.7 \$ 106.8 \$ 161.5											
Income tax provision - (9.8) (43.3) (53.1)											
----- Net income from continuing operations \$ - \$ 44.9 \$ 63.5 \$ 108.4 -----											
=====											
Ending assets -											
December 31, 2001 \$ 12,831.9 \$ 3,042.4 \$ 618.5 \$ 16,492.8											
December 31, 2000 \$ - \$ 2,769.5 775.7 \$ 3,545.2											

The following table provides further information on OneBeacon's four distinct underwriting sub-segments consisting of its Personal, Commercial, Specialty and Non-core insurance products:

OneBeacon		Millions			
Specialty	Non-core	Total	Personal	Commercial	
----- SEVEN MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001					
1,132.2	\$ 2,208.2	Loss and loss adjustment expenses(1)	(445.6)	(449.6)	(79.9)
expenses (134.1)	(173.8)	(41.0)	(439.1)	(788.0)	

Net underwriting gain (loss)			\$ (58.2)	\$ (195.9)	\$ 6.1
			\$ (405.6)	\$ (653.6)	

(1) Loss and loss adjustment expenses include losses, net of reinsurance, associated with the Attacks of \$2.8 million, \$98.1 million and \$4.1 million in the Personal, Commercial and Non-core lines, respectively.

NOTE 14. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED INSURANCE AFFILIATES

White Mountains' investments in unconsolidated insurance affiliates represent operating investments in other insurers in which White Mountains has a significant voting and economic interest but does not own more than 50.0% of the entity. White Mountains' voting percentages and directorships in its unconsolidated affiliates do not provide White Mountains the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of its investees.

INVESTMENT IN MONTPELIER

In December 2001 White Mountains, the Benfield Group plc and several other private investors established Montpelier and Montpelier Re. OneBeacon invested \$180.0 million in Montpelier consisting of 1,800,000 common shares of Montpelier valued at \$100 per share and the Company received warrants to acquire an additional 797,088 common shares at \$100 per share over the next ten years. At December 31, 2001, OneBeacon owned 20.6% of the outstanding common shares of Montpelier and accounts for this investment using the equity method. At December 31, 2001, White Mountains carried its investment in Montpelier common shares at \$177.4 million. Amounts recorded by White Mountains during 2001 relating to its investment in Montpelier consisted of a \$3.0 million equity in loss from Montpelier's operations and a \$4.4 million equity in net unrealized gains from Montpelier's investment portfolio. The fair value of the warrants to acquire Montpelier common stock was immaterial at December 31, 2001, as the book value of Montpelier at that time was less than the Company's exercise price. No dividends were declared or paid by Montpelier during 2001.

INVESTMENT IN MSA

At December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, White Mountains owned 222,093 shares of the common stock of MSA. This represented 50.0% of the total shares of MSA common stock outstanding at those times. White Mountains' investment in MSA is accounted for using the equity method. The following table provides summary financial amounts recorded by White Mountains relating to its investment in MSA common stock:

Millions		2001	2000	1999
----- AMOUNTS RECORDED BY WHITE MOUNTAINS: Investment in MSA Common Stock (a)				
119.3	Equity in earnings from MSA Common Stock (b)	2.2	1.0	11.6
	Equity in net unrealized investment gains (losses) from MSA's investment portfolio (c)	.9	6.2	(12.5)

- (a) Includes related goodwill of \$2.5 million, \$3.7 million and \$2.6 million at December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.
 (b) Recorded net of related amortization of goodwill.
 (c) Recorded directly to shareholders' equity (after tax) as a component of other comprehensive income.

At December 31, 2001 and 2000, White Mountains' consolidated retained earnings included \$28.9 million and \$26.7 million, respectively, of accumulated undistributed earnings of MSA (net of related amortization of goodwill). No dividends were declared or paid by MSA during 2001, 2000 and 1999.

INVESTMENT IN FSA

During 2000 White Mountains concluded the Dexia Sale, which included all its holdings of FSA at that time, for proceeds of \$620.4 million and recognized a pretax gain of \$391.2 million.

White Mountains owned 6,943,316 shares of FSA Common Stock at December 31, 1999. This represented approximately 21.2% of the total shares of FSA Common Stock outstanding at that time. At December 31, 1999, White Mountains also owned FSA Preferred Stock which provided White Mountains the right to acquire 2,000,000 additional shares of FSA Common Stock for net consideration of \$59.3 million.

Prior to the Dexia Sale, White Mountains' accounted for its investment in FSA Common Stock using the equity method. White Mountains' accounted for its investment in FSA Preferred Stock and FSA Options under the provisions SFAS No.

115 whereby the investment was reported at fair value as of the balance sheet date, with related unrealized investment gains and losses, after tax, reported as a net amount in a separate component of shareholders' equity and reported on the income statement as a component of other comprehensive income. The following table provides summary financial amounts recorded by White Mountains during 2000 and 1999 relating to its investment in FSA:

Millions	2000	1999
AMOUNTS RECORDED BY WHITE MOUNTAINS: Investment in FSA Common Stock \$ - \$ 262.2		
Investment in FSA Options and Preferred Stock - 41.1 Total investment in FSA \$ - \$ 303.3		
Equity in earnings (loss) from FSA Common Stock (a)	\$ (3.6)	\$ 19.5
Dividends received from FSA Common Stock	1.4	2.1
Equity in net unrealized investment gains (losses) from FSA's investment portfolio (b)	(14.0)	Unrealized investment gains (losses) on FSA Options and Preferred Stock (b) - (4.1)
Write-down from fair value to equity value upon exercise of FSA Options, before tax	(45.8)	

- (a) Recorded net of related amortization of goodwill.
- (b) Recorded directly to shareholders' equity (after tax) as a component of other comprehensive income.

At December 31, 1999, White Mountains' consolidated retained earnings included \$53.3 million of accumulated undistributed earnings of FSA (net of related amortization of goodwill).

NOTE 15. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

White Mountains carries its financial instruments on its balance sheet at fair value with the exception of its fixed-rate, long-term indebtedness. At December 31, 2001, White Mountains had no significant fixed-rate, long-term indebtedness as a result of the Debt Tender and the Debt Escrow which extinguished or prepaid its outstanding Notes. At December 31, 2000, the market value of the Notes was \$97.2 million which compared to a carrying value of \$96.0 million. The fair value of the Notes outstanding in 2000 was estimated by discounting future cash flows using incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements or quoted market prices.

NOTE 16. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

NICO and GRC are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Berkshire. Through the Warrants, Berkshire has the right to acquire 1,714,285 Common Shares at an exercise price of \$175.00 per Common Share which represented approximately 17.1% of the total outstanding Common Shares at December 31, 2001 on a fully-converted basis.

Mr. Howard Clark, a Director of the Company, is Vice Chairman of Lehman Brothers Inc. ("Lehman"). Lehman has, from time to time, provided various services to White Mountains including investment banking services, brokerage services, underwriting of debt and equity securities and financial consulting services. Lehman is the arranger, the administrative agent and a lender under the \$875.0 million Lehman Facility. See Note 6.

Mr. George Gillespie, a Director of the Company, is a Partner at Cravath, Swaine & Moore which has been retained by White Mountains from time to time to perform legal services.

Pursuant to an employment agreement with White Mountains, Mr. John Gillespie, a Director of the Company and an Officer of OneBeacon, may continue his active involvement with his investment management business, Prospector Partners, LLC ("Prospector"), so long as Mr. Gillespie devotes the requisite time required to discharge his responsibilities to OneBeacon. The agreement specifies procedures pursuant to which Prospector's funds have the ability to invest first in opportunities appropriate for both White Mountains and such funds. Pursuant to revenue sharing agreements, Mr. Gillespie has agreed to pay White Mountains a portion of the revenues and distributions allocable to him in connection with Prospector, in return for White Mountains agreeing to pay the operational expenses of his investment management companies. At December 31, 2001, White Mountains had \$82 million invested in funds managed by Prospector.

At December 31, 2001, White Mountains had limited partnership investment interests in High Rise Partners, L.P. White Mountains also owns investments that are managed by High Rise Capital Management L.P., of which Mr. Zankel, a director of the Company, is the senior Managing Member.

White Mountains formerly owned two short-range aircraft jointly with Haverford Utah, LLC ("Haverford"). Messrs. Jack Byrne, Patrick Byrne and Thomas Kemp (each Directors of the Company and, in the case of Messrs. Jack Byrne and Kemp, each Officers of the Company) were principals of Haverford. Both aircraft were acquired from unaffiliated third parties during 1996. In exchange for Haverford's 20% ownership interest in the aircraft, Haverford contributed capital equal to 20% of the total initial cost of the aircraft and paid its pro rata share of all fixed costs plus the direct operating costs for its use pursuant to a Joint Ownership Agreement. The aircraft were sold to a third party during 2000 which resulted in Haverford receiving 20% of the cash proceeds.

White Mountains believes that the above transactions were on terms that were reasonable and competitive and, in the case of Lehman, were obtained through a competitive bid process. White Mountains believes that such transactions did not serve to impair the independence of any of the parties involved. Additional transactions of this nature may be expected to take place in the ordinary course of business in the future.

NOTE 17. ESTIMATED CHARGES INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE TRAGEDIES OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2001

In connection with the Attacks White Mountains recorded approximately \$130.0 million of pretax charges during 2001. Gross and net of reinsurance losses from the Attacks were approximately \$248.0 million and \$105.0 million, respectively, at OneBeacon and \$104.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively, at Folksamerica. White Mountains has evaluated each of its significant reinsurers and believes its provision for uncollectible reinsurance, with respect to reinsurance relating to the Attacks and otherwise, to be adequate.

NOTE 18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

White Mountains leases certain office space under noncancellable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2010. Rental expense for all of White Mountains' locations was approximately \$22.3 million, \$2.1 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. White Mountains also has various other lease obligations which are immaterial in the aggregate.

F-39

White Mountains' future annual minimum rental payments required under noncancellable leases for office space are \$33.5 million, \$28.7 million, \$19.9 million, \$16.8 million and \$43.1 million for the 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 and thereafter, respectively.

Under existing guaranty fund laws in all states, insurers licensed to do business in those states can be assessed for certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies to policyholders and claimants. In accordance with SOP 97-3, "Accounting by Insurance and Other Enterprises for Insurance-Related Assessments", White Mountains' insurance subsidiaries record guaranty fund assessments when such assessments are billed by the respective guaranty funds. In addition, each insurance subsidiary's policy is to accrue for any significant insolvencies when the loss is probable and the assessment amount can be reasonably estimated. The actual amount of such assessments will depend upon the final outcome of rehabilitation proceedings and will be paid over several years. At December 31, 2001, the reserve for such assessments at White Mountains' insurance subsidiaries totalled \$39.6 million, of which \$26.3 million related to the insolvency of Reliance insurance Company.

As a condition of its license to do business in certain states, White Mountains' insurance operations are required to participate in mandatory shared market mechanisms. Each state dictates the types of insurance and the level of coverage that must be provided. The total amount of such business an insurer is required to accept is based on its market share of voluntary business in the state. In certain cases, White Mountains is obligated to write business from mandatory shared market mechanisms at some time in the future based on the market share of voluntary policies it is currently writing. Underwriting results related to assigned risk plans are typically adverse and are not subject to the predictability associated with White Mountains' voluntarily written business.

Several of OneBeacon's insurance subsidiaries write voluntary automobile insurance in the state of New York. In doing so, they are obligated to accept assignments from the NYAIP for their market share of voluntary premiums written two years prior. In connection with the Acquisition, White Mountains estimated the fair value of the liability associated with NYAIP assignments as a result of voluntary business written by OneBeacon in periods prior to the Acquisition and recorded a liability of \$110.0 million as a part of purchase accounting in its financial statements. This liability was increased to \$131.1 million as of December 31, 2001. Management will periodically review and adjust this liability in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as circumstances change within the New York automobile insurance marketplace.

Various claims have been made against White Mountains in the normal course of its business. Based on all information available at the date of this report, management believes that the outcome of such claims will not, in the aggregate, have a material effect on White Mountains' financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 19. INTERIM PERIOD AND PRO FORMA RESTATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

As further discussed in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained within this Annual Report on Form 10-K, during the second and third quarters of 2001 the Company, in consultation with its independent accountants, marked its Convertible Preference Shares to market (based on the market value of underlying Common Shares) with the excess of market over the cash proceeds it received being charged directly to retained earnings. In preparing this Annual Report on Form 10-K, it was determined that this net charge should also have been included in the Company's determination of earnings or loss per share, and the related determination of net income or loss available to common shareholders. As a result, the Company has restated all applicable interim and pro forma earnings per share and related disclosures herein.

This revision did not affect the Company's historical balance sheets, its related determinations of book value per share and fully converted book value per share or its net income (or loss) or comprehensive net income (or loss) during any period. Since the Convertible Preference Shares were converted to Common Shares on August 23, 2001, the Company's results for the three month period ended December 31, 2001 were not affected.

F-40

income (loss) Net income (loss) from continuing Net income from continuing Net income Millions, except per share data operations (loss) operations (loss) operations (loss) - -----

FORM 10-Q AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2001: AS RESTATED: Three months ended 9/30/01 \$ 34.4 \$ 48.0 \$ 5.07 \$ 7.08 \$ 4.56 \$ 6.36 Nine months ended 9/30/01 (437.9) (429.1) (70.82) (69.39) (70.82) (69.39) Pro forma - nine months ended 9/30/01 n/c n/c (121.85) (123.39) (121.85) (123.39) Pro forma - nine months ended 9/30/00 n/c n/c (27.25) (5.36) (27.25) (5.36) AS ORIGINALLY REPORTED: Three months ended 9/30/01 \$ (44.8) \$ (31.2) \$ (6.60) \$ (4.59) \$ (6.60) \$ (4.59) Nine months ended 9/30/01 (132.8) (124.0) (21.48) (20.05) (21.48) (20.05) Pro forma - nine months ended 9/30/01 n/c n/c (72.52) (74.06) (72.52) (74.06) Pro forma - nine months ended 9/30/00 n/c n/c 23.82 45.72 23.82 45.72 - -----

FORM 10-Q AS OF JUNE 30, 2001: AS RESTATED: Three months ended 6/30/01 n/c \$ (494.3) \$ n/c \$ (84.12) \$ n/c \$ (84.12) Six months ended 6/30/01 n/c (477.2) n/c (81.18) n/c (81.18) Pro forma - six months ended 6/30/01 n/c (996.7) n/c (169.56) n/c (169.56) Pro forma - six months ended 6/30/00 n/c (669.8) n/c (113.35) n/c (113.35) AS ORIGINALLY REPORTED: Three months ended 6/30/01 n/c \$ (109.6) \$ n/c \$ (18.71) \$ n/c \$ (18.71) Six months ended 6/30/01 n/c (92.8) n/c (15.80) n/c (15.80) Pro forma - six months ended 6/30/01 n/c (612.3) n/c (100.24) n/c (104.17) Pro forma - six months ended 6/30/00 n/c (285.4) n/c (49.90) n/c (48.30) - -----

FORM 8-K DATED JUNE 1, 2001: AS RESTATED: Pro forma - three months ended 3/31/01 n/c \$ (512.7) \$ (83.64) \$ (87.19) \$ (83.64) \$ (87.19) Pro forma - twelve months ended 12/31/00 n/c (620.4) (110.16) (105.24) (109.68) (104.79) AS ORIGINALLY REPORTED: Pro forma - three months ended 3/31/01 n/c \$ (194.5) \$ (29.52) \$ (33.08) \$ (29.27) \$ (32.79) Pro forma - twelve months ended 12/31/00 n/c (302.2) (56.18) (51.26) (55.94) (51.04) - -----

FORM 8-K DATED NOVEMBER 1, 2001: AS RESTATED: Pro forma - nine months ended 9/30/01 n/c \$ (748.1) \$ (119.43) \$ (120.96) \$ (119.43) \$ (120.96) Pro forma - twelve months ended 12/31/00 n/c (588.7) (104.79) (99.87) (104.33) (99.43) AS ORIGINALLY REPORTED: Pro forma - nine months ended 9/30/01 n/c \$ (443.0) \$ (70.10) \$ (71.63) \$ (70.10) \$ (71.63) Pro forma - twelve months ended 12/31/00 n/c (283.6) (53.03) (48.11) (52.80) (47.90)

=====

n/c - represents captions not contained within the referenced filings.
F-41

REPORT ON MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITIES

The financial information included in this report, including the audited consolidated financial statements, has been prepared by the management of White Mountains. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and, where necessary, include amounts based on informed estimates and judgements. In those instances where there is no single specified accounting principle or standard, management makes a choice from reasonable, accepted alternatives which are believed to be most appropriate under the circumstances. Financial information presented elsewhere in this report is consistent with that shown in the financial statements.

White Mountains maintains internal financial and accounting controls designed to provide reasonable and cost effective assurance that assets are safeguarded from loss or unauthorized use, that transactions are recorded in accordance with management's policies and that financial records are reliable for preparing financial statements. The internal controls structure is documented by written policies and procedures which are communicated to all appropriate personnel and is updated as necessary. White Mountains' business ethics policies require adherence to ethical standards in the conduct of its business. Compliance with these controls, policies and procedures is continuously maintained and monitored by management.

PricewaterhouseCoopers has audited the consolidated financial statements of White Mountains as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. PricewaterhouseCoopers has issued their unqualified report thereon, which appears on page F-43.

In connection with their financial statement audit, PricewaterhouseCoopers considers the structure of internal controls to the extent considered necessary. Management reviews all recommendations of PricewaterhouseCoopers concerning the structure of internal controls and responds to such recommendations with corrective actions, as appropriate.

The Audit Committee of the Board, which is comprised solely of independent, qualified directors, has general responsibility for the oversight and surveillance of the accounting, reporting and financial control practices of White Mountains as well as establishing and maintaining the Company's Audit Committee Charter. The Audit Committee, which reports to the full Board, annually reviews the overall quality and effectiveness of the independent auditors and management with respect to the financial reporting process and the adequacy of internal controls. The independent auditors have free access to the Audit Committee, without members of management present, to discuss the results of their audits, the adequacy of internal controls and any other matter that they believe should be brought to the attention of the Audit Committee. The Report of the Audit Committee appears on page 16 of the Company's 2002 Proxy Statement, herein incorporated by reference.

John J. Byrne Chairman, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	Dennis P. Beaulieu Corporate Secretary and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer)	J. Brian Palmer Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)
---	--	---

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
of White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index referenced under Item 14(a) on page 44 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

- -----
--
- (a) The amounts shown in columns F through K represent activity for OneBeacon from June 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001.
 - (b) The amounts shown represent White Mountains' share of its property and casualty insurance and reinsurance affiliates, MSA and Montpelier. MSA was 50.0% owned during the three year period ended December 31, 2001 and Montpelier was 20.6% owned during the year ended December 31, 2001.
 - (c) The amounts shown exclude unamortized fair value adjustments to reserves of \$56.0 million for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses made in purchase accounting as a result of White Mountains' purchase of OneBeacon.

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
DISCOUNTED OPTION PLAN

1. ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE OF THE PLAN. White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd. (the "Company") hereby establishes an unfunded plan to be known as the White Mountains Insurance Group Discounted Option Plan (the "Plan"). The purpose of the Plan is to attract and retain Executives through the grant of Options to acquire shares.
2. DEFINITIONS. As used herein, the following definitions shall apply:
 - (a) "Administrator" shall mean the Compensation Subcommittee, or such other person, corporation, committee or entity as may be appointed from time to time by the Company to supervise the administration of the Plan.
 - (b) "Award Date" shall mean the effective date of the Participant's Option Agreement.
 - (c) "Board" shall mean the Board of Directors of the Company.
 - (d) "Code" shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
 - (e) "Committee" shall mean the Compensation Subcommittee of the Board, or any other committee appointed by the Board to administer and amend the Plan.
 - (f) "Employee" shall mean any employee of the Company.
 - (g) "Company" shall mean White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd.
 - (h) "Option" shall mean an option granted pursuant to this Plan to purchase one or more Shares.
 - (i) "Option Agreement" means a written agreement evidencing the award of an Option under the Plan.
 - (j) "Participant" shall mean any Employee to whom an Option is granted under the Plan.
 - (k) "Plan" shall mean the White Mountains Insurance Group Discounted Option Plan, as amended from time to time.
 - (l) "Shares" shall mean the shares of mutual funds, shares of common or preferred stock of a corporation listed or reported on a national securities exchange or quotation system, or shares of a regulated investment company, as designated by the Administrator, or, shares of a nonpublicly traded company if approved by the Board. In no instance, however, may Shares include units of any money market funds or other cash equivalents. Shares subject to purchase pursuant to any Option shall also include any earnings on such shares subsequent to the Award Date.
 - (m) "Termination of Employment" shall mean the date on which the employee ceases to perform services for the Company.
3. TERM OF PLAN. The Plan shall become effective on March 1, 2000 and shall continue in effect until terminated pursuant to paragraph 19.
4. SHARES SUBJECT TO OPTION EXERCISE. The aggregate number and types of Shares available for exercise pursuant to an Option will be designated in the Option Agreement and limited to all publicly traded securities and other securities subject to the approval of the Administrator, and nonpublicly traded securities approved by the Board.
5. ELIGIBILITY. Eligibility to participate hereunder shall be limited to those Employees who meet the following requirements:
 - (a) The Employee is a member of the select group of management or highly compensated Employees of the Company, and
 - (b) The Employee is designated as eligible by the Administrator to receive Options under the Plan.
6. GRANT OF OPTIONS. The Administrator shall authorize the grant of Options under the Plan from time to time. The Administrator, in its sole discretion, is authorized to select the eligible Employees who will receive Options and to determine the number of Options and the number of Shares under each Option. Options shall be granted by the Company and evidenced by written Option Agreements containing such terms and conditions as are approved by the Administrator. The Administrator shall authorize one or more individuals who shall have the authority to execute Option Agreements on behalf of the Company.
7. DATE OF GRANT OF OPTIONS. The date of grant of an Option under the Plan shall, for all purposes, be the date selected by the Administrator as the Award Date of the Option, as indicated in the Option Agreement.
8. OPTION PRICE. The Option Price for each Share shall be the greater

of: a) 50 percent of the fair market value of a Share at the date of exercise, or, b) 25 percent of the fair market value of a Share on the date of grant of the Option. Fair market

value on any day of reference shall be the closing price of the Share on such date, unless the Administrator, in its sole discretion shall determine otherwise in a fair and uniform manner. For this purpose, the closing price of the Share on any business day shall be (i) if the Share is listed or admitted for trading on any United States national securities exchange, the last reported sale price of Share on such exchange, as reported in any newspaper of general circulation, (ii) if the Share is not listed or admitted for trading on any United States national securities exchange, the average of the high and low sale prices of the Share for such a day reported on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market or a comparable consolidated transaction reporting system, or if no sales are reported for such day, such average for the most recent business day within five business days before such day which sales are reported, or (iii) if neither clause (i) or (ii) is applicable, the average between the lowest bid and highest asked quotations for the Share on such day as reported by The Nasdaq SmallCap Market or the National Quotation Bureau, Incorporated, if at least two securities dealers have inserted both bid and asked quotations for the Share on at least 5 of the 10 preceding business days. The methodology for the determination of the value of nonpublicly traded securities will be left to the discretion of the Board.

9. **DIVIDENDS AND CAPITAL GAINS.** Dividends and capital gains that are declared on optioned shares shall be deemed to create additional shares subject to the Option Agreement and are exercisable at the greater of: a) 50 percent of fair market value on the date of exercise, or, b) 25 percent of the fair market value on the date the dividend or capital gain distribution is declared. For example, assume options to purchase 1,000 shares are granted at an initial value of \$25 per share with a total value of \$25,000 and a net after exercise value of \$12,500. If a dividend of \$1 per share is declared while the option is outstanding and the underlying security is trading at \$50 per share, an additional 20 shares ($\$1 \times 1000 \text{ shares} = \$1,000 / \$50 \text{ per share} = 20 \text{ shares}$) will be deemed credited to the option award. The option award value at \$50 per share would now total \$51,000 ($1020 \text{ shares} \times \50 per share) and the net after exercise value would be \$25,500 (50% of the total value at exercise in this example).
10. **EXERCISE.** Except as otherwise provided in an Option Agreement, all Options granted under the Plan will be vested at grant and therefore may be exercisable immediately.

The Option may be exercised in full or in part from time to time within a period not to exceed thirty (30) years from the date of the grant as determined by the Option Agreement.

Declared dividends and capital gains shall be attributed proportionally to option awards and will be deemed exercised when the underlying award is exercised. For example, if an original grant of 500 shares generated 50 shares from declared

dividends, an exercise of 100 of the originally granted options will result in the purchase of 110 shares in order to proportionally include the resulting declared dividends.

In addition, all Options granted under the Plan may only be exercised subject to any other terms specified in the Option Agreement and if such terms conflict with the terms of this Plan, the terms of the Option Agreement control.

11. **LIMITATIONS ON OPTION DISPOSITION.** Any Option granted under the Plan and the rights and privileges conferred therewith shall not be sold, transferred, encumbered, hypothecated or otherwise anticipated by the Participant other than by gift to any member of the Participant's immediate family (i.e. a child or children, a grandchild or grandchildren, or the participant's spouse) or by will or the laws of descent and distribution. Options shall not be subject to, in whole or in part, the debts, contracts, liabilities, or torts of the Participant, nor shall they be subject to garnishment, attachment, execution, levy or other legal or equitable process.
12. **LIMITATIONS ON OPTION EXERCISE AND DISTRIBUTION.** In the event that the listing, registration or qualification of an Option or Shares on any securities exchange or under any state or federal law, or the consent of approval of any governmental regulatory body, or the availability of any exemption therefrom, is necessary as a condition of, or in connection with, the exercise of an Option, then the Option shall not be exercised in whole or in part until such listing, registration, qualification, consent or approval has been effected or obtained. Notwithstanding any provision of the Plan to the contrary, the Company shall have no obligation or liability to deliver any Shares under the Plan unless such delivery would comply with all applicable laws and all applicable requirements of any securities exchange or similar entity.
13. **OPTION FINANCING.** Upon the exercise of any Option granted under the Plan, the Participant may instruct the Company to sell or deem to sell a number of Shares otherwise deliverable to the Participant and attributable to the exercise of the Option in order to pay the

exercise price of the Option. The Company may, in its sole discretion, make financing available to the Participant to facilitate the exercise of the Option, subject to such terms as the Company may specify.

14. WITHHOLDING OF TAXES. The Administrator may make such provisions and take such steps as it may deem necessary or appropriate for the withholding of any taxes which the Company are required by any law or regulation of any governmental authority, whether federal, state or local, domestic or foreign, to withhold in connection with any Option including, but not limited to, the withholding of the issuance of all or any portion of such Shares until the Participant reimburses the Company for the amount the Company are required to

withhold with respect to such taxes, canceling any portion of such issuance in an amount sufficient to reimburse itself for the amount it is required to so withhold, or taking any other action reasonably required to satisfy the Company withholding obligation.

15. MODIFICATION OF OPTION. At any time and from time to time the Administrator may modify, extend, or renew or terminate any outstanding Option; provided, however, no such modification, extension, renewal or termination shall impair the rights of any Participant except to the extent necessary to comply with applicable federal or state laws or regulations, or with regulatory requirements, and in such event the Administrator may require immediate exercise of any outstanding option, and the Company shall take other appropriate action if necessary to cause the affected Participant to be made whole financially.
16. SUBSTITUTION OF OPTION. If a Participant has been granted an Option to purchase Shares under an Option Agreement, then except as limited by the terms of the Option Agreement, the Participant may direct that the Option be converted into an Option to purchase other Shares as permitted by the Option Agreement. Such substitution shall only be allowed to the extent that, immediately following the substitution, the difference between the fair market value of the Shares subject to the substituted Option and the exercise price of the substituted Option is no greater than the difference which existed immediately prior to the substitution between the fair market value of the Shares subject to the original Option and the exercise price of the original Option. In no event shall a participant be permitted to make substitutions no more than four times each calendar year.
17. ADMINISTRATION OF THE PLAN. The Administrator, in its sole discretion, is authorized to interpret the Plan, to prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Plan and to the Options granted under the Plan, to determine the form and content of Options to be issued under the Plan, and to make such other determinations and exercise such other power and authority as may be necessary or advisable for the administration of the Plan. No fee or compensation shall be paid to any Employee who provides services as the Administrator. The Administrator in its sole discretion may delegate and pay compensation for services rendered relating to the ministerial duties of plan administration including, but not limited to, selection of investments available under the Plan. Any determination made by the Administrator pursuant to the powers set forth herein are final, binding and conclusive upon each Participant and upon any other person affected by such decision, subject to the claims procedure hereinafter set forth. The Administrator shall decide any question which may arise regarding the rights of Employees, Participants and beneficiaries, and the amounts of their respective interests, adopt such rules and to exercise such powers as the Administrator may deem necessary for the administration of the Plan, and exercise any other rights, powers or privileges granted to the Administrator by the

terms of the Plan. The Administrator shall maintain full and complete records of its decisions. Its records shall contain all relevant data pertaining to the Participant and his rights and duties under the Plan. The Administrator shall have the duty to maintain Account records or all Participants. The Administrator shall cause the principal provisions of the Plan to be communicated to the Participants, and a copy of the Plan and other documents shall be available at the principal office of the Company for inspection by the Participants at reasonable times determined by the Administrator.

18. CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT NOT PRESUMED. Nothing in the Plan or any document describing it nor the grant of an Option via an Option Agreement shall give any Participant the right to continue in employment with the Company or affect the right of the Company to terminate the employment of any such person with or without cause.
19. AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION OF THE PLAN. The Company, in its sole discretion, may suspend or terminate the Plan at any time or from time to time. Upon termination of the Plan, the Administrator may require immediate exercise of any outstanding options. Additionally, the Administrator, in its sole discretion, may amend the Plan at any time or from time to time. No amendment, suspension, or termination shall impair the rights of any Participant under an outstanding Option Agreement except to the extent of the required immediate exercise provision outlined above and/or as provided in Section 15 above. The option holder shall be compensated for the early termination of outstanding options by a payment, approved by the Plan Administrator, and the Board, to make the employee whole for the early exercise of the outstanding options.

20. GOVERNING LAW. The Plan shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New Hampshire.
21. SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS. Should any provision of the Plan be determined to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, such invalidity, illegality or unenforceability shall not affect the remaining provisions of the Plan, but shall be fully severable, and the Plan shall be construed and enforced as if such provision had never been inserted herein.
22. HEDGE OF LIABILITY CREATED BY THE PLAN. At the sole discretion of the Company, the ability created by the exercise of the Options issued pursuant to the Plan may be offset by the Company entering into a hedging transaction. The hedging transaction may consist of the Company purchasing all or part of the Shares subject to the Options issued pursuant to the Plan, at date of grant of the Options or at any time during the Option exercise period. Nothing herein shall be construed to require the Company or the Administrator to maintain any fund or to segregate any amount for the benefit of any Participant, and no Participant or

other person shall have any claim against, right to, or security of other interest in, any fund, account or asset of the Company from which any payment under the Plan or pursuant to a Option Agreement may be made.

23. CLAIMS PROCEDURE. In general, any claim for benefits under the Plan shall be filed by the Participant or beneficiary ("claimant") on the form prescribed for such purpose with the Administrator. If a claim for benefits under the Plan is wholly or partially denied, notice of the decision shall be furnished to the claimant by the Administrator within a reasonable period of time after receipt of the claim by the Administrator. The claims procedure shall be as follows:
- (a) Any claimant who is denied a claim for benefits shall be furnished written notice setting forth:
 - (i) the specific reason or reasons for the denial;
 - (ii) specific reference to the pertinent provision of the Plan upon which the denial is based;
 - (iii) a description of any additional material or information necessary for the claimant to perfect the claim; and
 - (iv) an explanation of the claim review procedure under the Plan.
 - (b) In order that a claimant may appeal a denial of a claim, the claimant's duly authorized representative may:
 - (i) request a review by written application to the Administrator, or its designate, no later than sixty (60) days after receipt by the claimant of written notification of denial of a claim;
 - (ii) review pertinent documents; and
 - (iii) submit issues and comments in writing.
 - (c) A decision on review of a denied claim shall be made not later than sixty (60) days after receipt of a request for review, unless special circumstances require an extension of time for processing, in which case a decision shall be rendered within a reasonable period of time, but not later than one hundred and twenty (120) days after receipt of a request for review. The decision on a review shall be in writing and shall include the specific reason(s) for the decision and the specific reference(s) to the pertinent provisions of the Plan on which the decision is based.

24. DESIGNATION OF BENEFICIARY. A Participant, by filing the prescribed form with the Administrator (See Appendix A), may designate one or more beneficiaries and successor beneficiaries who shall be given the right to exercise Options in accordance with the terms of the Plan in the event of the Participant's death. In the event the Participant does not file a form designating one or more beneficiaries, or no designated beneficiary survives the Participant, the Option shall be exercisable by the individual to whom such right passes by will or the laws of descent and distribution.

25. INTENT. The Plan is intended to be unfunded and maintained by the Company solely to provide options to a select group of management or highly compensated employees as such group is described under Sections 201(2), 301(a)(3), and 401(a)(1) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") as interpreted by the U.S. Department of Labor. The Plan is not intended to be a plan described in Sections 401(a) or 457 of the Code. The obligation of the Company to deliver Shares subject to the Options granted under this Plan constitutes nothing more than an unsecured promise of the Company to fulfill such obligations and any property of the Company that may be set aside to permit it to fulfill such obligations under the Plan shall, in the

event of the Company bankruptcy or insolvency, remain subject to the claims of the Company general creditors until such Options are exercised.

ONEBEACON INSURANCE
DISCOUNTED STOCK OPTION PLAN

1. ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE OF THE PLAN. OneBeacon Insurance (the "Company") hereby establishes an unfunded plan to be known as the OneBeacon Insurance Discounted Stock Option Plan (the "Plan"). The purpose of the Plan is to attract and retain key executives by providing additional compensation through the grant of options to acquire shares.
2. DEFINITIONS. As used herein, the following definitions shall apply:
 - (a) "Administrator" shall mean the Committee, or such other person, corporation, committee or entity as may be appointed from time to time by OneBeacon Insurance to supervise the administration of the Plan.
 - (b) "Company" shall mean OneBeacon Insurance
 - (c) "Award Date" shall mean the effective date of the Participant's Option Agreement.
 - (d) "Board" shall mean the Board of Directors of OneBeacon Insurance.
 - (e) "Code" shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
 - (f) "Committee" shall mean the Executive Committee of the Board, or any other committee appointed by the Board to administer and amend the Plan.
 - (g) "Employee" shall mean any employee of the Company.
 - (h) "Option" shall mean an option granted pursuant to this Plan to purchase one or more Shares.
 - (i) "Option Agreement" means a written agreement evidencing the award of an Option under the Plan.
 - (j) "Participant" shall mean any Employee to whom an Option is granted under the Plan.
 - (k) "Plan" shall mean the OneBeacon Insurance Discounted Stock Option Plan as set forth herein, as amended from time to time.

1

- (l) "Shares" shall mean the shares of mutual funds, shares of common or preferred stock of a corporation listed or reported on a national securities exchange or quotation system, or shares of a regulated investment company. The Company retains the right to restrict the mutual funds that are available for the issuance and exchange of option awards under this plan to those that are offered by a third party administrator selected by the Company. In no instance, however, may Shares include units of any money market funds or other cash equivalents. Shares subject to purchase pursuant to any Option shall also include any earnings on such shares subsequent to the Award Date.
3. TERM OF PLAN. The Plan shall become effective on the date it is adopted by the Company and shall continue in effect until terminated pursuant to paragraph 19.
4. SHARES SUBJECT TO OPTION EXERCISE. The aggregate number and types of Shares available for exercise pursuant to an Option will be designated in the Option Agreement.
5. ELIGIBILITY. Eligibility to participate hereunder shall be limited to those Employees who meet the following requirements:
 - (a) The Employee is a member of the select group of management or highly compensated Employees of the Company, and
 - (b) The Employee is designated as eligible by the Administrator to receive Options under the Plan.
6. GRANT OF OPTIONS. The Administrator shall authorize the grant of Options under the Plan from time to time. The Administrator, in its sole discretion, is authorized to select the eligible Employees who will receive Options and to determine the number of Options and the number of Shares under each Option. Options shall be granted by the Company and evidenced by written Option Agreements containing such terms and conditions as are approved by the Administrator. The Administrator shall authorize one or more individuals who shall have the authority to execute Option Agreements on behalf of the Company.
7. DATE OF GRANT OF OPTIONS. The date of grant of an Option under the Plan shall, for all purposes, be the date selected by the Administrator as the Award Date of the Option, as indicated in the Option Agreement.

2

8. **OPTION PRICE.** The Option Price for each Share shall be the greater of:
a) 50 percent of the fair market value of a Share at the date of exercise, or, b) 25 percent of the fair market value of a Share on the date of grant of the Option. Fair market value on any day of reference shall be the closing price of the Share on such date, unless the Administrator, in its sole discretion shall determine otherwise in a fair and uniform manner. For this purpose, the closing price of the Share on any business day shall be (i) if the Share is listed or admitted for trading on any United States national securities exchange, the last reported sale price of Share on such exchange, as reported in any newspaper of general circulation, (ii) if the Share is not listed or admitted for trading on any United States national securities exchange, the average of the high and low sale prices of the Share for such a day reported on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market or a comparable consolidated transaction reporting system, or if no sales are reported for such day, such average for the most recent business day within five business days before such day which sales are reported, or (iii) if neither clause (i) or (ii) is applicable, the average between the lowest bid and highest asked quotations for the Share on such day as reported by The Nasdaq SmallCap Market or the National Quotation Bureau, Incorporated, if at least two securities dealers have inserted both bid and asked quotations for the Share on at least 5 of the 10 preceding business days.
9. **DIVIDENDS AND CAPITAL GAINS.** Dividends and capital gains realized on optioned Shares shall be deemed to create additional Shares subject to the respective Participant's Option Agreement and the exercise price for such Shares shall be the greater of: a) 50% of the fair market value on the date of exercise, or b) 25 percent of the fair market value on the date the dividend or capital gain distribution is declared. For example, assume options are granted to purchase 1,000 Shares with an initial value of \$25 per Share. The total value of the Shares is \$25,000 and the net after exercise value to the Participant is \$12,500. If a dividend of \$1 per share is declared while the Option is outstanding and the underlying Share is trading at \$50 per Share, an additional 20 Shares ($\$1 \times 1,000 \text{ shares} = \$1,000 / \$50 \text{ per share} = 20 \text{ shares}$) will be deemed credited to the option award. The option award value at \$50 per Share would now total \$51,000 (1,020 shares \times \$50 per share) and the net after exercise value would be \$25,500 (50% of the total value at exercise in this example). However, while the minimum exercise price on the original 1,000 shares remains at \$6.25 (25% of the \$25), the minimum exercise price on the 20 Shares resulting from the dividend will be \$12.50 (25% of \$50).
10. **EXERCISE.** Except as otherwise provided in an Option Agreement, all Options granted under the Plan will be vested at grant and therefore may be exercisable immediately.

3

The Option may be exercised in full or in part from time to time within a period not to exceed thirty (30) years from the date of the grant as determined by the Option Agreement.

Officers subject to section 162(m) limitations may not exercise options under this plan if it creates compensation that would not be deductible under 162(m).

In addition, all Options granted under the Plan may only be exercised subject to any other terms specified in the Option Agreement and if such terms conflict with the terms of this Plan, the terms of the Option Agreement control.

11. **LIMITATIONS ON OPTION DISPOSITION.** Any Option granted under the Plan and the rights and privileges conferred therewith shall not be sold, transferred, encumbered, hypothecated or otherwise anticipated by the Participant other than by gift to any member of the Participant's immediate family (or a trust established by the Participant for the benefit of the Participant and/or any member of the Participant's immediate family) or by will or the laws of descent and distribution. Any person or entity to whom an Option is duly transferred in accordance with the preceding sentence shall hold the Option subject to all of the terms and conditions of the Plan and the Participant's Option Agreement and shall execute and deliver to the Company such documents as the Company may reasonably require to acknowledge that the Option remains subject to the Plan and such Option Agreement. Options shall not be subject to, in whole or in part, the debts, contracts, liabilities, or torts of the Participant, nor shall they be subject to garnishment, attachment, execution, levy or other legal or equitable process.
12. **LIMITATIONS ON OPTION EXERCISE AND DISTRIBUTION.** In the event that the listing, registration or qualification of an Option or Shares on any securities exchange or under any state or federal law, or the consent of approval of any governmental regulatory body, or the availability of any exemption therefrom, is necessary as a condition of, or in connection with, the exercise of an Option, then the Option shall not be exercised in whole or in part until such listing, registration, qualification, consent or approval has been effected or obtained. Notwithstanding any provision of the Plan to the contrary, the Company shall have no obligation or liability to deliver any Shares under the Plan unless such delivery would comply with all applicable laws and all applicable requirements of any securities exchange or similar entity.
13. **OPTION FINANCING.** Upon the exercise of any Option granted under the

Plan, the Participant may instruct the Company to sell or deem to sell a

4

number of Shares otherwise deliverable to the Participant and attributable to the exercise of the Option in order to pay the exercise price of the Option. The Company may, in its sole discretion, make financing available to the Participant to facilitate the exercise of the Option, subject to such terms as the Company may specify.

14. WITHHOLDING OF TAXES. The Administrator may make such provisions and take such steps as it may deem necessary or appropriate for the withholding of any taxes which the Company is required by any law or regulation of any governmental authority, whether federal, state or local, domestic or foreign, to withhold in connection with any Option including, but not limited to, the withholding of the issuance of all or any portion of such Shares until the Participant reimburses the Company for the amount the Company are required to withhold with respect to such taxes, canceling any portion of such issuance in an amount sufficient to reimburse itself for the amount it is required to so withhold, or taking any other action reasonably required to satisfy the Company's withholding obligation.
15. MODIFICATION OF OPTION. At any time and from time to time the Administrator may modify, extend, or renew or terminate any outstanding Option; provided, however, no such modification, extension, renewal or termination shall impair the rights of any Participant except to the extent necessary to comply with applicable federal or state laws or regulations, or with regulatory requirements, and in such event the Administrator may require immediate exercise of any outstanding option, and the Company may take other appropriate action as it deems necessary to cause the affected Participant to be made whole financially.
16. SUBSTITUTION OF OPTION. If a Participant has been granted an Option to purchase Shares under an Option Agreement, then except as limited by the terms of the Option Agreement, the Participant may direct that the Option be converted into an Option to purchase other Shares as permitted by the Option Agreement. Such substitution shall only be allowed to the extent that, immediately following the substitution, the difference between the fair market value of the Shares subject to the substituted Option and the exercise price of the substituted Option is no greater than the difference which existed immediately prior to the substitution between the fair market value of the Shares subject to the original Option and the exercise price of the original Option. In no event shall a participant be permitted to make substitutions more often than once per calendar quarter..
17. ADMINISTRATION OF THE PLAN. The Administrator, in its sole discretion, is authorized to interpret the Plan, to prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Plan and to the Options granted under the Plan,

5

to determine the form and content of Options to be issued under the Plan, and to make such other determinations and exercise such other power and authority as may be necessary or advisable for the administration of the Plan. No fee or compensation shall be paid to any Employee who provides services as the Administrator. The Administrator in its sole discretion may delegate and pay compensation for services rendered relating to the ministerial duties of plan administration including, but not limited to, selection of investments available under the Plan. Any determination made by the Administrator pursuant to the powers set forth herein are final, binding and conclusive upon each Participant and upon any other person affected by such decision, subject to the claims procedure hereinafter set forth. The Administrator shall decide any question which may arise regarding the rights of Employees, Participants and beneficiaries, and the amounts of their respective interests, adopt such rules and to exercise such powers as the Administrator may deem necessary for the administration of the Plan, and exercise any other rights, powers or privileges granted to the Administrator by the terms of the Plan. The Administrator shall maintain full and complete records of its decisions. Its records shall contain all relevant data pertaining to the Participant and his rights and duties under the Plan. The Administrator shall have the duty to maintain Account records or all Participants. The Administrator shall cause the principal provisions of the Plan to be communicated to the Participants, and a copy of the Plan and other documents shall be available at the principal office of the Company for inspection by the Participants at reasonable times determined by the Administrator.

18. CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT NOT PRESUMED. Nothing in the Plan or any document describing it nor the grant of an Option via an Option Agreement shall give any Participant the right to continue in employment with the Company or affect the right of the Company to terminate the employment of any such person with or without cause.
19. AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION OF THE PLAN. The Company, in its sole discretion, may suspend or terminate the Plan at any time or from time to time. The Administrator, in its sole discretion, may amend the Plan at any time or from time to time. No amendment, suspension, or termination shall impair the rights of any Participant under an outstanding Option Agreement except as provided above in Section 15.

20. GOVERNING LAW. The Plan shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
21. SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS. Should any provision of the Plan be determined to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, such invalidity,

6

illegality or unenforceability shall not affect the remaining provisions of the Plan, but shall be fully severable, and the Plan shall be construed and enforced as if such provision had never been inserted herein.

22. HEDGE OF LIABILITY CREATED BY THE PLAN. At the sole discretion of the Company, the liability created by the exercise of the Options issued pursuant to the Plan may be offset by the Company entering into a hedging transaction. The hedging transaction may consist of the Company purchasing all of part of the Shares subject to the Options issued pursuant to the Plan, at date of grant of the Options or at any time during the Option exercise period. Nothing herein shall be construed to require the Company or the Administrator to maintain any fund or to segregate any amount for the benefit of any Participant, and no Participant or other person shall have any claim against, right to, or security of other interest in, any fund, account or asset of the Company from which any payment under the Plan or pursuant to a Option Agreement may be made.
23. CLAIMS PROCEDURE. In general, any claim for benefits under the Plan shall be filed by the Participant or beneficiary ("claimant") on the form prescribed for such purpose with the Administrator. If a claim for benefits under the Plan is wholly or partially denied, notice of the decision shall be furnished to the claimant by the Administrator within a reasonable period of time after receipt of the claim by the Administrator. The claims procedure shall be as follows:

- (a) Any claimant who is denied a claim for benefits shall be furnished written notice setting forth:
- (i) the specific reason or reasons for the denial;
 - (ii) specific reference to the pertinent provision of the Plan upon which the denial is based;
 - (iii) a description of any additional material or information necessary for the claimant to perfect the claim; and
 - (iv) an explanation of the claim review procedure under the Plan.
- (b) In order that a claimant may appeal a denial of a claim, the claimant's duly authorized representative may:
- (i) request a review by written application to the Administrator, or its designate, no later than sixty (60)

7

days after receipt by the claimant of written notification of denial of a claim;

- (ii) review pertinent documents; and
 - (iii) submit issues and comments in writing.
- (c) A decision on review of a denied claim shall be made not later than sixty (60) days after receipt of a request for review, unless special circumstances require an extension of time for processing, in which case a decision shall be rendered within a reasonable period of time, but not later than one hundred and twenty (120) days after receipt of a request for review. The decision on a review shall be in writing and shall include the specific reason(s) for the decision and the specific reference(s) to the pertinent provisions of the Plan on which the decision is based.

24. DESIGNATION OF BENEFICIARY. A Participant, by filing the prescribed form with the Administrator (See Appendix A), may designate one or more beneficiaries and successor beneficiaries who shall be given the right to exercise Options and thereby receive Shares or other distributions related to the Options from the Plan in accordance with the terms of the Plan in the event of the Participant's death. In the event the Participant does not file a form designating one or more beneficiaries, or no designated beneficiary survives the Participant, the Option shall be exercisable by the individual to whom such right passes by will or the laws of descent and distribution.
25. INTENT. The Plan is intended to be unfunded and maintained by the Company solely to provide options to a select group of management or highly compensated employees as such group is described under Sections 201(2), 301(a)(3), and 401(a)(1) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") as interpreted by the U.S. Department of Labor. The Plan is not intended to be a plan described in Sections 401(a) or 457 of the Code. The obligation of the Company to deliver

Shares subject to the Options granted under this Plan constitutes nothing more than an unsecured promise of the Company to fulfill such obligations and any property of the Company that may be set aside to permit it to fulfill such obligations under the Plan shall, in the event of the Company bankruptcy or insolvency, remain subject to the claims of the Company general creditors until such Options are exercised.

This EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT (this "AGREEMENT") is made and entered into as of the date of the Acquisition (as defined below) between TACK ACQUISITION COMPANY, a Delaware corporation (the "ACQUISITION COMPANY"), and JOHN D. GILLESPIE, an individual resident of the State of Connecticut (the "EXECUTIVE").

WHEREAS White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd., a Bermuda company ("WHITE MOUNTAINS"), has entered into an agreement to purchase (the "ACQUISITION") CGU Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("CGU"), through the Acquisition Company, a subsidiary of White Mountains; and

WHEREAS the Acquisition Company wishes to employ the Executive and the Executive wishes to accept such employment on the following terms and conditions effective as of January 1, 2001.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein and intending to be legally bound hereby, the parties hereto hereby agree as follows:

SECTION 1. EMPLOYMENT. The Acquisition Company hereby employs the Executive and the Executive accepts employment by the Acquisition Company, on the terms and conditions contained in this Agreement.

SECTION 2. TERM. The employment of the Executive pursuant hereto shall commence on January 1, 2001 (the "COMMENCEMENT DATE"), and shall remain in effect until terminated by the Executive upon 30 days' prior written notice to the Acquisition Company or by the Acquisition Company upon 30 days' prior written notice to the Executive; provided, however, that if this Agreement shall be terminated by the Executive, the Acquisition Company shall have the option to hire the Executive as an investment consultant for a period of one month, commencing with the first day following such termination, and thereafter for successive one-month periods not to exceed 12 months in aggregate, on terms and conditions to be determined by the parties hereto upon the exercise of such option; provided, however, that the Executive shall receive compensation for his services as a consultant at a rate of no less than \$80,000 per month. Notwithstanding any of the foregoing provisions to the contrary, if the Acquisition shall not occur prior to March 31, 2001, or any later date determined by the parties to the Acquisition to be necessary for any regulatory approval of the Acquisition as may be required, this Agreement shall not take effect unless the parties hereto mutually agree otherwise. The period of time between the Commencement Date and the termination of this Agreement pursuant to its terms is herein referred to as the "AGREEMENT TERM".

SECTION 3. DUTIES AND EXTENT OF SERVICE. During the Agreement Term, the Executive shall be employed by the Acquisition Company in an executive capacity as mutually agreed upon by the Executive and the Acquisition Company, and shall be responsible for managing the investment and the allocation of the assets and capital of CGU. The Executive shall conduct any activities in connection with his responsibilities hereunder in accordance with

2

general guidelines mutually agreed upon from time to time between the Executive and the Chief Executive Officer of the Acquisition Company (the "CEO") and the Executive shall report directly to the CEO. The Executive shall devote his full business knowledge, skill, time and effort to the performance of his duties for the Acquisition Company and the promotion of its interests (except as provided in Section 8 hereof). The conduct of the Executive's responsibilities hereunder shall be performed at such place or places as the interests, needs, businesses or opportunities of the Acquisition Company shall require; provided, however, the Executive shall not be required to change his residence from Connecticut to any other location and shall continue to perform his responsibilities hereunder while residing in Connecticut and traveling to Boston, Massachusetts, as necessary, where CGU's headquarters are located, and traveling to Hanover, New Hampshire, where White Mountains' visiting executive offices are located.

SECTION 4. BASE SALARY. During the Agreement Term, the Executive shall be paid a base salary (the base salary each year is hereinafter referred to as the "BASE SALARY") at a rate of \$400,000 per annum (the "INITIAL SALARY"), subject to annual review; provided, however, that the Base Salary shall not be reduced below the Initial Salary at any time during the Agreement Term.

SECTION 5. INCENTIVE COMPENSATION. (a) The Executive may receive an annual bonus (the "BONUS") of up to 200% of the Base Salary, to be determined based on the achievement of specific objectives established by the Board of Directors of the Acquisition Company (the "BOARD") on an annual basis. The target bonus for the Executive shall be 50% of the Base Salary. The Bonus for any year shall be paid at the same time bonuses are paid to other executives of the Acquisition Company.

(b) From time to time during the Agreement Term, the Executive shall be granted a number of performance shares of White Mountains equal to no less than 75% of the number of such performance shares granted to Ray Barrette, or his successor, based on the same goals and subject to the same terms and conditions established for the other management executives of the Acquisition Company, specifically the CEO and Mr. Barrette, or his successor.

SECTION 6. FRINGE BENEFITS. The Executive shall be entitled to participate, to the extent eligible, in such medical, dental, disability, life insurance, deferred compensation and other benefit plans (such as pension and profit sharing plans) as the Acquisition Company shall maintain for the benefit of employees generally, on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in

such plans from time to time during the Agreement Term. The Executive shall also be entitled to vacation time and sick leave in accordance with the Acquisition Company's policies in existence from time to time during the Agreement Term for other executives of the Acquisition Company.

SECTION 7. EXPENSES. The Acquisition Company shall reimburse the Executive promptly for all reasonable expenses incurred by the Executive in accordance with the Acquisition Company's policy in connection with the Executive's performance of his responsibilities hereunder, including expenses incurred in respect of the Executive's travel to and

3

from his home, or to and from his business office, in Connecticut to and from Boston, Massachusetts, or to and from Hanover, New Hampshire.

SECTION 8. PROSPECTOR PARTNERS AND AFFILIATES. Notwithstanding any provision herein to the contrary, the Executive shall have the right to continue his ownership and active involvement with the investment management and other activities of (i) Prospector Associates, L.L.C. ("PROSPECTOR ASSOCIATES"), (ii) Prospector Partners, L.L.C. ("PROSPECTOR PARTNERS"), including the investment management of Prospector Partners Fund, L.P., Prospector Partners Small Cap Fund, L.P., Prospector Offshore Fund (Bermuda), Ltd., and any other fund and any separate account that it shall have been managing on the date of this Agreement or that it shall manage during the Agreement Term (hereinafter any such funds shall collectively be referred to as the "FUNDS" and individually referred to as a "FUND" and any such accounts shall collectively be referred to as the "SEPARATE ACCOUNTS" and individually referred to as a "SEPARATE ACCOUNT"), and (iii) his ownership interests and investment management activities in connection with the Connecticut Fund Business (as hereinafter defined), so long as the Executive continues to devote the requisite time required to discharge his responsibilities hereunder. Additionally, the Executive shall have the right to delegate tasks necessary or desirable in connection with his performance of his responsibilities and duties hereunder to employees of Prospector Partners. In consideration of the foregoing, the Acquisition Company and the Executive agree as follows:

(a) BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES. During the Agreement Term, the Executive shall use his best efforts to determine when an investment opportunity meets the criteria of the Acquisition Company as well as any of the Funds or Separate Accounts. In the event that the Executive makes such a determination, each Fund and Separate Account shall first be given the opportunity to invest in such opportunity to the extent such opportunity shall be consistent with the policies of such Fund or Separate Account, and, after any such investments shall be made, the Acquisition Company shall be given the opportunity to invest in such opportunity. Upon the sale of any interest in any such investment opportunity in which the Acquisition Company and any Fund or Separate Account shall have invested, the proceeds of such sale shall be distributed to such Funds, Separate Accounts and the Acquisition Company as mutually agreed upon to be equitable at the time of such sale.

(b) INDEMNIFICATION. The Executive, each other member or employee of Prospector Partners or Prospector Associates, each of the Funds and each Separate Account (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "INDEMNITEES") shall be indemnified and held harmless by the Acquisition Company and by White Mountains, jointly and severally, from and against any and all loss, liability and expense, not to exceed, in aggregate, \$2 million (including all attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and amounts paid or to be paid in settlement), including but not limited to all loss, liability and expense in respect of any Federal or State governmental regulation imposed on or applied to any of the Indemnitees incurred or suffered as a result of this Agreement or in connection with the Executive's performance of his responsibilities hereunder; provided, however, that this paragraph (i) shall apply only to the first occurrence of any loss, liability and expense for which the Executive shall request compensation from the Acquisition Company

4

and/or White Mountains for the Indemnitees and (ii) shall not apply to any loss, liability or expense resulting from the gross negligence or willful misconduct of the Executive, any member or any employee or affiliate of Prospector Partners or Prospector Associates.

The "CONNECTICUT FUND BUSINESS" shall mean the business activities of the Connecticut Fund Business Entities (as hereinafter defined) in respect of investments under the Connecticut Insurance Reinvestment Act No. 97-292. The "CONNECTICUT FUND BUSINESS ENTITIES" shall include: (i) Insurance Capital Partners, LLC; (ii) Olmstead Capital Partners, LLC; (iii) Prospector Capital Management, LLC; (iv) Prospector Partners CT Fund Investment Management, LLC; and (v) Prospector Capital Management II, LLC.

SECTION 9. NONDISCLOSURE. The parties hereto agree that during the course of the Executive's employment by the Acquisition Company, the Executive will have access to, and will gain knowledge with respect to the Acquisition Company's Confidential Information (as defined below). The parties acknowledge that unauthorized disclosure or misuse of such Confidential Information would cause irreparable damage to the Acquisition Company. Accordingly, the Executive agrees to the nondisclosure covenants in this Section 9. The Executive agrees that he shall not (except as may be required by law), without the prior written consent of the Acquisition Company during his employment with the Acquisition Company under this Agreement and thereafter for so long as it remains

Confidential Information, use or disclose, or knowingly permit any unauthorized person to use, disclose or gain access to, any Confidential Information; provided, however, that the Executive may disclose Confidential Information to a person to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary or appropriate in connection with the performance by the Executive of his duties under this Agreement. Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason, the Executive shall return to the Acquisition Company the original and all copies of all documents and correspondence in his possession relating to the business of the Acquisition Company or any of its affiliates, including but not limited to all Confidential Information, and shall not be entitled to any lien or right of retention in respect thereof. "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION" shall mean all information (whether or not in written form) that relates to the regular business activities of the Acquisition Company, any of its affiliates or their respective operations, products or services, and which is not known to the public generally, including but not limited to technical information or reports; trade secrets; unwritten knowledge and "know-how"; business strategies and philosophies; client or consumer lists; client service records or consumer product records; premium volume records; investment records; investment and risk management strategies; product development, marketing and sales strategies; market surveys; marketing plans; profitability analyses; long-range plans; information relating to premiums, fees, competitive strategies and new product or service development; information relating to any forms of compensation and other personnel-related information; contracts; and underwriter or trading partners lists.

SECTION 10. SEVERANCE. If this Agreement shall be terminated for any reason, the Executive shall be entitled to: (i) a lump sum cash payment of any portion of the Base Salary for the year in which such termination occurs that shall not have been received by the Executive prior to such termination; (ii) any annual bonus awarded but not yet paid; and (iii) a cash payment equal to the market value of any performance shares of White Mountains previously

5

granted to the Executive under paragraph (b) of Section 5 hereof, the applicable performance period of which shall not then have ended, pro rated based on the achievement of or the progression toward previously established specific performance criteria for that portion of such performance period that shall precede such termination.

SECTION 11. TERMINATION; SURVIVAL. This Agreement shall terminate upon the earlier of (i) the termination by the Executive of the Executive's employment and (ii) the termination by the Acquisition Company of the Executive's employment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Sections 9, 10 and 12 hereof shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

SECTION 12. MISCELLANEOUS. (a) This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of (i) the Executive and his executors, administrators, heirs, personal representatives and permitted assigns, (ii) the Acquisition Company and its successors and permitted assigns and (iii) White Mountains and its successors and permitted assigns; provided, however, that except as provided herein, the Executive shall not be entitled to assign or delegate any of his rights or obligations hereunder without the prior written consent of the Acquisition Company.

(b) This Agreement shall be deemed to be made in, and in all respects shall be interpreted, construed and governed by and in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York, without regard to the conflicts of law principles of such State. No provision of this Agreement or any related document shall be construed against or interpreted to the disadvantage of any party hereto by any court or other governmental or judicial authority by reason of such party having or being deemed to have structured or drafted such provision.

(c) This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Acquisition Company and the Executive with respect to the Executive's employment by the Acquisition Company and, effective as of the Commencement Date, supersedes all prior agreements, if any, whether written or oral, between them, relating to the Executive's employment by the Acquisition Company.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duly executed as of the day and year first above written.

TACK ACQUISITION COMPANY,

by

Name:
Title:

John D. Gillespie

White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd., as to the provisions of paragraph (b) of Section 5 hereof, paragraph (b) of Section 8 hereof and Sections 10 and 12 hereof:

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.,

by

Name:
Title:

Prospector Partners, L.L.C., and Prospector Associates, L.L.C., as to the provisions of Section 8 hereof:

PROSPECTOR PARTNERS, L.L.C.,

by

Name:
Title:

PROSPECTOR ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.,

by

Name:
Title:

This REVENUE SHARING AGREEMENT (this "AGREEMENT") is made and entered into as of the date of the Acquisition (as defined below) between and among Tack Acquisition Company, a Delaware corporation (the "ACQUISITION COMPANY"), Folksamerica Reinsurance Company, a New York reinsurance company ("FOLKSAMERICA"), and JOHN D. GILLESPIE, an individual resident of the State of Connecticut (the "INVESTMENT MANAGER").

WHEREAS the Acquisition Company and the Investment Manager desire to enter into an agreement under which (i) the Acquisition Company and the Investment Manager shall share the revenue of Prospector Partners, L.L.C. ("PROSPECTOR PARTNERS"), and Prospector Associates, L.L.C. ("PROSPECTOR ASSOCIATES"), and (ii) the Acquisition Company shall pay the expenses of Prospector Partners during the Agreement Term (as defined below); and

WHEREAS Folksamerica and the Investment Manager desire to enter into an agreement under which Folksamerica shall receive a founder's revenue share (the "FOUNDER'S REVENUE SHARE") in respect of revenue of certain businesses of the Investment Manager as provided herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein and intending to be legally bound hereby, the parties hereto hereby agree as follows:

SECTION 1. TERM. The Acquisition Company's responsibility for the expenses of Prospector Partners and the sharing of the revenue of Prospector Partners and Prospector Associates by the Acquisition Company under the terms of Sections 2, 3, 4 and 5 hereof shall commence on January 1, 2001 (the "COMMENCEMENT DATE"), and shall remain in effect until any written notice of termination of the separate agreement between the Acquisition Company and John D. Gillespie (the "SEPARATE AGREEMENT"), dated as of the date of the Acquisition Company's acquisition (the "ACQUISITION") of CGU Corporation, a Delaware corporation, shall be given as required under Section 2 thereof; provided, however, that the provisions of Sections 2, 3, 4 and 5 of this Agreement shall not take effect unless and until the Separate Agreement shall take effect. With respect to the provisions of Sections 2, 3, 4 and 5 of this Agreement, the period of time between the Commencement Date and the termination of such provisions pursuant to the terms of this Agreement is herein referred to as the "AGREEMENT TERM".

SECTION 2. SHARING OF REVENUE. (a) The Investment Manager shall (i) pay or cause to be paid to the Acquisition Company out of any amounts allocable to him from the gross revenue of Prospector Partners and Prospector Associates in respect of each calendar year, no later than 30 days after the issuance of audited financial statements for Prospector Partners Fund, L.P., Prospector Partners Small Cap Fund, L.P., and Prospector Offshore Fund (Bermuda), Ltd., and any other fund that the Investment Manager shall be actively involved in the investment management during the Agreement Term (hereinafter any such funds shall collectively be referred to as the "FUNDS" and individually referred to as a "FUND"), in respect of such year, or, at

2

his discretion, (ii) cause to be allocated, as of the last day of such year, to any capital account of the Acquisition Company in any of the Funds out of amounts otherwise allocable to any of the Investment Manager's capital accounts in any of the Funds in respect of such year, or (iii) shall pay, cause to be paid and/or cause to be so allocated, in aggregate, 50% of the Net Revenue (as defined below) in respect of such year to the extent such amount shall not have been previously paid or so allocated. Notwithstanding any provision herein to the contrary, the Investment Manager may pay or cause to be paid to the Acquisition Company, at any time in any calendar year during the Agreement Term, the Acquisition Company's share, or any portion of its share, of the Net Revenue for such year on an estimated basis. If the total amount paid to the Acquisition Company under this paragraph (a) in respect of any year shall exceed the Acquisition Company's share of Net Revenue for such year as finally determined, the Acquisition Company shall return such excess to the Investment Manager within 30 days of such final determination. "NET REVENUE" shall be calculated annually on a calendar year basis and shall mean the sum of (i) the aggregate gross revenue earned by Prospector Associates and Prospector Partners during any such year in respect of the Funds, less 24% of any such gross revenue in excess of \$500,000 (which 24% represents the aggregate shares of such gross revenue of the founding investors of the Funds), and (ii) gross revenue earned by Prospector Partners during such year in respect of accounts managed by Prospector Partners during the Agreement Term that are not accounts of any Fund (hereinafter any such accounts shall be referred to collectively as the "SEPARATE ACCOUNTS" and individually as a "SEPARATE ACCOUNT"). Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing provisions of this paragraph to the contrary, the aforementioned definition of Net Revenue shall not include, (a) with respect to each share of convertible preferred stock in White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd., a Bermuda company ("WHITE MOUNTAINS"), offered in connection with the Acquisition and subscribed to by any Fund or Separate Account prior to the Acquisition, the excess of \$319 over the per share value at the time of subscription, as determined pursuant to the agreement under which such shares shall have been subscribed to, (b)(i) any amounts or interests earned or accrued prior to the Commencement Date, including amounts or interests earned but not yet paid in respect of Prospector Offshore Fund (Bermuda), Ltd., and any investment earnings in respect thereof, and (ii) the Investment Manager's pro rata share of investment earnings in respect of amounts earned or accrued, but not yet paid, subsequent to the Commencement Date in respect of Prospector Offshore Fund (Bermuda), Ltd., and (c) any amounts or interests earned in respect of any capital account in any of the Funds, or any Separate Account,

owned by the Investment Manager, any member of Prospector Associates or Prospector Partners and any of the Investment Manager's, or any such member's, immediate family members or related entities.

(b) Additionally, during the Agreement Term, the Investment Manager shall pay or cause to be paid to the Acquisition Company 25% of his allocable share of the amount that the aggregate cumulative distributions paid to the Connecticut Fund Business Entities (as hereinafter defined) shall exceed \$3 million during the Agreement Term. Such 25% shall be calculated and paid annually on a calendar year basis no later than 30 days after the issuance of audited financial statements for such entities in respect of each calendar year during the Agreement Term. The "CONNECTICUT FUND BUSINESS" shall mean the business activities of the Connecticut Fund Business Entities (as hereinafter defined) in respect of investments under the Connecticut Insurance Reinvestment Act No. 97-292. The "CONNECTICUT FUND BUSINESS ENTITIES" shall include: (i)

3

Insurance Capital Partners, LLC; (ii) Olmstead Capital Partners, LLC; (iii) Prospector Capital Management, LLC; (iv) Prospector Partners CT Fund Investment Management, LLC; and (v) Prospector Capital Management II, LLC.

SECTION 3. EXPENSES. In consideration of the Investment Manager agreeing to the terms of Section 2 hereof, during the Agreement Term the Acquisition Company shall be responsible for, and shall pay or cause to be paid on a timely basis, all expenses of Prospector Partners including, but not limited to, (a) employee compensation (including salaries, incentive bonuses and employee benefits), other than the Investment Manager's compensation, (b) rents, (c) any costs associated with relocating the business office, including leasehold improvements, purchases of office equipment, and furniture, furnishings and fixtures and (d) any expenses incurred in connection with the operations of Prospector Partners. The Acquisition Company and the Investment Manager understand that Prospector Partners expects to hire one or more additional employees with superior talent and credentials in investment management activities, and such other support employees as the Investment Manager deems advisable, and that the salary and any incentive bonus of any such employee, including any share of Net Revenue of any such employee hired to conduct investment management activities, shall be included as part of the expenses the Acquisition Company agrees to pay hereunder.

SECTION 4. ADDITIONAL INVESTMENTS BY THE ACQUISITION COMPANY. (a) The Acquisition Company, or any wholly-owned affiliate or affiliates of the Acquisition Company or White Mountains, shall make capital contributions to any Fund or Separate Account to replace any capital committed to such Fund or Separate Account as of the date of the public announcement by either the Acquisition Company or the Investment Manager, or by White Mountains, of the services to be performed by the Investment Manager pursuant to the Separate Agreement that shall be withdrawn from such Fund or Separate Account prior to the second anniversary of the date of such public announcement, in each case as a result of the Investment Manager committing to provide such services. Any withdrawal of such committed capital made after the first anniversary and prior to the second anniversary of such date shall be considered to have been made as a result of the Investment Manager committing to provide such services if the individual or entity making such withdrawal shall confirm in writing that such withdrawal shall have been a result of such commitment.

(b) If, during the Agreement Term, the Acquisition Company or any of its affiliates shall desire to place any amount not under the investment management of Prospector Partners on the Commencement Date under the investment management of Prospector Partners, such amount shall not be invested in any of the Funds (other than as an allocation of the Acquisition Company's share of Net Revenue as provided in paragraph (a) of Section 2 hereof or as a replacement of withdrawn capital as provided in the foregoing paragraph of this Section 4) but a Separate Account shall be created by Prospector Partners for each such amount under the same terms and conditions of the 1% fee paying Separate Accounts existing on the Commencement Date.

SECTION 5. PAYMENT UPON TERMINATION. Following the conclusion of the Agreement Term, the Acquisition Company (i) shall be entitled to any payment or allocation pursuant to and under the terms of paragraph (a) of Section 2 hereof that shall not yet have been paid or allocated but that the Acquisition Company shall have been entitled to if the Agreement

4

Term had continued until the end of the year in which such termination shall have occurred, and (ii) shall pay or cause to be paid on a timely basis all expenses of Prospector Partners that shall have accrued prior to the conclusion of the Agreement Term but that shall not yet have been paid.

SECTION 6. TERMINATION; SURVIVAL. The provisions of Sections 2, 3, 4 and 5 of this Agreement shall terminate upon the giving of written notice of termination of the Separate Agreement by either party thereto, as required under Section 2 thereof. The provisions of Section 7 of this Agreement shall terminate upon the mutual written consent of Folksamerica and the Investment Manager. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Sections 5 and 8 of this Agreement shall survive the termination of any provisions of this Agreement.

SECTION 7. PAYMENT OF FOUNDER'S REVENUE SHARE. (a) The Investment Manager shall pay the Founder's Revenue Share (if any) in respect of each calendar year to Folksamerica, no later than 30 days after the issuance of audited financial statements for Prospector Partners Fund, L.P., Prospector Partners Small Cap Fund, L.P., and Prospector Offshore Fund (Bermuda), Ltd. (hereinafter such funds shall collectively be referred to as the "ORIGINAL

FUNDS"). The Founder's Revenue Share in respect of any calendar year shall be the amount (if any) equal to the Founder's Revenue Share Percentage (as defined below) multiplied by the excess (if any) of (i) the sum of the gross revenues of Prospector Partners and of Prospector Associates in respect of such year to the extent such revenues are allocable to one or more of the Original Funds (and not allocable to a separately managed account or other investment management activity) over (ii) Five hundred thousand (500,000).

(b) The "FOUNDER'S REVENUE SHARE PERCENTAGE" shall initially be six percent (6%). If Folksamerica withdraws all or any part of its original \$10,000,000.00 investment in the Funds (including a withdrawal of all or any part of earnings and/or appreciation in respect of such original investment), the Founder's Revenue Share Percentage or a segregated portion thereof, as determined below, shall be reduced by the amount equal to one-third of the Founder's Revenue Share Percentage or the segregated portion thereof, as the case may be, at the time of such withdrawal per year for each year beginning with the calendar year of withdrawal until the Founder's Revenue Share Percentage or segregated portion thereof, as the case may be, shall be reduced to zero. For purposes of the preceding sentence, in the event Folksamerica withdraws less than all of its original \$10,000,000.00 investment in the Funds (including a withdrawal of less than all the earnings and/or appreciation in respect of such original investment) only that portion of the Founder's Revenue Share Percentage that bears the same ratio to the entire Founder's Revenue Share Percentage as the amount of the withdrawal bears to the total investment of Folksamerica at the time of such withdrawal (including earnings and appreciation thereon) shall be subject to reduction as provided by the foregoing provisions of this paragraph (b), and the Founder's Revenue Share Percentage shall be divided and segregated for purposes of identifying the portion thereof subject to reduction. The Founder's Revenue Share Percentage shall be reduced from time to time to take into account any reduction in the Founder's Revenue Share Percentage and/or any segregated portion thereof pursuant to the provisions of this paragraph.

SECTION 8. MISCELLANEOUS. (a) This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of (i) the Investment Manager and his executors, administrators, heirs, personal representatives and

5

permitted assigns, (ii) the Acquisition Company and its successors and permitted assigns and (iii) Folksamerica and its successors and permitted assigns; provided, however, that except as provided herein, the Investment Manager shall not be entitled to assign or delegate any of his rights or obligations in respect of Sections 2, 4 and 5 hereunder without the prior written consent of the Acquisition Company or his rights or obligations in respect of Section 7 hereunder without the prior written consent of Folksamerica; and each of the Acquisition Company and Folksamerica shall be permitted to assign its interests and obligations under this agreement to any wholly-owned affiliate of the Acquisition Company or White Mountains at any time with the prior written consent of the Investment Manager, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld.

(b) This Agreement shall be deemed to be made in, and in all respects shall be interpreted, construed and governed by and in accordance with, the laws of the State of New York, without regard to the conflicts of law principles of such State. No provision of this Agreement or any related document shall be construed against or interpreted to the disadvantage of any party hereto by any court or other governmental or judicial authority by reason of such party having or being deemed to have structured or drafted such provision.

(c) This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between and among the Acquisition Company, Folksamerica and the Investment Manager with respect to the responsibility for the expenses of Prospector Partners, the sharing of revenue of Prospector Partners and Prospector Associates by the Acquisition Company and the Investment Manager, and the payment of the Founder's Revenue Share and, effective as of the Commencement Date, supersedes all prior agreements, if any, whether written or oral, between and among the Acquisition Company, Folksamerica and the Investment Manager with respect to such matters.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duly executed as of the day and year first above written.

TACK ACQUISITION COMPANY,

by _____
Name:
Title:

FOLKSAMERICA REINSURANCE COMPANY,

by _____
Name:
Title:

John D. Gillespie

6

Prospector Partners, L.L.C., as to the provisions of Sections 2, 3, 4 and 7 hereof:

PROSPECTOR PARTNERS, L.L.C.,

by

Name: John D. Gillespie
Title: Managing Member

Prospector Associates, L.L.C., as to
the provisions of paragraph (a) of
Section 2 and Section 7 hereof:

PROSPECTOR ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.,

by

Name: John D. Gillespie
Title: Managing Member

7

Each Connecticut Fund Business Entity
as to the provisions of paragraph (b)
of Section 2 hereof:

INSURANCE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC,

by

Name: John D. Gillespie
Title: Managing Member

OLMSTEAD CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC,

by

Name: John D. Gillespie
Title: Managing Member

PROSPECTOR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC,

by

Name: John D. Gillespie
Title: Managing Member

PROSPECTOR PARTNERS CT FUND INVESTMENT
MANAGEMENT, LLC,

by

Name: John D. Gillespie
Title: Managing Member

PROSPECTOR CAPITAL MANAGEMENT II, LLC

by

Name: John D. Gillespie
Title: Managing Member

SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001

FULL NAME OF
SUBSIDIARY
PLACE OF
INCORPORATION

FUND AMERICAN
REINSURANCE
COMPANY, LTD
BERMUDA WHITE
MOUNTAINS
HOLDINGS
(BARBADOS)
SRL BARBADOS,
WEST INDIES
WM ASSET
MANAGEMENT
(BARBADOS)
SRL BARBADOS,
WEST INDIES
WM BRIDGETOWN
(BARBADOS)
SRL BARBADOS,
WEST INDIES
WM
ENTERPRISES
(BARBADOS)
SRL BARBADOS,
WEST INDIES
FUND AMERICAN
ENTERPRISES
HOLDINGS,
INC.
DELAWARE, USA
FUND AMERICAN
COMPANIES,
INC.
DELAWARE, USA
ONEBEACON
INSURANCE
GROUP LLC
DELAWARE, USA
ONEBEACON
INSURANCE
COMPANY
PENNSYLVANIA,
USA ONEBEACON
AMERICA
INSURANCE
COMPANY
MASSACHUSETTS,
USA THE
CAMDEN FIRE
INSURANCE
ASSOCIATION
NEW JERSEY,
USA
PENNSYLVANIA
GENERAL
INSURANCE
COMPANY
PENNSYLVANIA,
USA HOMELAND
INSURANCE
COMPANY OF
NEW YORK NEW
YORK, USA
GENERAL
ACCIDENT
REINSURANCE
COMPANY OF
AMERICA
CALIFORNIA,
USA THE
NORTHERN
ASSURANCE
COMPANY OF
AMERICA
MASSACHUSETTS,
USA AMERICAN
EMPLOYERS'
INSURANCE
COMPANY
MASSACHUSETTS,
USA TRI-STATE
INSURANCE
COMPANY
OKLAHOMA, USA
FOLKSAMERICA

HOLDING
COMPANY, INC.
NEW YORK, USA
FOLKSAMERICA
REINSURANCE
COMPANY NEW
YORK, USA

Certain other subsidiaries of the Company and its subsidiaries have been omitted since, in the aggregate, they would not constitute a significant subsidiary.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements, as amended, pertaining to the Senior Notes (Form S-3, No. 333-73012) of White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd. and Fund American Companies, Inc.; and the Folksamerica Holding Company 401(K) Savings and Investment Plan (Form S-8, No. 333- 82563), the Executive Bonus Plan of White Mountains (Form S-8, No. 333-89381), the Voluntary Deferred Compensation Plan of White Mountains (Form S-8, No. 333-89387), the White Mountains Long-Term Incentive Plan, the White Mountains Insurance Group Discounted Option Plan and the OneBeacon Insurance Discounted Option Plan (Form S-8, No. 333-83206), the OneBeacon Insurance Savings Plan (Form S-8, No. 333-68438) and the OneBeacon Insurance Supplemental Plan (Form S-8, No. 333-68460) of White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd. of our report dated April 1, 2002, relating to the financial statements and financial statement schedules, which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers

Hamilton, Bermuda
April 1, 2002

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that John J. Byrne does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ John J. Byrne

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Raymond Barrette does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Raymond Barrette

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Mark J. Byrne does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Mark J. Byrne

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Patrick M. Byrne does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and

perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Patrick M. Byrne

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Howard L. Clark, Jr. does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Howard L. Clark Jr.

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Robert P. Cochran does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Robert P. Cochran

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Steven E. Fass does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Steven E. Fass

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that George J. Gillespie, III does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ George J. Gillespie, III

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that John D. Gillespie does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ John D. Gillespie

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Gordon S. Macklin does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Gordon S. Macklin

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Frank A. Olson does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th

day of February 2002.

/s/ Frank A. Olson

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Joseph S. Steinberg does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Joseph S. Steinberg

WHITE MOUNTAINS INSURANCE GROUP, LTD.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN by these presents, that Arthur Zankel does hereby make, constitute and appoint K. Thomas Kemp and Gordon S. Macklin, and each of them, as true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and revocation, for and in the name, place and stead of the undersigned, to execute and deliver the Annual Report on Form 10-K, and any and all amendments thereto; such Form 10-K and each such amendment to be in such form and to contain such terms and provisions as said attorney or substitute shall deem necessary or desirable; giving and granting unto said attorney, or to such person or persons as in any case may be appointed pursuant to the power of substitution herein given, full power and authority to do and perform any and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or, in the opinion of said attorney or substitute, able to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or such substitute shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly executed these presents this 28th day of February 2002.

/s/ Arthur Zankel